RESEARCH ARTICLE



# Three new Diaporthe species from Shaanxi Province, China

Qin Yang<sup>1,2</sup>, Ning Jiang<sup>2</sup>, Cheng-Ming Tian<sup>2</sup>

I Key Laboratory for Non-Wood Forest Cultivation and Conservation of the Ministry of Education, Central South University of Forestry and Technology, Changsha 410004, China **2** The Key Laboratory for Silviculture and Conservation of the Ministry of Education, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China

Corresponding author: Cheng-Ming Tian (chengmt@bjfu.edu.cn)

Academic editor: D. Haelewaters | Received 17 December 2019 | Accepted 5 April 2020 | Published 4 May 2020

Citation: Yang Q, Jiang N, Tian C-M (2020) Three new *Diaporthe* species from Shaanxi Province, China. MycoKeys 67: 1–18. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.67.49483

#### Abstract

Diaporthe species (Sordariomycetes, Diaporthales) are often reported as important plant pathogens, saprobes and endophytes on a wide range of plant hosts. In this study, *Diaporthe* specimens were collected from symptomatic twigs and branches at the Huoditang Forest Farm in Shaanxi Province, China. Identification was done using a combination of morphology and comparison of DNA sequence data of the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS), calmodulin (*cal*), histone H3 (*his3*), partial translation elongation factor-1 $\alpha$  (*tef1*) and  $\beta$ -tubulin (*tub2*) gene regions. Three new *Diaporthe* species are proposed: *D. albosinensis, D. coryli* and *D. shaanxiensis*. All species are illustrated and their morphology and phylogenetic relationships with other *Diaporthe* species are discussed.

#### Keywords

Diaporthaceae, Dieback, DNA phylogeny, Systematics, Taxonomy

# Introduction

*Diaporthe* species (Sordariomycetes, Diaporthales) are associated with a wide range of plant hosts as pathogens, endophytes or saprobes of crops, ornamentals and forest trees (Murali et al. 2006, Rossman et al. 2007, Garcia-Reyne et al. 2011, Gomes et al. 2013, Udayanga et al. 2015, Dissanayake et al. 2017, Guarnaccia and Crous 2017, 2018,

Wijayawardene et al. 2017, Yang et al. 2017a, b, 2018, Fan et al. 2018, Guarnaccia et al. 2018). The sexual morph of *Diaporthe* is characterised by immersed ascomata and an erumpent pseudostroma with elongated perithecial necks. Asci are unitunicate, clavate to cylindrical. Ascospores are fusoid, ellipsoid to cylindrical, hyaline, biseriate to uniseriate in the ascus, sometimes with appendages (Udayanga et al. 2011). The asexual morph is characterised by ostiolate conidiomata, with cylindrical phialides producing three types of hyaline, aseptate conidia (Udayanga et al. 2011, Gomes et al. 2013).

Species identification in *Diaporthe* has traditionally been based on host association, morphology and culture characteristics (Mostert et al. 2001, Santos and Phillips 2009, Udayanga et al. 2011), resulting in the description of over 200 species (Hyde et al. 2020). Multiple species of *Diaporthe* can colonise a single host and one species can be associated with different hosts (Santos and Phillips 2009, Diogo et al. 2010, Santos et al. 2011, Gomes et al. 2013). In addition, considerable within-species variability of phenotypic characters has been reported (Rehner and Uecker 1994, Mostert et al. 2001, Udayanga et al. 2011). Thus, a polyphasic taxonomic approach, based on multilocus DNA data, morphology and ecology, has been increasingly employed for species boundaries in the genus *Diaporthe* (Gomes et al. 2013, Huang et al. 2013, 2015, Udayanga et al. 2014a, b, 2015, Fan et al. 2015, Du et al. 2016, Gao et al. 2016, 2017, Guarnaccia and Crous 2017, Guarnaccia et al. 2018, Long et al. 2019).

Huoditang is located in the middle part of the southern slope of the Qinling Mountains at 33°18'-33°28'N, 108°21'-108°29'E. It belongs to the transitional zone of the northern subtropical and warm temperate zone in China. The terrain is complex and the climate is changeable (Zhang and Cao 2007). The plant communities are complex and, as a result, species diversity of fungi in the forest area is high (Zhang and Cao 2007). During trips to collect forest pathogens causing dieback in Shaanxi Province, cankered branches with typical *Diaporthe* fruiting bodies were investigated and sampled. The aim of the present study was to identify these fungi, based on modern polyphasic taxonomic concepts.

#### Materials and methods

#### Isolates

Fresh specimens of *Diaporthe* were collected from symptomatic twigs or branches in Shaanxi Province (Table 1). Isolates were obtained by removing a mucoid spore mass from conidiomata and spreading the suspension on the surface of 1.8% potato dextrose agar (PDA) in a 9 cm diam. Petri dish. Petri dishes were incubated at 25 °C until spores germinated. Single germinating conidia were transferred on to new PDA plates, which were kept at 25 °C in the dark. Specimens are deposited in the Museum of the Beijing Forestry University (BJFC). Axenic cultures are maintained in the China Forestry Culture Collection Centre (CFCC).

Species	Isolate	Host	Location	GenBank accession numbers				
				ITS	cal	his3	tef1	tub2
D. acericola	MFLUCC 17-0956	Acer negundo	Italy	KY964224	KY964137	NA	KY964180	KY964074
D. acerigena	CFCC 52554	Acer tataricum	China	MH121489	MH121413	MH121449	MH121531	NA
D. albosinensis	CFCC 53066	Betula albosinensis	China	MK432659	MK442979	MK443004	MK578133	MK578059
	CFCC 53067	Betula albosinensis	China	MK432660	MK442980	MK443005	MK578134	MK578060
D. alnea	CBS 146.46	Alnus sp.	Netherlands	KC343008	KC343250	KC343492	KC343734	KC343976
D. ambigua	CBS 114015	Pyrus communis	South Africa	KC343010	KC343252	KC343494	KC343736	KC343978
D. anacardii	CBS 720.97	Anacardium occidentale	East Africa	KC343024	KC343266	KC343508	KC343750	KC343992
D. angelicae	CBS 111592	Heracleum sphondylium	Austria	KC343027	KC343269	KC343511	KC343753	KC343995
D. apiculatum	CGMCC 3.17533	Camellia sinensis	China	KP267896	NA	NA	KP267970	KP293476
D. aquatica	IFRDCC 3051	Aquatic habitat	China	JQ797437	NA	NA	NA	NA
D. arctii	CBS 139280	Arctium lappa	Austria	KJ590736	KJ612133	KJ659218	KJ590776	KJ610891
D. aseana	MFLUCC 12-0299a	Unknown dead leaf	Thailand	KT459414	KT459464	NA	KT459448	KT459432
D. asheicola	CBS 136967	Vaccinium ashei	Chile	KJ160562	KJ160542	NA	KJ160594	KJ160518
D. baccae	CBS 136972	Vaccinium corymbosum	Italy	KJ160565	NA	MF418264	KJ160597	NA
D. beilharziae	BRIP 54792	Indigofera australis	Australia	JX862529	NA	NA	JX862535	KF170921
D. benedicti	BPI 893190	Salix sp.	USA	KM669929	KM669862	NA	KM669785	NA
D. betulae	CFCC 50469	Betula platyphylla	China	KT732950	KT732997	KT732999	KT733016	KT733020
D. betulina	CFCC 52560	Betula albosinensis	China	MH121495	MH121419	MH121455	MH121537	MH121577
D. bicincta	CBS 121004	<i>Juglans</i> sp.	USA	KC343134	KC343376	KC343618	KC343860	KC344102
D. caryae	CFCC 52563	Carya illinoensis	China	MH121498	MH121422	MH121458	MH121540	MH121580
D. cassines	CPC 21916	Cassine peragua	South Africa	KF777155	NA	NA	KF777244	NA
D. celeris	CPC 28262	Vitis vinifera	Czech Republic	MG281017	MG281712	MG281363	MG281538	MG281190
D. cercidis	CFCC 52565	Cercis chinensis	China	MH121500	MH121424	MH121460	MH121542	MH121582
D. chamaeropis	CBS 454.81	Chamaerops humilis	Greece	KC343048	KC343290	KC343532	KC343774	KC344016
D. charlesworthii	BRIP 54884m	Rapistrum rugostrum	Australia	KJ197288	NA	NA	KJ197250	KJ197268
D. chensiensis	CFCC 52567	Abies chensiensis	China	MH121502	MH121426	MH121462	MH121544	MH121584
D. cichorii	MFLUCC 17-1023	Cichorium intybus	Italy	KY964220	KY964133	NA	KY964176	KY964104
D. cinnamomi	CFCC 52569	Cinnamomum sp.	China	MH121504	NA	MH121464	MH121546	MH121586
D. citriasiana	CGMCC 3.15224	Citrus unshiu	China	JQ954645	KC357491	KJ490515	JQ954663	KC357459
D. citrichinensis	CGMCC 3.15225	Citrus sp.	China	JQ954648	KC357494	NA	JQ954666	NA
D. compactum	CGMCC 3.17536	Camellia sinensis	China	KP267854	NA	KP293508	KP267928	KP293434
D. conica	CFCC 52571	Alangium chinense	China	MH121506	MH121428	MH121466	MH121548	MH121588
D. coryli	CFCC 53083	Corylus mandshurica	China	MK432661	MK442981	MK443006	MK578135	MK578061
	CFCC 53084	Corylus mandshurica	China	MK432662	MK442982	MK443007	MK578136	MK578062
D. cucurbitae	CBS 136.25	Arctium sp.	Unknown	KC343031	KC343273	KC343515	KC343757	KC343999
D. cuppatea	CBS 117499	Aspalathus linearis	South Africa	KC343057	KC343299	KC343541	KC343783	KC344025

Table 1. Isolates and GenBank accession numbers used in the phylogenetic analyses of *Diaporthe*.

oparalidi         Control Single C	Species	Isolate	Host	Location	n GenBank accession numbers				
D. groupselfa         CEN 122070         Protace granupselfa         North Africa         KC343008         KC343301         KC343101         KC343001         KC343001         KC343001         KC343011         KC340051         KC343011         KC340051         KC343011         KC340051         KC343001         KC343001 <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>ITS</th><th>cal</th><th>his3</th><th>tef1</th><th>tub2</th></th<>					ITS	cal	his3	tef1	tub2
D. groupsella         FAI461         Cirra unduni         Taby         KC343101         NA         KC34311         NA         KC34311         NA         KC343121           D. discoldport         ZJUD39         Cirra unduni         Italy         K1960215         NA         K1960505         K1960215           D. despenii         MFLUCC         Despenium         Italy         K1960215         NA         NA         K196171         K196029           D. eldegmiglafme         CGNCC         Eleagenic glafme         China         K086679         KX999211         KX99212         L         endephysic         KC343104         KC343104         KC343104         KC343508         KC34380         KX34808         KC344307         D         factoria         Materia         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA         SA         A         A         A         SA	D. cynaroidis	CBS 122676	Protea cynaroides	South Africa	KC343058	KC343300	KC343542	KC343784	KC344026
D. disolidipont         ZIUD89         Cirru undrin         China         K1400624         NA         K1400566         K1400457           D. drogeni         MFLUCC         Dorpenium         Italy         K1964121         NA         NA         K7964171         K1964091           D. desegni-glabrae         CGMCC         Elangane glabra         CGMCC         Elangane glabra         KC343065         KC343509         KC343591         KC343065           D. endophynica         CBS 133511         Schirnae         Brazil         KC343065         KC343509         KC343504         KC343504         KC343508         KC345791         KC343065           D. endophynica         CBS 123252         Endophynica         CBS 123252         Foreicalaca         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA         D         Genicalaca         CBS 123252         Foreicalaca         Respective         KC343104         KC343508         KC345308         KC345308         KC345792         KC345747         KC34574         KC345747         LC342754         LC342734         LC342734         LC342735         LC342735         LC342736         LC342736         LC342736         LC342737         LC342736         LC342736         LC342736         LC342737         LC342737 <td>D. cvtosporella</td> <td>FAU461</td> <td>Citrus limon</td> <td>Italy</td> <td>KC843307</td> <td>KC843141</td> <td>NA</td> <td>KC843116</td> <td>KC843221</td>	D. cvtosporella	FAU461	Citrus limon	Italy	KC843307	KC843141	NA	KC843116	KC843221
D. doryenii         MFUUCC 17-1015         Doryeniim hiranam         Italy hiranam         KY964215         NA         YA         KY964071         KY420875         KY420875         KY420875         KY420875         KY420875         KY420790         NA         KY96216         KY96216         KY96216         KY96216	D. discoidispora	ZIUD89	Citrus unshiu	China	KI490624	NA	KI490566	KI490503	KI490445
17-1015         himaum         no.         No. <th< td=""><td>D. dorvenii</td><td>MFLUCC</td><td>Dorvenium</td><td>Italy</td><td>KY964215</td><td>NA</td><td>NA</td><td>KY964171</td><td>KY964099</td></th<>	D. dorvenii	MFLUCC	Dorvenium	Italy	KY964215	NA	NA	KY964171	KY964099
D. elenogni glabma         Cinxa         KX990211         KX999211         KX999171         KX99171         KX99171         KX99171         KX99171         KX99171         KX916171         KX141057         KX141519         KX141057         KX141717         KX147172         KX14772         KX1475977         KK1459477	D. abrychii	17-1015	hirsutum	italy	111/0121		1411	111/011/1	111901099
International Status         International Status         International Status         International Status         International Status         International Status           D. endophymical         CBS 13381         Schimal terebinshipfilue         Beauli         KC343065         KC343307         KC343065         KC34307         KC343065         KC34307         KC343065         KC34307         KC343065         KC34307         KC343065         KC34307         KC34307         KC34307         KC34306         KC34307         KC34306         KC34307         KC34306         KC34307         KC34508         KC34307         KC34508         KC34307         KC34508         KC34307         KC34507         KC34507         KD3         KD3         KC34507         KD3         KD3         KD3         KD3         KD3         KD3         KD3 <t< td=""><td>D. elaeagni-glabrae</td><td>CGMCC</td><td>Elaeaonus olahra</td><td>China</td><td>KX986779</td><td>KX999281</td><td>KX999251</td><td>KX999171</td><td>KX999212</td></t<>	D. elaeagni-glabrae	CGMCC	Elaeaonus olahra	China	KX986779	KX999281	KX999251	KX999171	KX999212
D. endophyticat         CBS 133811         behavior ereletatibilique intermeterational productional sectorizational productional prod		3.18287							
Level         Levelskingfightu         Levelskingfightu <thlevelskingfightu< th=""> <thlevelskingfightu< th=""></thlevelskingfightu<></thlevelskingfightu<>	D. endophytica	CBS 133811	Schinus	Brazil	KC343065	KC343307	KC343549	KC343791	KC343065
D. eres         AK5193         Ulmar.sp. basedphorum         Germany CBS 13255         K21057         K144099         K12057         NA         NA         NA           D. enadphorum         CBS 13250         Facrinulum engare         Forriugal engare         NR120157         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA           D. fracini- angustifilize         GRS 13208         Facrinu- engartifilize         Australia         JN862528         NA         NA         JN862534         KC34308         KC34378         KC34378         KC34378         KC34278         LC34273         LC342736         LC342737         LC342736	1.5		terebinthifolius						
D. excedpporum         CBS 13232         Excedpptus sp.         Australia         NR120157         NA         NA         NA         NA           D foriculaterat         CBS 132308         Foreituslam         Portugal         KC343140         KC343140         KC343140         KC343186         KC343808         KC344072           D fascini- angurifibila         BRIP 54781         Fraccinia angurifibila         Australia         JX862528         NA         NA         IX862534         KF170220           D fracinicola         CFCC 52582         Fraccinia         China         MH121517         MH121455         NA         MH121559         NA           D, fracinicola         MAFF 246408         Predicit × flavicarpa         Japan         LC342734         LC342737         LC342735         LC342735         LC342736           D, fuiciola         CGMCC         Libocarpus         China         KF576281         KF576233         NA         KF576305           J.gerehjmenii         JL205424         Ieof         China         KK35072         NA         MK523576         KK540022           D. gleindipineti         JL205424         Ieof         France         MG600221         MG600224         MG600224         MG600224         MG600224         K0540188 <t< td=""><td>D. eres</td><td>AR5193</td><td>Ulmus sp.</td><td>Germany</td><td>KJ210529</td><td>KJ434999</td><td>KJ420850</td><td>KJ210550</td><td>KJ420799</td></t<>	D. eres	AR5193	Ulmus sp.	Germany	KJ210529	KJ434999	KJ420850	KJ210550	KJ420799
D. forenicalacea         CBS 123208         Forenicalian tengent         Portugal         KC343104         KC343346         KC343388         KC343380         KC344383         KC3443830         KC344072           D. fractinela         CFCC 52582         Fractinut angentificitie         China         MH121517         MH121435         NA         MH121559         NA           D. fracticula         MAFF 246408         Parifhuri endula x flarieorpa         Lina         KF576231         LC342734         LC342737         LC342735         LC342736           D. fracticula         CGMCC         Lithocarpus         China         KF576231         KF576233         NA         KF576256         KF576305           D. garneginenis         JZB320049         Vitu vinifren         China         MK395772         MK30277         NA         MK523560         MK500168           D. holcis         AR5211         Heden briz         France         KJ210538         KJ420875         KJ210575         KJ210576         KJ20828           D. holcis         AR5211         Heden briz         France         MG600222         M	D. eucalyptorum	CBS 132525	Eucalyptus sp.	Australia	NR120157	NA	NA	NA	NA
J. fraxini- genetificita         ulgare engutificita         view         L. L. M.         M.         J. M.         M.         J. M.	D. foeniculacea	CBS 123208	Foeniculum	Portugal	KC343104	KC343346	KC343588	KC343830	KC344072
D, fiscini- anguitifiliar         BRIP 54781         Frazimu anguitifiliar         JX862528         NA         NA         JX862534         KF170920           D, fractricolar         CFCC 52582         Frazimu chinenuit         China         MH121517         MH121435         NA         MH121559         NA           D, fractricolar         MAFF 246408         Frazimu chinenuit         Japan         LC342734         LC342737         LC342735         LC342735         LC342736           D, fractricolar         MAFF 246408         Frazimu chinenuit         Japan         LC342738         LC342737         LC342736         LC342736           D, fractricolar         MAFF 246408         Frazimu chinenuit         Frazimu differentuit         Thailand         KF576281         KF576233         NA         KF576256         KF576305           J. J2052042         Izbordy         Unknown dead         Thailand         KT459470         NA         KT459477         KT459477         KT459447         KT459470         NA         KK52556         MK500168         D.         Mc600224         Mc600224         Mc600224         Mc600224         Mc600224         Mc600224         Mc600224         Mc600226         Mc600226         Mc600226         Mc600226         K054018         D.         D.         Ms	J		vulgare	0					
argunificitae         argunificita         argunificitae         argunificitae         argunificitae           D. fractiniola         CFCC 52582         Fractinus         China         MH121517         MH121435         NA         MH12159         NA           D. fracticola         MAFF 246408         Russifion edulis × B edulis + anizarian         Japan         LC342734         LC342737         LC342735         LC3427355         LC3427355         LC3427	D. fraxini-	BRIP 54781	Fraxinus	Australia	JX862528	NA	NA	JX862534	KF170920
D. fractinicola         CFCC 52582         Franzimu chinemia         China methonicoli         MH121517         MH121435         NA         MH121559         NA           D. fracticola         MAFF 246408         Baziflern edulix × Betelufis f. Javicenja         Japan         LC342734         LC342738         LC342735         LC342736         L	angustifoliae		angustifolia						
Image: constraint of the second sec	D. fraxinicola	CFCC 52582	Fraxinus	China	MH121517	MH121435	NA	MH121559	NA
D. fructicola         MAFF 246408         Passifione edulis x fluxicarpa         Japan         LC342734         LC342738         LC342737         LC342735         LC342735         LC342736           D. fusicola         CCMCC         Libnocarpus 3.17087         glabat         KF576281         KF576233         NA         KF576256         KF576355           D. garethjonesii         MFLUCC         Unknown dead Lo fluxininfera         China         KT459470         NA         KT459457         KT459451         KT459451         KT459451         KT459451         KT459450         KT459451         KT459457         KT459451			chinensis						
P. dulis f. flavicarpaP. dulis f. flavicarpaChina kF576281KF576233NAKF576256KF576305D. garebjonesiiMFLUCC LibboarpusLibboarpus glabraChina kF576281KF576233NAKF576256KF576305D. garegipenesiiJZB320094Vitis sinifera beterobpyllaChina kF175983MK335772MK736727NAMK523566MK500168D. guargeiensisJZB320094Vitis sinifera beterobpyllaChinaMK335772MK736727NAMK523566MK500128D. hetero beterobpyllaRAS211Heden belix beterobpyllaFrance beterobpyllaMG600220MG600220MG600220MG600220D. hubeiensisJZB320123Vitis sinifera beterobpyllaChinaKX39809MK500255NAMK523570MK500148D. incompletaCCSMCC 3.18288Gamella sineusis ilicifoliaChinaKX98103KC343123KC343607KC343849KC344091D. infecundaCBS 133813Maytemus terebinthifoliusBrazil terebinthifoliusKC343126KC343368KC343601KC343852KC344094D. juglandicolaCFCC 5134Juglams nandahmiriaChina madiburicaMH121521MH121439MH121479MH121563MH121600D. lichicolaBRIP 54800Lichi chinemis nugareAustraliaJX82533NANAK243362KC343628KC344042D. indidleroniiBRIP 54824 <i>Radiumus</i> ruggereAustraliaKJ197277NANA	D. fructicola	MAFF 246408	Passiflora edulis ×	Japan	LC342734	LC342738	LC342737	LC342735	LC342736
Junicola         CCMCC         Litheorphu glabra         China         KF576281         KF576233         NA         KF576256         KF576305           D. garebjonesii         MFLUCC         Litheorphu 12-0542a         ladra         KT459423         KT459470         NA         KT459457         KT459441           D. garengisensis         JZB320094         Vitis rimifera         China         MK335772         MK736727         NA         MK523566         MK500168           D. helicis         ARS211         Hedera helix         France         KJ210538         KJ435043         KJ420825         KJ20529         KJ20575         KJ210559         KJ420826           D. heterophyllar         CBS 143769         Accia         France         MG600226         MG600220         MG600220         MG600220         KG609226         KX999166         KY99226         KX999166         KY99226         KX999166         KY99226         KX999166         KY99226         KX999166         KY99226         KX999166         KY99226         KX99166         KX99479         Litheorphylas         KC344304         KC344364 <td></td> <td></td> <td>P. edulis f.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			P. edulis f.						
D. fusicalaCGMCCLinbacarpus glabraChinaKF576231KF576233NAKF576256KF576305D. garredijoneniiMFLUCC 12-0542aUnknown dead ladtThailandKT459423KT459470NAKT459457KT459441D. guargejensisJZB3200094Vitis viniferaChinaMK335772NK735771NAMK5223566MK500168D. helicisAR5211Hedens helixFranceKJ210538KJ420875KJ210559KJ208200D. helicisAR5211Hedens helixFranceKJ600222MG600220MG600220MG600220D. heterophyllaeCBS 143769Acacia heterophyllaFranceMG600222MG600220MG600220MG500148D. incompletaCCMCCCamellia sinensisChinaKX986794KX099289KX099265KX099106KX099226D. incompletaCBS 133813 <i>Magremus</i> ilicifuliaBrazilKC343126KC34368KC343607KC343849KC344091D. infecundaCFCC 51134JuglaniChinaKU985101KX024616KX024628KX024628KX024628D. juglandicolaCFCC 5286Kadsura longipeduculataChinaJX862533NANAJX862539KF170255D. laitanicateCBS 123212Foeniculum nulgarePortugalKC343126KC343608KC343602KC343628KC344604D. indiclotalBRIP 54982aHeliamhus amutaJX862533NANAKJ197239KJ197257D. indiclotal <td></td> <td></td> <td>flavicarpa</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			flavicarpa						
3.17087         glabra	D. fusicola	CGMCC	Lithocarpus	China	KF576281	KF576233	NA	KF576256	KF576305
D. garethjonciii         MFLUCC         Unknoum dead leaf         Thailand         KT459423         KT459470         NA         KT459457         KT459441           D. guangeiensii         JZB320094         Vitix vinifera         China         MK335772         MK736727         NA         MK523566         MK500168           D. helicix         ARS211         Hedera helix         France         KJ210538         KJ420875         KJ210559         KJ420828           D. heterophylla         CBS 143769         Acacia         France         MG600220         MG600226         MC600218         MG500235         NA         MK520570         KX599266         KX999266         KX999265         KX992168         KX992265         X183813         Mayemu         Brazil         KC343123         KC343365         KC343600         KC343849         KC344094         Lichichian         KC343126         KC343365         KC343610         KC343852         KC344094         Lichianianianianianianianianianianianianiani		3.17087	glabra						
12-0542a         leaf	D. garethjonesii	MFLUCC	Unknown dead	Thailand	KT459423	KT459470	NA	KT459457	KT459441
D. guangziensis         JZB320094         Viris vinifera         China         MK33572         MK736727         NA         MK523566         MK500168           D. helicis         AR5211         Hedera helix         France         KJ210538         KJ43043         KJ420875         KJ210559         KJ20828           D. hubeiensis         JZB320123         Vitis vinifera         China         MK305023         NA         MK523570         MK500148           D. incompleta         CGMCC         Gamellia sineusis         China         KX986794         KX999289         KX999265         KX999186         KX999226           D. inconspicua         CBS 133813         Maytenus         Brazil         KC343123         KC343607         KC34849         KC344091           D. infecunda         CBS 133812         Schinus         Brazil         KC343126         KC34368         KC34601         KC34849         KC344091           D. infecunda         CFC 51134         Juglann         China         KU985101         KX024616         KX024622         KX024628         KX024634           D. juglandicola         CFC 52586         Kadsura         China         MH121521         MH121439         MH121479         MH121563         MH121600           D. iusitanice		12-0542a	leaf						
D. helicis         AR5211         Hedera helix         France         KJ210538         KJ420875         KJ210559         KJ20828           D. hetrophyllae         CBS 143769         Acacia heterophylla         France         MG600222         MG600218         MG600220         MG600224         MG600224         MG600226           D. hubeiensis         JZB320123         Vitis viniferat         China         MK335809         MK500235         NA         MK523570         MK500148           D. incompleta         CGMCC         Camellia sineusis         China         KX986794         KX999289         KX999186         KX999226           D. informpleta         CBS 133813         Maytenus ilicifolia         Brazil         KC343123         KC343601         KC343849         KC344091           D. informunda         CBS 133812         Schinus trefebinthifolius         Brazil         KC343126         KC343368         KC343010         KC343822         KX024628         KX024628 <td>D. guangxiensis</td> <td>JZB320094</td> <td>Vitis vinifera</td> <td>China</td> <td>MK335772</td> <td>MK736727</td> <td>NA</td> <td>MK523566</td> <td>MK500168</td>	D. guangxiensis	JZB320094	Vitis vinifera	China	MK335772	MK736727	NA	MK523566	MK500168
D. heterophyllae       CBS 143769       Acacia       France       MG600222       MG600221       MG600220       MG600224       MG600225       NA       MK523570       MK500148         D. hubeieniis       JZB320123       Vitis vinifera       China       KX986794       KX999289       KX999265       KX999186       KX999226         J. incompicua       CBS 133813       Maytenus       Brazil       KC343123       KC343365       KC343607       KC343849       KC344091         D. incompicua       CBS 133812       Schinus       Brazil       KC341126       KC34368       KC343610       KC343852       KC344094         D. juglandicola       CFCC 51134       Juglans       China       KU985101       KX024616       KX024622       KX024628       KX024634         D. kadsurae       CFCC 52866       Kadsurat       China       MH121521       MH121479       MH121563       MH121600         D. litchicola       BRIP 54900       Litchi chinensis       Australia       JX862533       NA       NA       KX343620       KC343862       KC344104         D. middletonii	D. helicis	AR5211	Hedera helix	France	KJ210538	KJ435043	KJ420875	KJ210559	KJ420828
beterohypula	D. heterophyllae	CBS 143769	Acacia	France	MG600222	MG600218	MG600220	MG600224	MG600226
D. hubeitensisJZB320123Vitis viniferaChinaMK335809MK500235NAMK523570MK500148D. incompletaCGMCCCamellia sinensisChinaKX986794KX999288KX999265KX999186KX999226D. inconspicuaCBS 133813Maytenus ilicipliaBrazilKC343123KC343365KC343607KC343849KC344091D. infecundaCBS 133812Schinus terebinthifoliusBrazilKC343126KC343368KC343610KC343852KC344091D. juglandicolaCFCC 51134Juglams madshuricaChinaKU985101KX024616KX024622KX024628KX024634D. kadsuraeCFCC 52586Kadsura longipednuculataChinaMH121521MH121439MH121479MH121563MH121600D. lucitanicaeCBS 123212Foericulum vulgarePortugalKC343136KC343378KC343620KC343862KC341104D. midelteroniiBRIP 57892aHelianthus ammusAustraliaKJ197277NANAKJ197239KJ197257D. milettiaeGUCC9167Millettia regiotrum rugotrumChinaMK398674MK502086NAMK480609MK502089D. milettiaeCBS 129519Muas pp.AustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. milettiaeCBS 129519Muas pp.AustraliaKC343145KC343385KC343629KC343871KC344112D. milettiaeCBS 109490Ambrosia trifdaUSAKC343145 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>heterohpylla</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			heterohpylla						
D. incompletaCGMCC 3.18288Camellia sinensisChinaKX986794KX999289KX999265KX999186KX999265D. incongricuaCBS 133813Maytemus ilicifoliaBrazilKC343123KC34365KC343607KC343849KC344091D. infecundaCBS 133812Sebinus terebinthifoliusBrazilKC343126KC34368KC343610KC343852KC344094D. juglandicolaCFCC 51134Juglans mandshuricaChinaKU985101KX024616KX024622KX024628KX024634D. kadsuraeCFCC 52586Kaduma longipeducucluatChinaMH121521MH121439MH12179MH12153MH121600D. litchicolaBRIP 54900Litchi chinensisAustraliaJX862533NANAJX862539KF170925D. lusitanicaeCBS 123212Foeniculum vulgarePortugalKC343136KC343378KC343620KC343862KC34104D. masireviciiBRIP 57892aHelianthus annutusAustraliaKJ197277NANAKJ197248KJ197266D. midelletoniiBRIP 54884Rapistrum rugostrumAustraliaKJ197286NANAKJ480609MK502089D. milettiaeGUCC9167Milettia reticulataChinaMK398674MK502086NAMK480609KK504111D. milettiaeCBS 129519Musa sp.AustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. musigenaCBS 144.27Spiraca sp.USAKC343143KC	D. hubeiensis	JZB320123	Vitis vinifera	China	MK335809	MK500235	NA	MK523570	MK500148
3.18288Image: Second Secon	D. incompleta	CGMCC	Camellia sinensis	China	KX986794	KX999289	KX999265	KX999186	KX999226
D. inconspicualCBS 133813Maytemus ilicifoliaBrazilKC343123KC343365KC343607KC343849KC344091D. infecundaCBS 133812Schirus terebinthifoliusBrazilKC343126KC343368KC343610KC343852KC344094D. juglandicolaCFCC 51134Juglans mandshuricatChinaKU985101KX024616KX024622KX024628KX024634D. kadsuraeCFCC 52568Kadsura longipedunculataChinaMH121521MH121479MH121563MH121600D. litchicolaBRIP 54900Litchi chinensisAustraliaJX862533NANAJX862539KC344362KC344104D. lusitanicaeCBS 123212Foeniculum vulgarePortugalKC343136KC343378KC343620KC3443862KC341104D. midelletoniiBRIP 57892aHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197277NANAKJ197248KJ197266D. midelletoniiGUCC9167Millettia regostrumChinaMK398674MK502086NAMK480609MK502089D. mirciaeGUCC9167Millettia reticulataChinaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. misigenaCBS 129519Musa sp.AustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ43628KC343870KC344111D. neillaeCBS 144.27Spineea sp.USAKC341345KC343377KC343628KC343871KC344111D. neibofigiBRIP 54801Ambrosia rifidaUSAKC343145 <t< td=""><td></td><td>3.18288</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		3.18288							
D. infecundaCBS 133812Schimus terebinthifoliusBrazil BrazilKC343126KC343368KC343101KC343852KC344094D. juglandicolaCFCC 51134Juglans longipedunculataChinaKU985101KX024616KX024622KX024628KX024634D. kadsuraeCFCC 5286Kadsura longipedunculataChinaMH121521MH121439MH121479MH121563MH121600D. litchicolaBRIP 54900Litchi chinensisAustraliaJX862533NANAJX862539KF170925D. lusitanicaeCBS 123212Foeniculum vulgarePortugalKC343136KC343378KC343620KC343862KC344104D. masireeiciiBRIP 57892aHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197277NANAKJ197239KJ197257D. middletoniiBRIP 54884e Rapistrum rugostrumRapistrum rugostrumAustraliaKJ197286NANAKK30609MK502089D. miilettiaeGUCC9167Millettia rugostrumChina amnuusKC343143KC343385KC343627KC343869KC344111D. misigenaCBS 129519Mus ap. AustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. meidiaeCBS 129519Mus ap. AustraliaKC343143KC343385KC343629KC344871KC344112D. neotrigiCBS 127270Glycine max cunninghamiiCroatiaKC343155KC343397KC343640KC343881KC3441123D. novemCBS 127270Glycine max	D. inconspicua	CBS 133813	Maytenus	Brazil	KC343123	KC343365	KC343607	KC343849	KC344091
D. infecundaCBS 133812Schimus terebinhifoliusBrazilKC343126KC343368KC343610KC343852KC344094D. juglandicolaCFCC 51134Juglans mandshiricaChina mandshiricaKU985101KX024616KX024622KX024628KX024634D. kadsuraeCFCC 52586Kadsura longipedunculataChina longipedunculataMH121521MH121439MH121479MH121563MH121600D. litchicolaBRIP 54900LitchichinensisAustraliaJX862533NANAJX862539KF170925D. lusitanicaeCBS 123212Foeniculum vulgarePortugal annuusKC343166KC343378KC343620KC344862KC341104D. misiterviciiBRIP 57892aHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197277NANAKJ197286KJ197286D. midlletoniiBRIP 54884e regostrumRapistrum registrum rugostrumAustraliaKJ197286NANAKJ197248KJ197262D. milettiaeGUCC9167Millettia reticulataChina reticulataKS343143KC343385KC343627KC343869KC344111D. misigenaCBS 129519Musa sp.AustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. misigenaCBS 142.27Spiraca sp.USAKC343143KC343387KC343629KC343870KC344111D. neolfdigiBRIP 54801Nothofagus cunninghamiiAustraliaJX862530NANAJX862536KF170922D. novem			ilicifolia						
Level inthifoliusChinaKU985101KX024616KX024622KX024628KX024634D. juglandicolaCFCC 51134Juglans mandshuricaChinaKU985101KX024616KX024622KX024628KX024634D. kadsuraeCFCC 52586Kadsura longipedunculataChinaMH121521MH121439MH121479MH121563MH121600D. litchicolaBRIP 54900Litchi chinensisAustraliaJX862533NANAJX862539KF170925D. lusitanicaeCBS 123212Foeniculum vulgarePortugalKC343136KC343378KC343620KC343862KC344104D. masireviciiBRIP 57892aHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197277NANAKJ197239KJ197257D. midlettoniiBRIP 54884e rugostrum rugostrumRajstrum rugostrumAustraliaKJ197286NANAKJ197248KJ197266D. millettiaeGUCC9167Millettia rugostrumChina reticulataMK398674MK502086NAMK480609MK502089D. misigenaCBS 129519Musa sp.AustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. musigenaCBS 129519Musa sp.AustraliaKC343143KC343387KC343627KC343869KC344111D. neilliaeCBS 109490Ambrosia trifidaUSAKC343145KC343387KC343629KC343870KC344112D. novemCGS 127270Glycine max cunninghamiiCroatiaKC343145KC3433877 <t< td=""><td>D. infecunda</td><td>CBS 133812</td><td>Schinus</td><td>Brazil</td><td>KC343126</td><td>KC343368</td><td>KC343610</td><td>KC343852</td><td>KC344094</td></t<>	D. infecunda	CBS 133812	Schinus	Brazil	KC343126	KC343368	KC343610	KC343852	KC344094
D. juglandicolaCFCC 51134Juglans mandsburicaChinaKU985101KX024616KX024622KX024622KX024628KX024634D. kadsuraeCFCC 5286Kadsura longipedinculataChinaMH121521MH121439MH121479MH121530MH121600D. litchicolaBRIP 54900Litchi chinensisAustraliaJX862533NANAJX862539KF170925D. lusitanicaeCBS 123212Foeniculum vulgarePortugalKC343136KC343378KC343620KC343862KC344104D. masireviciiBRIP 57892aHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197277NANANAKJ197239KJ197257D. middletoniiBRIP 54884eRapistrum rugostrumAustraliaKJ197286NANAKK80609MK502089D. miilettiaeGUCC9167Millettia rugostrumChinaMK398674MK502086NAMK480609MK502089D. misigenaCBS 129519Musa sp.AustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. musigenaCBS 129519Musa sp.AustraliaKC343143KC343385KC343627KC343870KC341111D. neiliaeCBS 109490Ambrosia trifidaUSAKC343145KC343387KC343629KC343871KC344113D. norbofagiBRIP 54801Norbofagus cunninghamiiQuesti KC343155KC343377KC343629KC343871KC343113D. norbofagiBRIP 54801Norbofagus cunninghamiiCroatiaKC34			terebinthifolius						
D. kadsuraeCFCC 52586Kadsura longipedunculataChinaMH121521MH121439MH121479MH121563MH121600D. litchicolaBRIP 54900Litchi chinensisAustraliaJX862533NANAJX862539KF170925D. lusitanicaeCBS 123212Foeniculum vulgarePortugal ulgareKC343136KC343378KC343620KC343862KC344104D. masireviciiBRIP 57892aHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197277NANANAKJ197239KJ197257D. middletoniiBRIP 54884eRapistrum rugostrumAustraliaKJ197286NANAKJ197248KJ197266D. middletoniiBRIP 54736jHelianthus rugostrumAustraliaKJ197282NANAKK80609MK502089D. miriciaeBRIP 54736jHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. musigenaCBS 129519Musa sp.AustraliaKC343143KC343385KC343627KC343870KC344111D. neiliaeCBS 109490Ambrosia trifidaUSAKC343145KC343387KC343629KC343871KC344113D. novemCBS 127270Glycine max annuusCroatiaKC343155KC343397KC343640KC343881KC344123D. novemCBS 127270Glycine max anninghamiiCroatiaKC343155KC343397KC343640KC343881KC344123D. novemCBS 127270Glycine max anninghamiiCroatia<	D. juglandicola	CFCC 51134	Juglans	China	KU985101	KX024616	KX024622	KX024628	KX024634
D. kadsuraeCFCC 52586Kadsura longipedunculataChinaMH121521MH121439MH121479MH121563MH121600D. litchicolaBRIP 54900Litchi chinensisAustraliaJX862533NANAJX862539KF170925D. lusitanicaeCBS 123212Foeniculum vulgarePortugalKC343136KC343378KC343620KC343862KC344104D. masireviciiBRIP 57892aHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197277NANANAKJ197239KJ197257D. middletoniiBRIP 54884eRapistrum rugostrumAustraliaKJ197286NANAKA80009MK502080D. millettiaeGUCC9167MillettiaChinaMK398674MK502086NAMK480609MK502089D. miriciaeBRIP 54736jHelianthus reticulataAustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. musigenaCBS 129519Musa sp.AustraliaKC343143KC343386KC343628KC343870KC344112D. neillaeCBS 109490Ambrosia trifidaUSAKC343145KC343387KC343629KC343871KC344113D. nothofagiBRIP 54801NothofagusAustraliaJX862530NANAJX862536KF170922D. novemCBS 127270Glycine maxCroatiaKC343155KC343397KC343640KC343881KC344123D. oracciniiCGMCC 3.17531GUCC959Citrus limonChinaKJ490628NAKJ490570			mandshurica						L
InterpretationInterpretationInterpretationD. litchicolaBRIP 54900Litchi chinensisAustraliaJX862533NANAJX862539KF170925D. litchicolaCBS 123212Foeniculum vulgarePortugalKC343136KC343378KC343620KC343862KC344104D. masireviciiBRIP 57892aHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197277NANANAKJ197239KJ197257D. middletoniiBRIP 54884eRapistrum rugostrumAustraliaKJ197286NANAKAKJ197248KJ197266D. millettiaeGUCC9167MillettiaChinaMK398674MK502086NAMK480609MK502089D. miriciaeBRIP 54736jHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. musigenaCBS 129519Musa sp.AustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. neuliliaeCBS 144.27Spiraea sp.USAKC343143KC343385KC343628KC343870KC344112D. neoarctiiCBS 109490Ambrosia trifidaUSAKC343145KC343387KC343629KC343871KC344113D. nothofagiBRIP 54801NothofagusAustraliaJX862530NANANAJX862536KF170922D. novemCBS 127270Glycine maxCroatiaKC343155KC343397KC343640KC343881KC344123D. ovoicicola3.17531ChinaKP267863NA <td>D. kadsurae</td> <td>CFCC 52586</td> <td>Kadsura</td> <td>China</td> <td>MH121521</td> <td>MH121439</td> <td>MH121479</td> <td>MH121563</td> <td>MH121600</td>	D. kadsurae	CFCC 52586	Kadsura	China	MH121521	MH121439	MH121479	MH121563	MH121600
D. litebicolaBRIP 54900Litebi chinensisAustraliaJX862533NANAJX862539KF170925D. lusitanicaeCBS 123212Foeniculum vulgarePortugalKC343136KC343378KC343620KC343862KC344104D. masireviciiBRIP 57892aHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197277NANAKJ197239KJ197257D. middletoniiBRIP 54884eRapistrum rugostrumAustraliaKJ197286NANAKJKJ197248KJ197266D. milettiaeGUCC9167Millettia reticulataChinaMK398674MK502086NAMK480609MK502089D. misigenaCBS 129519Helianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. musigenaCBS 142.27Spiraea sp.USAKC343143KC343385KC343627KC343869KC344111D. neorctiiCBS 109490Ambrosia trifidaUSAKC343145KC343387KC343629KC343871KC344113D. novemCBS 127270Glycine maxCroatiaKC343155KC343397KC343640KC343881KC344123D. oracciniiCGMCC 3.17531Camelia sinensisChinaKP267863NAKJ490570KJ490507KJ490449D. ovolicicolaCGMCC 3.17931Citrus sp.ChinaKF576265KF576223NAKF576240KF576289			longipedunculata						
D. lusitanicaeCBS 123212Foeniculum vulgarePortugalKC343136KC343378KC343620KC343862KC344104D. masireviciiBRIP 57892aHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197277NANAKJ197239KJ197257D. middletoniiBRIP 54884eRapitrum rugostrumAustraliaKJ197286NANAKJ197248KJ197266D. middletoniiBRIP 54884eRapitrum reticulataAustraliaKJ197286NANAKJ197248KJ197266D. miriciaeGUCC9167Millettia reticulataChinaMK598674MK502086NAMK480609MK502089D. misigenaCBS 129519Helianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. musigenaCBS 144.27Spiraea sp.USAKC343143KC343386KC343627KC343869KC344111D. neoarctiiCBS 109490Ambrosia trifidaUSAKC343145KC343387KC343628KC343871KC344113D. notofagiBRIP 54801Nothofagus cunninghamiiAustraliaJX862530NANAJX862536KF170922D. novemCBS 127270Glycine max Guccin anghamiiCroatiaKC343155KC343397KC343640KC343881KC344123D. oracciniiCGMCC 3.17531ChinaKP267863NAKJ490570KJ490507KJ490449D. ovoicicolaCGMCC 3.17093Citrus sp.ChinaKF576265KF576223NAKF576240 <td>D. litchicola</td> <td>BRIP 54900</td> <td>Litchi chinensis</td> <td>Australia</td> <td>JX862533</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>JX862539</td> <td>KF170925</td>	D. litchicola	BRIP 54900	Litchi chinensis	Australia	JX862533	NA	NA	JX862539	KF170925
D. masireviciiBRIP 57892aHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197277NANAKJ197239KJ197237D. middletoniiBRIP 54884eRapistrum rugostrumAustraliaKJ197286NANANAKJ197248KJ197266D. midlettiaeGUCC9167Millettia reticulataChinaMK398674MK502086NANAKK480609MK502089D. miriciaeBRIP 54736jHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. misigenaCBS 129519Musa sp.AustraliaKC343143KC343385KC343627KC343869KC344111D. neusigenaCBS 109519Musa sp.USAKC343144KC343386KC343628KC343870KC344112D. neoarctiiCBS 109490Ambrosia trifidaUSAKC343145KC343387KC343629KC343871KC344113D. novemCBS 127270Glycine maxCroatiaKC343155KC343397KC343640KC343881KC344123D. oracciniiCGMCC 3.17531ChinaKP267863NAKP267937KP267937KP293443D. ovolicicolaCGMCC 3.17031Citrus sp.ChinaKJ490628NAKJ490570KJ490507KJ490449D. ovolicicolaCGMCC 3.17093Citrus sp.ChinaKF576265KF576223NAKF576240KF576240	D. lusitanicae	CBS 123212	Foeniculum	Portugal	KC343136	KC343378	KC343620	KC343862	KC344104
D. masireviciiBRIP 57892aHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197277NANAKJ197239KJ197237D. middletoniiBRIP 54884eRapistrum rugostrumAustraliaKJ197286NANAKJKJ197248KJ197266D. midlettiaeGUCC9167Millettia reticulataChinaMK398674MK502086NAMK 480609MK502089D. miriciaeBRIP 54736jHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. misigenaCBS 129519Musa sp.AustraliaKC343143KC343385KC343628KC343869KC344111D. neilliaeCBS 144.27Spiraea sp.USAKC343144KC343386KC343628KC343871KC344112D. neoarctiiCBS 109490Ambrosia trifidaUSAKC343145KC343387KC343629KC343871KC344113D. notofagiBRIP 54801Nothofagus cunninghamiiAustraliaJX862530NANAJX862536KF170922D. novemCBS 127270Glycine max cunninghamiiCroatiaKC343155KC343397KC343640KC343881KC344123D. oracciniiCGMCC 3.17531Gamed clirus limonChinaKJ490628NAKJ490570KJ490507KJ490449D. ovoicicolaCGMCC 3.17931Citrus sp.ChinaKF576265KF576223NAKF576240KF576240			vulgare						
D. middletoniiBRIP 54884eRapistrum rugostrumAustraliaKJ197286NANAKJ197248KJ197266D. millettiaeGUCC9167Millettia reticulataChinaMK398674MK502086NAMK480609MK502089D. miriciaeBRIP 54736jHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. misigenaCBS 129519Musa sp.AustraliaKC343143KC343385KC343627KC343869KC344111D. neilliaeCBS 144.27Spiraea sp.USAKC343144KC343386KC343628KC343870KC344112D. neoarctiiCBS 109490Ambrosia trifidaUSAKC343145KC343387KC343629KC343871KC344113D. novemCBS 127270Glycine maxCroatiaKC343155KC343397KC343640KC343881KC344123D. novemCBS 127270Glycine maxCroatiaKC343155KC343397KC343640KC343881KC344123D. oracciniiCGMCC 3.17531Gumilia sinensisChinaKJ490628NAKJ490570KJ490507KJ490449D. ovoicicolaCGMCC 3.17031Citrus sp.ChinaKF576265KF576223NAKF576240KF576240	D. masirevicii	BRIP 57892a	Helianthus	Australia	KJ197277	NA	NA	KJ197239	KJ197257
D. middletoniiBRIP 54884eRapistrum rugostrumAustraliaKJ19/286NANAKJ19/248KJ19/248KJ19/266D. millettiaeGUCC9167MillettiaChinaMK398674MK502086NAMK480609MK502089D. miriciaeBRIP 54736jHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197282NANAKJ197244KJ197262D. miriciaeCBS 129519Musa sp.AustraliaKC343143KC343385KC343627KC343869KC344111D. neilliaeCBS 144.27Spiraea sp.USAKC343144KC343386KC343628KC343870KC344112D. neoarctiiCBS 109490Ambrosia trifidaUSAKC343145KC343387KC343629KC343871KC344113D. nothofagiBRIP 54801Nothofagus cunninghamiiAustraliaJX862530NANAJX862536KF170922D. novemCBS 127270Glycine maxCroatiaKC343155KC343397KC343640KC343881KC344123D. oracciniiCGMCC 3.17531ChinaKP267863NAKJ490570KJ490507KJ490449D. ovoicicolaCGMCC 3.17031Citrus sp.ChinaKF576265KF576223NAKF576240KF576240		DDID 6 (00 (	annuus					1111070/0	
D. millettiaeGUCC9167MillettiaChinaMK398674MK502086NAMK480609MK502089D. miriciaeBRIP 54736jHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197282NANANAKJ197244KJ197262D. miriciaeBRIP 54736jHelianthus annuusAustraliaKJ197282NANANAKJ197244KJ197262D. musigenaCBS 129519Musa sp.AustraliaKC343143KC343385KC343627KC343869KC344111D. neilliaeCBS 144.27Spiraea sp.USAKC343144KC343386KC343628KC343870KC344112D. neoarctiiCBS 109490Ambrosia trifidaUSAKC343145KC343387KC343629KC343871KC344113D. nothofagiBRIP 54801Nothofagus cunninghamiiAustraliaJX862530NANAJX862536KF170922D. novemCBS 127270Glycine max cunninghamiiCroatiaKC343155KC343397KC343640KC343881KC344123D. oracciniiCGMCC 3.17531GmemaxChinaKJ490628NAKJ490570KJ490507KJ490449D. ovoicicolaCGMCC 3.17093Citrus sp.ChinaKF576265KF576223NAKF576240KF576289	D. middletonii	BRIP 54884e	Rapistrum	Australia	KJ19/286	NA	NA	KJ19/248	KJ197266
D. multettiae         GUCC9167         Miltettia reticulata         China         MK3986/4         MK302086         NA         MK480609         MK302089           D. miriciae         BRIP 54736j         Helianthus annuus         Australia         KJ197282         NA         NA         KJ197244         KJ197262           D. musigena         CBS 129519         Musa sp. annuus         Australia         KC343143         KC343385         KC343627         KC343869         KC344111           D. neilliae         CBS 144.27         Spiraea sp. D. noearctii         USA         KC343145         KC343386         KC343628         KC343870         KC344112           D. neoarctii         CBS 109490         Ambrosia trifida         USA         KC343145         KC343387         KC343628         KC343871         KC344113           D. noothofagi         BRIP 54801         Nothofagus cunningbamii         Australia         JX862530         NA         NA         JX862536         KF170922           D. novem         CBS 127270         Glycine max cunningbamii         Croatia         KC343155         KC343397         KC343640         KC343881         KC3441123           D. oraccinii         CGMCC 3.17531         China         KP267863         NA         KP267937         KP267937		0110001/7	rugostrum	<u></u>	1.0000/7/	1.00502006	274	1.00/00	1.00502000
D. miriciae         BRIP 54736j         Helianthus annus         Australia         KJ197282         NA         NA         KJ197244         KJ197262           D. musigena         CBS 129519         Musa sp.         Australia         KC343143         KC343385         KC343627         KC343869         KC344111           D. neilliae         CBS 144.27         Spiraea sp.         USA         KC343145         KC343386         KC343628         KC343870         KC344112           D. neoarctii         CBS 109490         Ambrosia trifida         USA         KC343145         KC343387         KC343829         KC343871         KC344113           D. notofagi         BRIP 54801         Nothofagus cunninghamii         Australia         JX862530         NA         NA         JX862536         KF170922           D. novem         CBS 127270         Glycine max cunninghamii         Croatia         KC343155         KC343397         KC343640         KC343881         KC3441123           D. oraccinii         CGMCC 3.17531         China         KP267863         NA         KP267937         KP267937         KP293443           D. ovolispora         ICMP20659         Citrus limon         China         KF576265         KF576223         NA         KF576240         KF576249 <td>D. millettiae</td> <td>GUCC916/</td> <td>Millettia</td> <td>China</td> <td>MK398674</td> <td>MK502086</td> <td>NA</td> <td>MK480609</td> <td>MK502089</td>	D. millettiae	GUCC916/	Millettia	China	MK398674	MK502086	NA	MK480609	MK502089
D. muriciae         BRIP 54/36j         Helianimus annuus         Australia         KJ19/282         NA         NA         KJ19/244         KJ19/242           D. musigena         CBS 129519         Musa sp.         Australia         KC343143         KC343385         KC343327         KC343869         KC344111           D. nesigena         CBS 144.27         Spiraea sp.         USA         KC343144         KC343386         KC343628         KC343870         KC344112           D. neoarctii         CBS 109490         Ambrosia trifida         USA         KC343145         KC343387         KC343870         KC344113           D. notofagi         BRIP 54801         Nothofagus cunningbamii         Australia         JX862530         NA         NA         JX862536         KF170922           D. novem         CBS 127270         Glycine max         Croatia         KC343155         KC343397         KC343840         KC344123           D. oraccinii         CGMCC 3.17531         China         KP267863         NA         KP267937         KP267937         KP293443           D. ovolispora         ICMP20659         Citrus limon         China         KF576265         KF576223         NA         KF576240         KF576240	D	DDID 5/72(:	reticulata	A . 11	1/1107202	NIA	NTA.	1/1107244	1/11072/2
D. musigena         CBS 129519         Musa sp.         Australia         KC343143         KC343385         KC343627         KC34369         KC344111           D. neiliae         CBS 144.27         Spiraea sp.         USA         KC343144         KC343385         KC343628         KC34369         KC344112           D. neoiliae         CBS 109490         Ambrosia trifida         USA         KC343145         KC343387         KC343628         KC343870         KC344112           D. neoarctii         CBS 109490         Ambrosia trifida         USA         KC343145         KC343387         KC343629         KC343871         KC344113           D. noothofagi         BRIP 54801         Nothofagus cunninghamii         Australia         JX862530         NA         NA         JX862536         KF170922           D. novem         CBS 127270         Glycine max         Croatia         KC343155         KC343397         KC343640         KC343881         KC344123           D. oraccinii         CGMCC 3.17531         China         KP267863         NA         KP267937         KP267937         KP293443           D. ovalispora         ICMP20659         Citrus limon         China         KJ490628         NA         KJ490570         KJ490449           D. ovoicicol	D. miricide	BRIP 54/36j	Helianthus	Australia	KJ19/282	INA	INA	KJ19/244	KJ19/262
D. musigena         CDS 129319         Aviisa sp.         Australia         KC343143         KC343355         KC34362/         KC343869         KC343111           D. neilliae         CBS 144.27         Spiraea sp.         USA         KC343144         KC343386         KC343628         KC343870         KC344112           D. neoarctii         CBS 109490         Ambrosia trifida         USA         KC343145         KC343386         KC343628         KC343870         KC344112           D. neoarctii         CBS 109490         Ambrosia trifida         USA         KC343145         KC343387         KC343871         KC344113           D. nothofagi         BRIP 54801         Nothofagus cunninghamii         Australia         JX862530         NA         NA         JX862536         KF170922           D. novem         CBS 127270         Glycine max         Croatia         KC343155         KC343397         KC343640         KC343881         KC344113           D. oraccinii         CGMCC 3.17531         China         KP267863         NA         KP267937         KP267937         KP293443           D. ovalispora         ICMP20659         Citrus limon         China         KJ490628         NA         KJ490570         KJ490449           D. ovoicicola         CG	Demuia	CPS 120510	Manager	A	VC2/21/2	VC2/2205	VC2/2/27	VC2/20/0	VC2///111
D. neultate         CBS 144.2/         Spiraca sp.         USA         KC343144         KC343366         KC343628         KC343870         KC343112           D. neoarctii         CBS 109490         Ambrosia trifida         USA         KC343145         KC343387         KC343629         KC343871         KC343113           D. nothofagi         BRIP 54801         Nothofagus cunninghamii         Australia         JX862530         NA         NA         JX862536         KF170922           D. novem         CBS 127270         Glycine max         Croatia         KC343155         KC343397         KC343640         KC343881         KC344112           D. oraccinii         CGMCC 3.17531         Glycine max         Croatia         KC343155         KC343397         KC343640         KC343881         KC344123           D. ovalispora         ICMP20659         Citrus limon         China         KJ490628         NA         KJ490570         KJ490507         KJ490449           D. ovoicicola         CGMCC 3.17093         Citrus sp.         China         KF576265         KF576223         NA         KF576240         KF576289	D. musigena	CBS 129519	<i>Iviusa</i> sp.	Australia	KC545145	KC343385	KC34362/	KC343869	KC344111
D. neoarctut         CBS 109490         Ambrosia trifida         USA         KC343145         KC343387         KC343629         KC343871         KC344113           D. nothofagi         BRIP 54801         Nothofagus cunninghamii         Australia         JX862530         NA         NA         JX862536         KF170922           D. novem         CBS 127270         Glycine max         Croatia         KC343155         KC343397         KC343640         KC343881         KC344123           D. oraccinii         CGMCC 3.17531         Gamellia sinensis China         KP267863         NA         KP267937         KP293443           D. ovalispora         ICMP20659         Citrus limon         China         KJ490628         NA         KJ490570         KJ490507         KJ490449           D. ovolicicola         CGMCC 3.17093         Citrus sp.         China         KF576265         KF576223         NA         KF576240         KF576289	D. neillide	CBS 144.2/	Spiraea sp.	USA	KC343144	KC343386	KC343628	KC3438/0	KC344112
D. nothofagi     BRIP 54801     Nothofagus cunninghamii     Australia     JX862530     NA     NA     JX862536     KF170922       D. novem     CBS 127270     Glycine max     Croatia     KC343155     KC343397     KC343640     KC343881     KC344123       D. oraccinii     CGMCC 3.17531     Camellia sinensis     China     KP267863     NA     KP293517     KP267937     KP293443       D. ovalispora     ICMP20659     Citrus limon     China     KJ490628     NA     KJ490570     KJ490507     KJ490449       D. ovolicicola     CGMCC     Citrus sp.     China     KF576265     KF576223     NA     KF576240     KF576289	D. neoarctii	CBS 109490	Ambrosia trifida	USA	КС343145	KC343387	КС343629	KC343871	KC344113
Cunningpamit         Cunningpamit<	D. nothofagi	BRIP 54801	Nothofagus	Australia	JX862530	NA	NA	JX862536	KF170922
D. novem         CBS 12/2/0         Glycine max         Croatia         KC343155         KC34339/         KC343640         KC343881         KC344123           D. oraccinii         CGMCC         Gamellia sinensis         China         KP267863         NA         KP293517         KP267937         KP293443           D. ovalispora         ICMP20659         Citrus limon         China         KJ490628         NA         KJ490570         KJ490507         KJ490449           D. ovoliciola         CGMCC         Citrus sp.         China         KF576265         KF576223         NA         KF576240         KF576289		CDC 12727	cunninghamii	<u> </u>	VC2/2155	1/02/2207	VC2/26/2	VC2/2025	VC2//122
D. oraccinit         CGMCC         Camellia sinensis         China         KP267863         NA         KP293517         KP267937         KP293443           D. ovalispora         ICMP20659         Citrus limon         China         KJ490628         NA         KJ490570         KJ490507         KJ490449           D. ovolicicola         CGMCC         Citrus sp.         China         KF576265         KF576223         NA         KF576240         KF576289	D. novem	CBS 12/2/0	Glycine max	Croatia	KC343155	KC34339/	KC343640	KC343881	KC344123
5.1/331         China         KJ490628         NA         KJ490570         KJ490547           D. ovalispona         ICMP20659         Citrus limon         China         KJ490628         NA         KJ490570         KJ490449           D. ovoicicola         CGMCC         Citrus sp.         China         KF576265         KF576223         NA         KF576240         KF576289	D. oraccinii	CGMCC	Camellia sinensis	China	KP267863	NA	KP293517	KP267937	КР293443
D. ovaiispora         IC.MV20059         C.trus immon         China         KJ490628         NA         KJ4905/0         KJ490507         KJ490449           D. ovoicicola         CGMCC         Citrus sp.         China         KF576265         KF576223         NA         KF576240         KF576289	D l:	3.1/531	Cir. II	C1 :	VI/00/00	374	VI/00576	VI/00505	1/1/00///2
D. ovoicicola CGMCC Citrus sp. China KF576265 KF576223 NA KF576240 KF576289	D. ovalispora	ICMP20659	Citrus limon	China	KJ490628	NA	KJ490570	KJ490507	KJ490449
	D. ovoicicola	3.17093	Citrus sp.	China	KF5/6265	KF5/6223	INA	KF5/6240	KF5/6289

Species	Isolate	Host	Location	n GenBank accession numbers				
				ITS	cal	his3	tef1	tub2
D. osmanthi	GUCC9165	Osmanthus fragrans	China	MK398675	MK502087	NA	MK480610	MK502090
D. padina	CFCC 52590	Padus racemosa	China	MH121525	MH121443	MH121483	MH121567	MH121604
D. pandanicola	MFLU 18-0006	Pandanus sp.	Thailand	MG646974	NA	NA	NA	MG646930
D. pascoei	BRIP 54847	Persea americana	Australia	JX862532	NA	NA	JX862538	KF170924
D. passifloricola	CBS 141329	Passiflora foetida	Malaysia	KX228292	NA	KX228367	NA	KX228387
D. perseae	CBS 151.73	Persea gratissima	Netherlands	KC343173	KC343415	KC343657	KC343899	KC344141
D. pescicola	MFLUCC 16-0105	Prunus persica	China	KU557555	KU557603	NA	KU557623	KU557579
D. phaseolorum	AR4203	Phaseolus vulgaris	USA	KJ590738	NA	KJ659220	NA	KP004507
D. podocarpi-	CGMCC	Podocarpus	China	KX986774	KX999278	KX999246	KX999167	KX999207
macrophylli	3.18281	macrophyllus						
D. pseudomangiferae	CBS 101339	Mangifera indica	Dominican Republic	KC343181	KC343423	KC343665	KC343907	KC344149
D. pseudophoenicicola	CBS 462.69	Phoenix dactylifera	Spain	KC343184	KC343426	KC343668	KC343910	KC344152
D. psoraleae-	CBS 136413	Psoralea pinnata	South Africa	KF777159	NA	NA	NA	KF777252
pinnatae								
D. pulla	CBS 338.89	Hedera helix	Yugoslavia	KC343152	KC343394	KC343636	KC343878	KC344120
D. racemosae	CBS 143770	Euclea racemosa	South Africa	MG600223	MG600219	MG600221	MG600225	MG600227
D. ravennica	MFLUCC 15-0479	<i>Tamarix</i> sp.	Italy	KU900335	NA	NA	KX365197	KX432254
D. rhusicola	CBS 129528	Rhus pendulina	South Africa	JF951146	KC843124	NA	KC843100	KC843205
D. rosae	MFLU 17-1550	<i>Rosa</i> sp.	Thailand	MG828894	NA	NA	NA	MG843878
D. rosicola	MFLU 17-0646	<i>Rosa</i> sp.	UK	MG828895	NA	NA	MG829270	MG843877
D. rudis	AR3422	Laburnum anagyroides	Austria	KC843331	KC843146	NA	KC843090	KC843177
D. sackstonii	BRIP 54669b	Helianthus annuus	Australia	KJ197287	NA	NA	KJ197249	KJ197267
D. salicicola	BRIP 54825	Salix purpurea	Australia	JX862531	NA	NA	JX862537	JX862531
D. sambucusii	CFCC 51986	Sambucus williamsii	China	KY852495	KY852499	KY852503	KY852507	KY852511
D. schini	CBS 133181	Schinus terebinthifolius	Brazil	KC343191	KC343433	KC343675	KC343917	KC344159
D. schoeni	MFLU 15-1279	Schoenus nigricans	Italy	KY964226	KY964139	NA	KY964182	KY964109
D. sennicola	CFCC 51634	Senna bicapsularis	China	KY203722	KY228873	KY228879	KY228883	KY228889
D. serafiniae	BRIP 55665a	Helianthus annuus	Australia	KJ197274	NA	NA	KJ197236	KJ197254
D. shaanxiensis	CFCC 53106	on branches of liana	China	MK432654	MK442976	MK443001	MK578130	NA
	CFCC 53107	on branches of liana	China	MK432655	MK442977	MK443002	MK578131	NA
D. siamensis	MFLUCC 10-573a	Dasymaschalon sp.	Thailand	JQ619879	NA	NA	JX275393	JX275429
D. sojae	FAU635	Glycine max	USA	KJ590719	KJ612116	KJ659208	KJ590762	KJ610875
D. sterilis	CBS 136969	Vaccinium corymbosum	Italy	KJ160579	KJ160548	MF418350	KJ160611	KJ160528
D. stictica	CBS 370.54	Buxus sampervirens	Italy	KC343212	KC343454	KC343696	KC343938	KC344180
D. subclavata	ICMP20663	Citrus unshiv	China	KI490587	NA	KI490529	KI490466	KI490408
D. subcylindrospora	MFLU 17-1195	Salix sp.	China	MG746629	NA	NA	MG746630	MG746631
D. subellipicola	MFLU 17-1197	on dead wood	China	MG746632	NA	NA	MG746633	MG746634

Species	Isolate	Host	Location	GenBank accession numbers				
				ITS	cal	his3	tefI	tub2
D. subordinaria	CBS 464.90	Plantago lanceolata	New Zealand	KC343214	KC343456	KC343698	KC343940	KC344182
D. tectonendophytica	MFLUCC 13-0471	Tectona grandis	China	KU712439	KU749354	NA	KU749367	KU749354
D. tectonigena	MFLUCC 12-0767	Tectona grandis	China	KU712429	KU749358	NA	KU749371	KU743976
D. terebinthifolii	CBS 133180	Schinus terebinthifolius	Brazil	KC343216	KC343458	KC343700	KC343942	KC344184
D. ternstroemia	CGMCC 3.15183	Ternstroemia gymnanthera	China	KC153098	NA	NA	KC153089	NA
D. thunbergii	MFLUCC 10-576a	Thunbergia laurifolia	Thailand	JQ619893	JX197440	NA	JX275409	JX275449
D. tibetensis	CFCC 51999	Juglandis regia	China	MF279843	MF279888	MF279828	MF279858	MF279873
D. ueckerae	FAU656	Cucumis melo	USA	KJ590726	KJ612122	KJ659215	KJ590747	KJ610881
D. ukurunduensis	CFCC 52592	Acer ukurunduense	China	MH121527	MH121445	MH121485	MH121569	NA
D. unshiuensis	CFCC 52594	Carya illinoensis	China	MH121529	MH121447	MH121487	MH121571	MH121606
D. vaccinii	CBS 160.32	Oxycoccus macrocarpos	USA	KC343228	KC343470	KC343712	KC343954	KC344196
D. velutina	CGMCC 3.18286	Neolitsea sp.	China	KX986790	NA	KX999261	KX999182	KX999223
D. viniferae	JZB320071	Vitis vinifera	China	MK341551	MK500107	NA	MK500119	MK500112
D. xishuangbanica	CGMCC 3.18282	Camellia sinensis	China	KX986783	NA	KX999255	KX999175	KX999216
D. yunnanensis	CGMCC 3.18289	<i>Coffea</i> sp.	China	KX986796	KX999290	KX999267	KX999188	KX999228
Diaporthella corylina	CBS 121124	Corylus sp.	China	KC343004	KC343246	KC343488	KC343730	KC343972

Newly sequenced material is indicated in bold type. NA, not applicable.

# Morphological analysis

Morphological observations of the asexual morph in the natural environment were based on features of the fruiting bodies produced on infected plant tissues and micromorphology, supplemented by cultural characteristics. Conidiomata from tree barks were sectioned by hand, using a double-edged blade and structures were observed under a dissecting microscope. The gross morphology of fruiting bodies was recorded using a Leica stereomicroscope (M205 FA). Fungal structures were mounted in clear lactic acid and micromorphological characteristics were examined at 1000× magnification using a Leica compound microscope (DM 2500) with differential interference contrast (DIC) optics. Thirty measurements of each structure were determined for each collection. Colony characters and pigment production on PDA were noted after 10 d. Colony colours were described according to Rayner (1970).

# DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from colonies grown on cellophane-covered PDA, using the CTAB [cetyltrimethylammonium bromide] method (Doyle and Doyle 1990). PCR amplifications of phylogenetic markers were done using the same primer pairs and conditions as in Yang et al. (2018). PCR products were assayed via electrophoresis in 2% agarose gels. DNA sequencing was performed using an ABI PRISM 3730XL DNA Analyzer with a BigDye Terminater Kit v.3.1 (Invitrogen, USA) at the Shanghai Invitrogen Biological Technology Company Limited (Beijing, China).

#### Phylogenetic analyses

The quality of our amplified nucleotide sequences was checked and combined by Seq-Man v.7.1.0 and reference sequences were retrieved from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), based on recent publications on the genus *Diaporthe* (Guarnaccia et al. 2018, Yang et al. 2018, Long et al. 2019). Sequences were aligned using MAFFT v. 7.310 (http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/index.html) (Katoh and Standley 2016) and manually corrected using Bioedit 7.0.9.0 (Hall 1999). The best-fit nucleotide substitution models for each gene were selected using jModelTest v. 2.1.7 (Darriba et al. 2012) under the Akaike Information Criterion.

Phylogenetic analyses of the combined gene regions were performed using Maximum-Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) methods. ML was conducted using PhyML v. 3.0 (Guindon et al. 2010), with 1000 bootstrap replicates. BI was performed using a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm in MrBayes v. 3.0b4 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003). Two MCMC chains, started from random trees for 1,000,000 generations and trees, were sampled every 100th generation, resulting in a total of 10,000 trees. The first 25% of trees were discarded as burn-in of each analysis. Branches with significant Bayesian Posterior Probabilities (BPP) were estimated in the remaining 7500 trees. Phylogenetic trees were viewed with FigTree v.1.3.1 (Rambaut and Drummond 2010) and processed by Adobe Illustrator CS5. Alignment and trees were deposited in TreeBASE (submission ID: S25522). The nucleotide sequence data of the new taxa have been deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

## Results

## Phylogenetic analyses

The five-gene sequence dataset (ITS, *cal, his3, tef1* and *tub2*) was analysed to infer the interspecific relationships within *Diaporthe*. The dataset consisted of 124 sequences including the outgroup, *Diaporthella corylina* (culture CBS 121124). A total of 2555 characters including gaps (505 for ITS, 513 for *cal*, 528 for *his3*, 475 for *tef1* and 522 for *tub2*) were included in the phylogenetic analysis. The best nucleotide substitution model for ITS, *his3* and *tub2* was TrN+I+G, while HKY+I+G was selected for both *cal* and *tef1*. The topologies resulting from ML and BI analyses of the concatenated dataset were congruent (Fig. 1). Isolates from Shaanxi Province formed three individual clades representing three undescribed species.



**Figure 1.** Phylogram of *Diaporthe* resulting from a maximum likelihood analysis based on combined ITS, *cal, his3, tef1* and *tub2*. Numbers above the branches indicate ML bootstraps (left, ML BS  $\geq$  50%) and Bayesian Posterior Probabilities (right, BPP  $\geq$  0.90). The tree is rooted with *Diaporthella corylina*. Isolates in current study are in blue. "-" indicates ML BS < 50% or BI PP < 0.90.





#### Taxonomy

## Diaporthe albosinensis C.M. Tian & Q. Yang, sp. nov.

MycoBank No: 829518 Fig. 2

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from *D. fraxinicola* in having shorter conidiophores and longer beta conidia.

**Etymology.** Named after the host plant, *Betula albosinensis*, from which the holotype was collected.

**Description.** Conidiomata pycnidial, conical, immersed in bark, solitary to aggregated, erumpent through the bark surface, with a solitary undivided locule. *Ectostromatic disc* yellowish to brown, one ostiole per disc. Ostiole medium black,



**Figure 2.** *Diaporthe albosinensis* on *Betula albosinensis* (BJFC-S1670). **A** Habit of conidiomata in wood **B** transverse section of conidiomata **C** longitudinal section through conidiomata **D** conidiogenous cells attached with beta conidia **E** conidiogenous cells attached with alpha conidia **F** beta conidia. Scale bars: 200 µm (**B**–**C**); 20 µm (**D**, **F**); 10 µm (**E**).

up to the level of disc. *Locule* undivided,  $(280-)290-375(-380) \mu m$  diam. *Conidiophores* (6–)8.5–13(–14.5) × (1.5–)2–2.5  $\mu m$ , hyaline, cylindrical, smooth, phialidic, unbranched, straight or slightly curved. *Alpha conidia* hyaline, aseptate, fusiform, 0–1-guttulate,  $(7-)8-10(-11) \times 2.5-3 \mu m$ . *Beta conidia* hyaline, aseptate, filiform,

straight or slightly curved, eguttulate, base subtruncate, tapering towards one apex,  $(24-)25.5-30(-32) \times 1-1.5 \ \mu m$ .

**Culture characters.** Cultures incubated on PDA at 25 °C in the dark. Colony originally flat with white felted aerial mycelium, becoming light brown due to pigment formation, conidiomata irregularly distributed over agar surface, with yellowish conidial drops exuding from the ostioles.

**Specimens examined.** CHINA. Shaanxi Province: Ningshan County, Huoditang Forest Farm, 33°28'25"N, 108°29'39"E, on branches of *Betula albosinensis*, 10 July 2018, *N. Jiang* (holotype BJFC-S1670; ex-type living culture: CFCC 53066; living culture: CFCC 53067).

**Notes.** Two isolates, representing *D. albosinensis*, are retrieved in a well-supported clade (ML BS/BPP=100/1) and appear most closely related to *D. fraxinicola* (Fig. 1). *Diaporthe albosinensis* can be distinguished from *D. fraxinicola*, based on *tef1* and *tub2* loci (3/335 in *tef1* and 19/429 in *tub2*). Morphologically, *D. albosinensis* differs from *D. fraxinicola* in having shorter conidiophores (8.5–13 vs. 10.5–17.5  $\mu$ m) and longer beta conidia (25.5–30 vs. 19–29.5  $\mu$ m) (Yang et al. 2018).

## Diaporthe coryli C.M. Tian & Q. Yang, sp. nov.

MycoBank No: 829520 Fig. 3

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from *D. ukurunduensis* and *D. citrichinensis* in having larger alpha conidia.

**Etymology.** Named after the genus of the host plant from which the holotype was collected, *Corylus*.

**Description.** Conidiomata pycnidial, conical to spherical, immersed in the host bark, erumpent from surface of host branches, scattered,  $950-1200 \times 420-650 \mu m$  diam., covered by orange discharged conidial masses at maturity, usually conspicuous. *Ectostromatic disc* inconspicuous. *Central column* beneath the disc more or less conical, bright yellow. *Conidiophores* reduced to conidiogenous cells. *Conidiogenous cells* cylindrical, hyaline, smooth, unbranched, tapering towards the apex,  $(8.5-)10-12(-13) \times (2-)2.5-3 \mu m$ . Alpha conidia hyaline, aseptate, fusiform, multiguttulate, rarely 2-guttulate,  $(10.5-)11.5-13(-13.5) \times 3-3.5 \mu m$ . Beta conidia not observed.

**Culture characters.** Cultures incubated on PDA at 25 °C in the dark. Colony flat, felty with thick texture at the marginal area, with thin texture in the centre, producing beige pigment after 7–10 d. Aerial mycelium white, dense, conidiomata distributed in the centre, with translucent conidial drops exuding from the ostioles.

**Specimens examined.** CHINA. Shaanxi Province: Ningshan County, Huoditang Forest Farm, 33°28'26"N, 108°29'40"E, on branches of *Corylus mandshurica*, 10 July 2018, *N. Jiang* (holotype BJFC-S1671; ex-type living culture: CFCC 53083); 33°28'26"N, 108°29'38"E, on branches of *Corylus mandshurica*, 10 July 2018, *N. Jiang* (paratype BJFC-S1672; living culture: CFCC 53084).



**Figure 3.** *Diaporthe coryli* on *Corylus mandshurica* (BJFC-S1671). **A, B** Habit of conidiomata in wood **C** transverse section of conidiomata **D** longitudinal section through conidiomata **E** conidiogenous cells attached with alpha conidia **F** alpha conidia. Scale bars: 500 μm (**B–D**); 10 μm (**E**); 20 μm (**F**).

**Notes.** We generated sequences for two isolates of *D. coryli*, CFCC 53083 and CFCC 53084. This new species is phylogenetically most closely related to *D. ukurunduensis* and *D. citrichinensis* (Fig. 1). *Diaporthe coryli* can be distinguished from *D. ukurunduensis*, based on ITS, *his3* and *tef1* loci (8/467 in ITS, 1/460 in *his3* and 1/336 in *tef1*); and from *D. citrichinensis* based on *tef1* and *tub2* loci (4/335 in *tef1* and 25/428 in *tub2*). Morphologically, *D. coryli* can be distinguished from both *D. ukurunduensis* (11.5–13 × 3–3.5 vs. 5–6 × 2–3 µm) and *D. citrichinensis* (11.5–13 × 3–3.5 vs. 5.5–9 × 1.5–2.5 µm) in having larger alpha conidia (Huang et al. 2013, Gao et al. 2016).

# *Diaporthe shaanxiensis* C.M. Tian & Q. Yang, sp. nov. MycoBank No: 829527 Fig. 4

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from *D. aquatica* and *D. incompleta* in having longer beta conidia.

Etymology. Named after Province Shaanxi, where the holotype was collected.

**Description.** Conidiomata pycnidial, immersed in bark, scattered, erumpent through the bark surface, discoid, with a solitary undivided locule. Ectostromatic disc yellowish to pale brown, one ostiole per disc, usually conspicuous, (485–)500–687(–



**Figure 4.** *Diaporthe shaanxiensis* on liana (BJFC-S1674). **A, B** Habit of conidiomata on twig **C** transverse section through conidiomata **D** longitudinal section through conidiomata **E** conidiogenous cells attached with beta conidia **F** beta conidia. Scale bars: 200 µm (**B**–**D**); 10 µm (**E**, **F**).

695) μm diam. *Locule* circular, undivided, (500–)526–765(–792) μm diam. *Conidiophores* reduced to conidiogenous cells. *Conidiogenous cells* hyaline, cylindrical, unbranched, slightly curved, tapering towards the apex,  $(12.5-)14.5-17(-18) \times 1-1.5(-2)$  μm. *Alpha conidia* not observed. *Beta conidia* hyaline, aseptate, filiform, straight to curved, eguttulate,  $(35.5-)37-47.5(-50) \times 1$  μm.

**Culture characters.** Cultures incubated on PDA at 25 °C in the dark. Colony originally flat with white fluffy aerial mycelium, becoming pale brown with pigment, with visible solitary conidiomata at maturity.

**Specimens examined.** CHINA. Shaanxi Province: Ningshan County, Huoditang Forest Farm, 33°28'25"N, 108°29'39"E, on branch of liana, 10 July 2018, *N. Jiang* (holotype BJFC-S1674; ex-type living culture: CFCC 53106); 33°28'24"N, 108°29'38"E, on branch of liana, 10 July 2018, *N. Jiang* (Paratype BJFC-S1675; living culture: CFCC 53107).

**Notes.** In the combined tree, *D. shaanxiensis* is a distinct clade with maximum support and it appears to be most closely related to *D. aquatica* and *D. incompleta* (Fig. 1). *Diaporthe shaanxiensis* can be distinguished from *D. aquatica* by a 17 nt difference in the ITS region. For *D. aquatica*, only ITS sequences are available in NCBI GenBank (Hu et al. 2012). The new species can be distinguished from *D. incompleta*, based on ITS, *cal, his3* and *tef1* (24/454 in ITS, 14/443 in *cal*, 66/468 in *his3* and 24/311 in *tef1*). Morphologically, *D. shaanxiensis* differs from both *D. aquatica* (37–47.5 vs. 9–12.5 µm) and *D. incompleta* (37–47.5 vs. 19–44 µm) in having longer beta conidia (Gao et al. 2016, 2017).

#### Discussion

In this study, an investigation of forest pathogens from Huoditang in Shaanxi Province was carried out and Diaporthe canker was observed as a common disease. Identification of our collections was conducted, based on isolates from fruiting bodies using five combined loci (ITS, *cal*, *his3*, *tef1* and *tub2*), as well as morphological characters. Three new *Diaporthe* species were described. These are *D. albosinensis* sp. nov., *D. coryli* sp. nov. and *D. shaanxiensis* sp. nov.

Diaporthe albosinensis is associated with Betula albosinensis. Thus far, six Diaporthe species have been reported from Betula. These are D. alleghaniensis, D. betulae, D. betulicola, D. betulina, D. eres and D. melanocarpa (Kobayashi 1970, Gomes et al. 2013, Du et al. 2016, Yang et al. 2018). Morphologically, D. albosinensis differs from D. betulae (600–1250 µm), D. betulicola (700–1300 µm) and D. betulina (670–905 µm) in having smaller locules (Du et al. 2016, Yang et al. 2018); and from D. alleghaniensis (5–8 × 1.5–2 µm) and D. eres (6.5–8.5 × 3–4 µm) in having larger alpha conidia (Arnold 1967, Anagnostakis 2007, Gomes et al. 2013). In addition, our phylogenetic reconstruction of a five-locus dataset adds support for the new species, although no sequence data are currently available for D. alleghaniensis, D. betulicola and D. melanocarpa (Fig. 1). Interestingly, D. melanocarpa is found on different plant hosts; it was described from

*Pyrus melanocarpa* in London and then recorded from *Amelanchier, Betula* and *Cornus* (Dearness 1926, Wehmeyer 1933, Kobayashi 1970). *Diaporthe coryli* is characterised by the ostiole with orange discharged conidial masses and a yellow central column (Fig. 3). *Diaporthe shaanxiensis* was found on branches of liana with an obvious ostiole per disc and characterised by hyaline, filiform beta conidia. Alpha conidia were found neither in the natural environment nor in culture for this species.

Species delimitation of *Diaporthe* has improved considerably by using a combination of morphological, cultural, phytopathological and molecular phylogenetic analyses (Udayanga et al. 2014a, b, 2015, Fan et al. 2015, Gao et al. 2017, Guarnaccia and Crous 2017, Hyde et al. 2017, 2020, Guarnaccia et al. 2018, Yang et al. 2018, Long et al. 2019). As a result, many Diaporthe canker diseases and new species have been discovered and reported from all over the world and also in China. The descriptions and molecular data of *Diaporthe* species represent an important resource for plant pathologists, plant quarantine officials and taxonomists.

## Acknowledgements

This study is financed by the Research Foundation of Education Bureau of Hunan Province, China (Project No.: 19B608) and the introduction of talent research startup fund project of CSUFT (Project No.: 2019YJ025). We are grateful to Chungen Piao, Minwei Guo (China Forestry Culture Collection Center, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing) and reviewers Lu Quan and Jadson Bezerra.

# References

- Crous PW, Gams W, Stalpers JA, Robert V, Stegehuis G (2004) MycoBank: an online initiative to launch mycology into the 21st century. Studies in Mycology 50: 19–22.
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D (2012) jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nature Methods 9: 772. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2109
- Dearness J (1926) New and noteworthy fungi. Mycologia 18: 236–255. https://doi.org/10.10 80/00275514.1926.12020515
- Diogo E, Santos JM, Phillips AJ (2010) Phylogeny, morphology and pathogenicity of *Diaporthe* and *Phomopsis* species on almond in Portugal. Fungal Diversity 44: 107–115. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13225-010-0057-x
- Dissanayake AJ, Phillips AJL, Hyde KD, Yan JY, Li XH (2017) The current status of species in *Diaporthe*. Mycosphere 8: 1106–1156. https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/8/5/5
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1990) Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. Focus 12: 13–15. https:// doi.org/10.2307/2419362
- Du Z, Fan XL, Hyde KD, Yang Q, Liang YM, Tian CM (2016) Phylogeny and morphology reveal two new species of *Diaporthe* from *Betula* spp. in China. Phytotaxa 269: 90–102. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.269.2.2

- Fan XL, Hyde KD, Udayanga D, Wu XY, Tian CM (2015) *Diaporthe rostrata*, a novel ascomycete from *Juglans mandshurica* associated with walnut dieback. Mycological Progress 14: 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-015-1104-5
- Fan XL, Yang Q, Bezerra JDP, Alvarez LV, Tian CM (2018) *Diaporthe* from walnut tree (*Juglans regia*) in China, with insight of *Diaporthe eres* complex. Mycological Progress 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-018-1395-4
- Gao YH, Liu F, Cai L (2016) Unravelling *Diaporthe* species associated with *Camellia*. Systematics and Biodiversity 14: 102–117. https://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2015.1101027
- Gao YH, Liu F, Duan W, Crous PW, Cai L (2017) *Diaporthe* is paraphyletic. IMA Fungus 8: 153–187. https://doi.org/10.5598/imafungus.2017.08.01.11
- Garcia-Reyne A, López-Medrano F, Morales JM, Esteban CG, Martín I, Eraña I, Meije Y, Lalueza A, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Rodríguez-Tudela JL, Aguado JM (2011) Cutaneous infection by *Phomopsis longicolla* in a renal transplant recipient from Guinea: first report of human infection by this fungus. Transplant Infectious Disease 13: 204–207. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1399-3062.2010.00570.x
- Gomes RR, Glienke C, Videira SIR, Lombard L, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW (2013) *Diaporthe*: a genus of endophytic, saprobic and plant pathogenic fungi. Persoonia 31: 1. https://doi. org/10.3767/003158513X666844
- Guarnaccia V, Crous PW (2017) Emerging citrus diseases in Europe caused by species of *Diaporthe*. IMA Fungus 8: 317–334. https://doi.org/10.5598/imafungus.2017.08.02.07
- Guarnaccia V, Crous PW (2018) Species of *Diaporthe* on *Camellia* and *Citrus* in the Azores Islands. Phytopathologia Mediterranea 57(2).
- Guarnaccia V, Groenewald JZ, Woodhall J, Armengol J, Cinelli T, Eichmeier A, Ezra D, Fontaine F, Gramaje D, Gutierrez-Aguirregabiria A, Kaliterna J, Kiss L, Larignon P, Luque J, Mugnai L, Naor V, Raposo R, Sándor E, Váczy KZ, Crous PW (2018) *Diaporthe* diversity and pathogenicity revealed from a broad survey of grapevine diseases in Europe. Persoonia 40: 135–153. https://doi.org/10.3767/persoonia.2018.40.06
- Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O (2010) New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Systematic Biology 59: 307–321. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/ syq010
- Hall T (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series 41: 95–98.
- Hu DM, Cai L, Hyde KD (2012) Three new ascomycetes from freshwater in China. Mycologia 104: 1478–1489. https://doi.org/10.3852/11-430
- Huang F, Hou X, Dewdney MM, Fu Y, Chen G, Hyde KD, Li HY (2013) *Diaporthe* species occurring on *Citrus* in China. Fungal Diversity 61: 237–250. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13225-013-0245-6
- Huang F, Udayanga D, Wang X, Hou X, Mei X, Fu Y, Hyde KD, Li HY (2015) Endophytic *Diaporthe* associated with *Citrus*: A phylogenetic reassessment with seven new species from China. Fungal Biology 119: 331–347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2015.02.006
- Hyde KD, Dong Y, Phookamsak R, Jeewon R, Bhat DJ, Jones EBG, Liu NG, Abeywickrama PD, Mapook A, Wei DP, Perera RH, Manawasinghe IS, Pem D, Bundhun D, Karunarathna A, Ekanayaka AH, Bao DF, Li JF, Samarakoon MC, Chaiwan N, Lin CG, Phutthacha-

roen K, Zhang SN, Senanayake IC, Goonasekara ID, Thambugala KM, Phukhamsakda C, Tennakoon DS, Jiang HB, Yang J, Zeng M, Huanraluek N, Liu JK, Wijesinghe SN, Tian Q, Tibpromma S, Brahmanage RS, Boonmee S, Huang SK, Thiyagaraja V, Lu YZ, Jayawardena RS, Dong W, Yang EF, Singh SK, Singh SM, Rana S, Lad SS, Anand G, Devadatha B, Niranjan M, Sarma VV, Liimatainen K, Aguirre-Hudson B, Niskanen T, Overall A, Lúcio R, Alvarenga M, Gibertoni TB, Pfliegler WP, Horváth E, Imre A, Alves AL, da Silva Santos AC, Tiago PV, Bulgakov TS, Wanasinghe DN, Bahkali AH, Doilom M, Elgorban AM, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Rajeshkumar KC, Haelewaters D, Mortimer PE, Zhao Q, Lumyong S, Xu JC, Sheng J (2020) Fungal diversity notes 115–1276: taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions on genera and species of fungal taxa. Fungal Diversity 100: 5–277. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-020-00439-5

- Katoh K, Toh H (2010) Parallelization of the MAFFT multiple sequence alignment program. Bioinformatics 26: 1899–1900. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq224
- Kobayashi T (1970) Taxonomic studies of Japanese Diaporthaceae with special reference to their life-histories. Bulletin of the Government Forest Experiment Station 226: 1–242.
- Long H, Zhang Q, Hao YY, Shao XQ, Wei XX, Hyde KD, Wang Y, Zhao DG (2019) Diaporthe species in south-western China. MycoKeys 57: 113. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.57.35448
- Mostert L, Crous PW, Kang JC, Phillips AJ (2001) Species of *Phomopsis* and a *Libertella* sp. occurring on grapevines with specific reference to South Africa: morphological, cultural, molecular and pathological characterization. Mycologia 93: 146–167. https://doi.org/10. 1080/00275514.2001.12061286
- Murali TS, Suryanarayanan TS, Geeta R (2006) Endophytic *Phomopsis* species: host range and implications for diversity estimates. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 52: 673–680. https://doi.org/10.1139/w06-020
- Rambaut A, Drummond A (2010) FigTree v.1.3.1. Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.
- Rayner RW (1970) A mycological colour chart. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, UK.
- Rehner SA, Uecker FA (1994) Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer phylogeny and host diversity in the coelomycete *Phomopsis*. Canadian Journal of Botany 72: 1666–1674. https://doi.org/10.1139/b94-204
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19: 1572–1574. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180
- Rossman AY, Farr DF, Castlebury LA (2007) A review of the phylogeny and biology of the Diaporthales. Mycoscience 48: 135–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10267-007-0347-7
- Santos JM, Phillips AJL (2009) Resolving the complex of *Diaporthe (Phomopsis)* species occurring on *Foeniculum vulgare* in Portugal. Fungal Diversity 34: 111–125.
- Santos JM, Vrandečić K, Ćosić J, Duvnjak T, Phillips AJL (2011) Resolving the *Diaporthe* species occurring on soybean in Croatia. Persoonia 27: 9–19. https://doi.org/10.3767/003158511X603719
- Udayanga D, Castlebury LA, Rossman AY, Chukeatirote E, Hyde KD (2014b) Insights into the genus *Diaporthe*: phylogenetic species delimitation in the *D. eres* species complex. Fungal Diversity 67: 203–229. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-014-0297-2

- Udayanga D, Castlebury LA, Rossman AY, Chukeatirote E, Hyde KD (2015) The *Diaporthe sojae* species complex: Phylogenetic re-assessment of pathogens associated with soybean, cucurbits and other field crops. Fungal Biology 119: 383–407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. funbio.2014.10.009
- Udayanga D, Castlebury LA, Rossman AY, Hyde KD (2014a) Species limits in *Diaporthe*: molecular re-assessment of *D. citri*, *D. cytosporella*, *D. foeniculina* and *D. rudis*. Persoonia 32: 83–101. https://doi.org/10.3767/003158514X679984
- Udayanga D, Liu X, McKenzie EH, Chukeatirote E, Bahkali AH, Hyde KD (2011) The genus *Phomopsis*: biology, applications, species concepts and names of common phytopathogens. Fungal Diversity 50: 189–225. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-011-0126-9
- Wijayawardene NN, Hyde KD, Rajeshkumar KC, Hawksworth DL, Madrid H, Kirk PM, Braun U, Singh RV, Crous PW, Kukwa M, Lűcking R, Kurtzman CP, Yurkov A, Haelewaters D, Aptroot A, Lumbsch HT, Timdal E, Ertz D, Etayo J, Phillips AJL, Groenewald JZ, Papizadeh M, Selbmann L, Dayarathne MC, Weerakoon G, Jones EBG, Suetrong S, Tian Q, Castanéda-Ruiz RF, Bahkali AH, Pang KL, Tanaka K, Dai DQ, Sakayaroj J, Hujslová M, Lombard L, Shenoy BD, Suija A, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Thambugala KM, Wanasinghe DN, Sharma BO, Gaikwad S, Pandit G, Zucconi L, Onofri S, Egidi E, Raja HA, Kodsueb R, Cáceres MES, Pérez-Ortega S, Fiuza PO, Monteiro JS, Vasilyeva LN, Shivas RG, Prieto M, Wedin M, Olariaga I, Lateef AA, Agrawal Y, Fazeli SAS, Amoozegar MA, Zhao GZ, Pfliegler WP, Sharma G, Oset M, Abdel MA, Takamatsu S, Bensch K, Silva NI, De Kesel A, Karunarathna A, Boonmee S, Pfister DH, Lu YZ, Luo ZL, Boonyuen N, Daranagama DA, Senanayake IC, Jayasiri SC, Samarakoon MC, Zeng XY, Doilom M, Quijada L, Rampadarath S, Heredia G, Dissanayake AJ, Jayawardana RS, Perera PH, Tang LZ, Phukhamsakda C, Hernández-Restrepo M, Ma XY, Tibpromma S, Gusmao LFP, Weerahewa D, Karunarathna SC (2017) Notes for genera: Ascomycota. Fungal Diversity 86: 1-594. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-017-0386-0
- Yang Q, Fan XL, Du Z, Tian CM (2017a) *Diaporthe* species occurring on *Senna bicapsularis* in southern China, with descriptions of two new species. Phytotaxa 302: 145–155. https:// doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.302.2.4
- Yang Q, Fan XL, Du Z, Tian CM (2017b) *Diaporthe juglandicola* sp. nov. (Diaporthales, Ascomycetes), evidenced by morphological characters and phylogenetic analysis. Mycosphere 8: 817–826. https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/8/5/3
- Yang Q, Fan XL, Guarnaccia V, Tian CM (2018) High diversity of *Diaporthe* species associated with dieback diseases in China, with twelve new species described. MycoKeys 39: 97–149. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.39.26914
- Zhang CX, Cao ZM (2007) Primary analysis of macrofungi flora of Huoditang Mts. Journal of Yunnan Agricultural University 22: 345–348.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



# Gnomoniopsis chinensis (Gnomoniaceae, Diaporthales), a new fungus causing canker of Chinese chestnut in Hebei Province, China

Ning Jiang<sup>1</sup>, Ling-Yu Liang<sup>1</sup>, Cheng-Ming Tian<sup>1</sup>

I The Key Laboratory for Silviculture and Conservation of the Ministry of Education, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China

Corresponding author: Cheng-Ming Tian (chengmt@bjfu.edu.cn)

Academic editor: Huzefa Raja | Received 14 February 2020 | Accepted 17 April 2020 | Published 14 May 2020

**Citation:** Jiang N, Liang L-Y, Tian C-M (2020) *Gnomoniopsis chinensis* (Gnomoniaceae, Diaporthales), a new fungus causing canker of Chinese chestnut in Hebei Province, China. MycoKeys 67: 19–32. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.67.51133

#### Abstract

Chinese chestnut (Castanea mollissima) is an important crop tree species in China. However, branch canker and fruit rot are two kinds of severe diseases, which weaken the host and decrease chestnut production. During our investigations into chestnut diseases in China, several fungi have been confirmed as casual agents in previous studies, namely Aurantiosacculus castaneae, Cryphonectria neoparasitica, Cry. parasitica, Endothia chinensis and Gnomoniopsis daii. In this study, a new canker pathogen is introduced based on morphology, phylogeny and pathogenicity. Typical Gnomoniopsis canker sign of wide, orange tendrils emerging from hosts' glaucous lenticels were obvious on the diseased trees in the field. Symptomatic branches or bark on stems from different chestnut plantations were sampled and isolated, then strains were identified by comparisons of DNA sequence data for the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS), partial translation elongation factor-1 $\alpha$  (*tef1*) and  $\beta$ -tubulin (*tub2*) gene regions as well as morphological features. As a result, these strains appeared different from any known Gnomoniopsis species. Hence, we propose a novel species named Gnomoniopsis chinensis. Pathogenicity was further tested using the ex-type strain (CFCC 52286) and another strain (CFCC 52288) on both detached branches and 3-year-old chestnut seedlings. The inoculation results showed that Gnomoniopsis chinensis is mildly pathogenic to Chinese chestnut. However, further studies are required to confirm its pathogenicity to the other cultivated Castanea species in America, Europe and Japan.

#### Keywords

Castanea mollissima, chestnut disease, taxonomy

Copyright Ning Jiang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## Introduction

The Chinese chestnut (*Castanea mollissima*), as well as the American chestnut (*C. dentata*), the European chestnut (*C. sativa*) and the Japanese chestnut (*C. crenata*), are known as the four main cultivated sweet chestnut species in the world (Conedera et al. 2004; Yi 2017). In recent studies, several important fungal pathogens have been reported from chestnut trees, including *Aurantiosacculus castaneae*, *Cryphonectria neoparasitica, Cry. parasitica, Endothia chinensis* and *Gnomoniopsis daii* from *C. mollissima* (Jiang et al. 2018a, 2019b; Jiang and Tian 2019); *Cry. parasitica, G. smithogilvyi* (syn. *G. castaneae*), *Phytophthora cinnamomi* and *Sirococcus castaneae* from *C. sativa* (Anagnostakis 1987; Visentin et al. 2012; Shuttleworth et al. 2013; Meyer et al. 2017; Shuttleworth and Guest 2017; Rigling and Prospero 2018; Akilli Şimşek et al. 2019; Lione et al. 2019). In China, *Castanea mollissima* is widely cultivated for its gluten-free, low fat, and cholesterol-free chestnuts (Lu and Guo 2017), but suffering from several fungal diseases (Li et al. 2006; Zhang et al. 2009).

The fungal genus *Gnomoniopsis* (Gnomoniaceae, Diaporthales) includes species all occurring in plant tissues as pathogens, endophytes or saprobes (Danti et al. 2002; Rossman et al. 2007; Walker et al. 2010; Sogonov et al. 2008). Until now, *Gnomoniopsis* species have been found on hosts from three plant families, Fagaceae, Onagraceae and Rosaceae (Sogonov et al. 2008; Walker et al. 2010). Two species occur as pathogens on *Castanea* species (family Fagaceae), i.e. *Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi* (syn. *G. castaneae*) and *G. daii* (Crous et al. 2012; Jiang and Tian 2019). *Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi* and *G. castaneae* were proposed by two independent studies, from rotten fruits of *Castanea sativa* (Crous et al. 2012; Visentin et al. 2012). However, Shuttleworth et al. (2015) proved that *Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi* and *G. castaneae* are conspecific based on a comparative morphological analysis and five-marker phylogenetic analysis. The fungal name *Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi* was published earlier than *G. castaneae*, hence *G. smithogilvyi* has priority over *G. castaneae*.

*Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi* is an important nut rot agent on chestnut nuts, an endophyte in asymptomatic flowers, leaves and stems, and a saprobe on dead burrs and branches (Crous et al. 2012; Visentin et al. 2012). Moreover, this species has been reported as a severe bark pathogen on *Castanea* in several countries (Dar and Rai 2013, 2015; Pasche et al. 2016; Lewis et al. 2017; Trapiello et al. 2018; Lione et al. 2019). In China, *Gnomoniopsis* from rotten Chinese chestnut has proved to be a different species, namely *Gnomoniopsis daii* (Jiang and Tian 2019). In this study, we focused on the symptom, taxonomy and pathogenicity aspects of *Gnomoniopsis* species from cankered tissues on Chinese chestnut trees.

#### Materials and methods

#### Sample collection and isolation

During 2016 to 2019, investigations were conducted in chestnut plantations of nine provinces/municipalities in China, including Beijing, Fujian, Hebei, Hubei, Hunan,

Liaoning, Shandong, Shaanxi and Tianjin. Typical *Gnomoniopsis* canker symptoms were only observed in Hebei Province (Fig. 1). Symptomatic barks from stems and cankered branches were collected in brown paper bags and transported to the laboratory for fungal isolations and further study. Single conidial isolates were acquired from asexual fruiting structures by removing a mucoid conidial mass from pycnidial ostioles, and spreading the suspension on the surface of potato dextrose agar (PDA; 200 g potatoes, 20 g dextrose, 20 g agar per L). Agar plates were incubated at 25 °C to induce germination of conidia. After inoculation for up to 36 h, single germinating conidia were then transferred to clean plates under a dissecting stereomicroscope with a sterile needle. Specimens and cultures were deposited and maintained in the Museum of Beijing Forestry University (BJFC) and China Forestry Culture Collection Center (CFCC), Beijing, China, respectively.

#### DNA extraction and phylogenetic analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from mycelium grown on PDA using a CTAB (cetyltrimethylammonium bromide) method (Doyle and Doyle 1990). Three partial loci, including the 5.8S nuclear ribosomal DNA gene with the two flanking internally transcribed spacer (ITS) regions, the translation elongation factor 1a (tef1), and the  $\beta$ -tubulin gene 2 (*tub2*), were amplified using the following primer pairs: ITS1 and ITS4 for ITS (White et al. 1990), EF1-728F and EF1-1567R for tef1 (Carbone and Kohn 1999), and Bt2a and Bt2b for tub2 (Glass and Donaldson 1995). The PCR conditions were: initial denaturation step of 5 min at 94 °C, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 50 s at 48 °C (ITS) or 54 °C (tef1) or 52 °C (tub2), and 1 min at 72 °C, and a final elongation step of 7 min at 72 °C. The PCR amplification products were scored visually by electrophoresis in 2 % agarose gels. The DNA sequencing was performed using an ABI PRISM 3730XL DNA Analyzer with BigDye Terminater Kit v.3.1 (Invitrogen) at the Shanghai Invitrogen Biological Technology Company Limited (Beijing, China). To assess the phylogenetic position of our isolates within the genus Gnomoniopsis, phylogenetic analyses were performed based on combined ITS, tef1 and tub2 sequence data, with Sirococcus castaneae (CBS 142041) and Apiognomonia errabunda (CBS 342.86) selected as outgroup taxa. The GenBank accession numbers of sequences used in the analysis are given in Table 1, which were aligned and edited manually in MEGA6 (Tamura et al. 2013). Maximum likelihood (ML) analysis was used for phylogenetic inferences of the concatenated alignments. ML analysis was implemented on the CIPRES Science Gateway portal using RAxML-HPC BlackBox v. 8.2.10 (Stamatakis 2014).

#### Morphological identification and characterization

Species identification was based on morphological features of the asexual fruiting bodies produced on infected plant tissues, supplemented by cultural characteristics.



**Figure 1.** Symptoms caused by *Gnomoniopsis chinensis* on Chinese chestnut (*Castanea mollissima*) **a**, **b** severe cankers on adult trees **c** a dead young tree **d** lesion with conidiomata on the bark near the root **e** lesion with conidiomata on the stem.

Hence, cross-sections were prepared by hand using a double-edge blade. Morphological characteristics of the fruiting bodies including: size of conidiomata and locules; size and shape of conidiophores and conidia were determined under a Nikon AZ100 dissecting stereomicroscope. More than 20 fruiting bodies were sectioned, and 50 conidia were selected randomly for measurement using a Leica compound microscope (LM, DM 2500). Cultural characteristics of isolates incubated on PDA in the dark at 25 °C were recorded, including the colony color and pycnidium structures (Rayner 1970).

## Pathogenicity trials

Two isolates of *Gnomoniopsis chinensis* (ex-type strain: CFCC 52286; CFCC 52288) were used for inoculations, and agar plugs were used as the negative control. Isolates

1.

	Species	Country	Host	Strain	GenBa
ladie	I. Isolates at	nd GenBank access	sion numbers use	ed in this study.	

. .

Species	Country Host		Strain	GenBank Accession Number			
				ITS	tub2	tef1	
Apiognomonia veneta	France	Platanus occidentalis	CBS 342.86	DQ313531	EU219235	DQ318036	
Gnomoniopsis alderdunensis	USA	Rubus pedatus	CBS 125679	GU320826	GU320788	GU320813	
Gnomoniopsis alderdunensis	USA	Rubus parviflorus	CBS 125680	GU320825	GU320787	GU320801	
Gnomoniopsis alderdunensis	USA	Rubus parviflorus	CBS 125681	GU320827	GU320789	GU320802	
Gnomoniopsis chamaemori	Finland	Rubus chamaemorus	CBS 804.79	GU320817	GU320777	GU320809	
Gnomoniopsis chinensis	China	Castanea mollissima	CFCC 52286	MG866032	MH545366	MH545370	
Gnomoniopsis chinensis	China	Castanea mollissima	CFCC 52287	MG866033	MH545367	MH545371	
Gnomoniopsis chinensis	China	Castanea mollissima	CFCC 52288	MG866034	MH545368	MH545372	
Gnomoniopsis chinensis	China	Castanea mollissima	CFCC 52289	MG866035	MH545369	MH545373	
Gnomoniopsis clavulata	USA	Quercus falcata	CBS 121255	EU254818	EU219211	GU320807	
Gnomoniopsis comari	Finland	Comarum palustre	CBS 806.79	EU254821	EU219156	GU320810	
Gnomoniopsis comari	Finland	Comarum palustre	CBS 807.79	EU254822	GU320779	GU320814	
Gnomoniopsis comari	Switzerland	Comarum palustre	CBS 809.79	EU254823	GU320778	GU320794	
Gnomoniopsis daii	China	Castanea mollissima	CFCC 54043	MN598671	MN605517	MN605519	
Gnomoniopsis daii	China	Castanea mollissima	CMF002B	MN598672	MN605518	MN605520	
Gnomoniopsis fructicola	USA	Fragaria vesca	CBS 121226	EU254824	EU219144	GU320792	
Gnomoniopsis fructicola	France	Fragaria sp.	CBS 208.34	EU254826	EU219149	GU320808	
Gnomoniopsis fructicola	USA	Fragaria sp.	CBS 125671	GU320816	GU320776	GU320793	
Gnomoniopsis guttulata	Bulgaria	Agrimonia eupatoria	MS 0312	EU254812	NA	NA	
Gnomoniopsis idaeicola	USA	Rubus sp.	CBS 125672	GU320823	GU320781	GU320797	
Gnomoniopsis idaeicola	USA	Rubus pedatus	CBS 125673	GU320824	GU320782	GU320798	
Gnomoniopsis idaeicola	France	Rubus sp.	CBS 125674	GU320820	GU320780	GU320796	
Gnomoniopsis idaeicola	USA	Rubus procerus	CBS 125675	GU320822	GU320783	GU320799	
Gnomoniopsis idaeicola	USA	Rubus procerus	CBS 125676	GU320821	GU320784	GU320811	
Gnomoniopsis macounii	USA	Spiraea sp.	CBS 121468	EU254762	EU219126	GU320804	
Gnomoniopsis occulta	USA	Potentilla sp.	CBS 125677	GU320828	GU320785	GU320812	
Gnomoniopsis occulta	USA	Potentilla sp.	CBS 125678	GU320829	GU320786	GU320800	
Gnomoniopsis paraclavulata	USA	Quercus alba	CBS 123202	GU320830	GU320775	GU320815	
Gnomoniopsis racemula	USA	Chamerion angustifolium	CBS 121469	EU254841	EU219125	GU320803	
Gnomoniopsis sanguisorbae	Switzerland	Sanguisorba minor	CBS 858.79	GU320818	GU320790	GU320805	
Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi	Australia	Castanea sp.	CBS 130190	JQ910642	JQ910639	KR072534	
Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi	Australia	Castanea sp.	CBS 130189	JQ910644	JQ910641	KR072535	
Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi	Australia	Castanea sp.	CBS 130188	JQ910643	JQ910640	KR072536	
Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi	Italy	Castanea sativa	MUT 401	HM142946	KR072532	KR072537	
Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi	New Zealand	Castanea sativa	MUT 411	HM142948	KR072533	KR072538	
Gnomoniopsis tormentillae	Switzerland	Potentilla sp.	CBS 904.79	EU254856	EU219165	GU320795	
Sirococcus castaneae	Switzerland	Castanea sativa	CBS 142041	KX929744	KX958443	KX929710	

Note: NA, not applicable. Strains in this study are identified in bold.

were grown on PDA for five days at 25 °C before the tests. Inoculations were performed on detached branches and 3-year-old seedlings of *Castanea mollissima*, respectively. The detached branches and young seedling were collected from Hebei Province where the disease is emerging. The healthy chestnut branches (2 cm in diameter) were sampled from an adult chestnut tree and cut into pieces of 20 cm length. A total of 30 fresh and healthy branches and 15 seedlings were used for the pathogenicity tests. Ten branches and five seedlings were inoculated with each isolate and the negative control. For incubations, incisions were made on the middle of the detached branches and 1 cm above the midpoint of the seedling stem to expose the cambium using a 5-mmdiameter cork borer. Discs of agar were cut from the actively growing margins of the cultures and these were placed into wounds of the same size on the chestnut barks. Inoculated wounds and ends of inoculated branches were sealed with parafilm to reduce desiccation and the chance of contamination. The tested seedlings and branch segments were maintained in the greenhouse randomly at 25 °C under natural light conditions. Detached branches were inoculated in November 2017, and the young seedlings were tested in July 2019. The results from detached branches were evaluated after one month, and seedlings after three months, by measuring the lengths of the lesions on the cambium. The re-isolations were made from the resultant lesions from all tested branches and seedlings by cutting small pieces of discolored xylem and placing them onto the PDA plates. Re-isolations were identified based on morphology on PDA and ITS sequences. Differences among isolates in lesion length were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by least significant difference (LSD) tests. Statistical analysis was carried out by R software (version 3.4.3.) and considered as significant at p < 0.05.

#### Results

#### Phylogenetic analyses

The final combined ITS-*tef1-tub2* matrix of *Gnomoniopsis* included 35 ingroup and two outgroup taxa, comprising 1364 alignment characters. Of these, 783 characters were constant, 117 variable characters were parsimony-uninformative and 464 characters were parsimony informative. The phylogenetic tree obtained from ML analysis is shown in Figure 2, indicating that all isolates from the present study are phylogenetic cally different from other known species with 100% ML bootstrap support.

#### Taxonomy

*Gnomoniopsis chinensis* C.M. Tian & N. Jiang, sp. nov. MycoBank No: 823868 Figures 3, 4

**Etymology.** Named after the country where it was first collected.

**Description.** Pathogenic on stems and branches of *Castanea mollissima*. Conidiomata pseudostromatic, globose to pulvinate, occurring separately, yellow to orange, semi-immersed in bark, 400–1000 µm high, 500–1500 µm diam, unilocular, single ostiolate, forming long, wide orange tendrils, 1500–2000 µm × 400–500 µm. Conidiophores indistinct, often reduced to conidiogenous cells. Conidiogenous cells oval, hyaline, 1-celled, 6–12 µm. Conidia oval, oblate, fusiform, straight to curved, hyaline, 2–3 guttules,  $(6.0-)6.5-8.5(-9.0) \times (2.2-)2.7-3(-3.5)$  µm (mean = 7.5 × 2.7 µm).



Figure 2. Consensus tree resulting from a RAxML analysis of combined ITS, *tef1* and *tub2* sequence alignment for species of *Gnomoniopsis*. The scale bar represents the expected number of changes per site.

**Culture characters.** Colonies on PDA attaining 90 mm after 20 days at 25 °C, flat, velutinous to shortly woolly, dark brown in center, gradually lightening to pale grey at margin; margin diffuse; reverse of almost same colors as surface.



**Figure 3.** Conidiomata of *Gnomoniopsis chinensis* from *Castanea mollissima* (BJFC-S1380, holotype) **a–c** habit of conidiomata on the chestnut stem **d** transverse sections through conidiomata **e** longitudinal sections through conidiomata. Scale bars: 1 mm (**b–e**).



**Figure 4.** Morphology of *Gnomoniopsis chinensis* from PDA (CFCC 52286, ex-type culture) **a** colonies on PDA **b** conidiomata formed on PDA **c**, **f** conidia **d**, **e** conidiogenous cells. Scale bars: 1 mm (**b**); 10 μm (**c-f**).

**Specimens examined.** CHINA, Hebei Province, Chengde City, chestnut plantation, 40°24'32.16"N, 117°28'56.24"E, 262 m asl, on stems and branches of *Castanea mollissima*, Ning Jiang, 11 October 2017 (BJFC-S1380, holotype; ex-type culture, CFCC 52286). Hebei Province, Qinhuangdao City, chestnut plantation, 40°22'52.32"N,

119°11'52.18"E, 246 m asl, on branches and twigs of *Castanea mollissima*, Ning Jiang, 14 October 2017 (BJFC-S1382, paratype; living culture, CFCC 52288). Hebei Province, Tangshan City, chestnut plantation, 40°12'59.76"N, 117°59'7.24"E, 67 m asl, on stems and branches of *Castanea mollissima*, Ning Jiang, 18 October 2017 (BJFC-S1383; living culture, CFCC 52289).

**Notes.** Three *Gnomoniopsis* species have been discovered from the host genus *Castanea*. They share similar conidial dimension  $(6.0-9.0 \times 2.2-3.5 \ \mu\text{m}$  in *Gnomoniopsis chinensis* vs.  $5.0-8.0 \times 2.0-3.5 \ \mu\text{m}$  in *G. daii* vs.  $6.0-9.5 \times 2.0-4.0 \ \mu\text{m}$  in *G. smithogil-vyi*) (Crous et al. 2012; Jiang and Tian 2019). However, we can distinguish them easily by the phylogram of ITS, *tef1* and *tub2* (Fig. 2). In addition, *Gnomoniopsis chinensis* and *G. daii* inhabit the Chinese chestnut (*Castanea mollissima*), but *G. smithogilvyi* on the European chestnut (*C. sativa*) and *C. crenata* × *C. sativa* hybrids.

#### Pathogenicity trials

One month after inoculation on detached branches, the two *Gnomoniopsis chinensis* isolates produced lesions in the cambium of detached chestnut branches. In contrast, there was no lesion development in any of the negative control inoculations (Fig. 5). The lesion size of the two *Gnomoniopsis chinensis* isolates (CFCC 52286 and CFCC 52288) showed no significantly difference, while both of them were significantly longer than the negative control (P < 0.05). *Gnomoniopsis chinensis* was consistently re-isolated from lesions.

Three months after inoculation on young seedlings, two isolates *Gnomoniopsis* chinensis and the negative control, produced minor lesions (Fig. 6). Statistical analyses of data showed no significant difference among two isolates *Gnomoniopsis chinensis* and the negative control (P < 0.05). However, *Gnomoniopsis chinensis* was still re-isolated successfully from the minor lesions caused by CFCC 52286 and CFCC 52288 and not from the negative control inoculations.



**Figure 5.** Lesions resulting from inoculation of *Gnomoniopsis chinensis* onto detached *Castanea mollissima* branches, and wound response on the negative control **a** CFCC 52288 **b** CFCC 52286 **c** negative control.



**Figure 6.** Lesions resulting from inoculation of *Gnomoniopsis chinensis* onto 3-year-old *Castanea mollissima* seedlings, and wound response on the negative control **a**, **d** CFCC 52288 **b**, **e** CFCC 52286 **c**, **f** negative control. Row 1: lesions on the bark; row 2: lesions beneath the bark.

#### Discussion

In the past years, our team focused on the fungi inhabiting Chinese chestnut (*Castanea mollissima*) trees from their taxonomy and pathogenicity aspects. Several fungi including *Aurantiosacculus castaneae*, *Cryphonectria neoparasitica*, *Cry. parasitica*, *Endothia chinensis* and *Gnomoniopsis daii* have been proven to cause branch canker or fruit rot (Jiang et al. 2019b; Jiang and Tian 2019). Other fungi were reported to be associated with branch canker, however, they were not confirmed by incubation tests, including *Aplosporella javeedii*, *Coryneum gigasporum*, *Co. sinense*, *Co. suttonii*, *Co. umbonatum*, *Cytospora ceratospermopsis*, *Cy. kuanchengensis*, *Cy. leucostoma*, *Cy. myrtagena*, *Cy. Schulz-eri*, *Cy. xinglongensis*, *Dendrostoma aurorae*, *Den. castaneae*, *Den. castaneicola*, *Den. chinense*, *Den. parasiticum*, *Den. shaanxiense*, *Den. shandongense*, *Lopadostoma americanum*, *Melanops castaneicola*, *Myrmaecium fulvopruinatum*, *Neopseudomelanconis castaneae* (Jiang et al. 2019a, 2020). Subsequently, *Dendrostoma atlanticum* and *Den. castaneum* were reported from European chestnut (*Castanea sativa*) trees (Jaklitsch and Voglmayr 2019). Different *Dendrostoma* species were discovered from the Chinese and European chestnut stems, branches and twigs, which indicates similar plant and fungi interactions in different continents. Another example is that *Gnomoniopsis daii* causes Chinese chestnut rot and *Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi* causes European chestnut rot (Crous et al. 2012; Jiang and Tian 2019). Interestingly, this study reveals a novel *Gnomoniopsis* species, *G. chinensis*, as an opportunistic pathogen causing bark cankers on Chinese chestnut, which is different from *Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi* causing both nut rot and bark cankers (Crous et al. 2012; Visentin et al. 2012; Dar and Rai 2013, 2015; Pasche et al. 2016; Lewis et al. 2017; Trapiello et al. 2018).

Gnomoniopsis species appear host-specific, inhabiting hosts of three families, viz. Betulaceae, Fagaceae, Rosaceae and Onagraceae (Sogonov et al. 2008; Walker et al. 2010; Visentin et al. 2012; Linaldeddu et al. 2016). Five species have been discovered from fagaceous hosts, and they are similar in conidial size (Table 2). Gnomoniopsis clavulata and G. paraclavulata were recorded on Fagus or Quercus trees (Sogonov et al. 2008). Gnomoniopsis chinensis and G. daii were discovered only from Castanea trees. It is hard to distinguish them by the currently known conidial characteristics. However, all currently known Gnomoniopsis species can be successfully distinguished by phylogenetic analysis based on ITS, tef1 and tub2.

Stevanović et al. (2019) reported Gnomoniopsis idaeicola to cause blackberry canker and wilting in Serbia. With the same signs on the host bark, especially the wide, orange tendrils emerging from hosts' glaucous lenticels, Gnomoniopsis chinensis appeared to be an emerging pathogen on Castanea mollissima. Chestnut blight, caused by Cryphonectria parasitica, a notorious bark disease on chestnut trees worldwide (Rigling and Prospero 2018), can be distinguished from chestnut Gnomoniopsis canker, and the presence of mycelial fans in the cambial region. Nowadays, we have characterized the two canker pathogens on Chinese and European chestnut trees, Gnomoniopsis chinensis and G. smithogilvyi. They appear not to be very pathogenic to their native hosts, but the pathogenicity to non-native hosts is still unknown. Gnomoniopsis and Cryphonectria belong to the same fungal order Diaporthales, and are similar in some aspects. Hence, more work on these two pathogens is necessary on both Castanea mollissima and C. sativa. In addition, considering the high value of the plant genus, Castanea, and the current situation of serious commercial loss caused by various fungi, more comprehensive and detailed investigations are necessary to understand the diversity of microbes on the hosts and their functions.

**Table 2.** Conidial size of *Gnomoniopsis* species from fagaceous hosts.

Species	Conidial length (µm)	Conidial width (µm)	Reference
Gnomoniopsis chinensis	(6.0-)6.5-8.5(-9.0)	(2.2-)2.7-3(-3.5)	This study
Gnomoniopsis clavulata	(5-)6-6.5(-8)	(2-)2.5-3(-4)	Sogonov et al. 2008
Gnomoniopsis daii	(5.0-)5.5-7.0(-8.0)	2.0-3.5	Jiang and Tian 2019
Gnomoniopsis paraclavulata	(6-)7.5-8(-9.5)	(2-)3-3(-3.5)	Sogonov et al. 2008
Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi	(6.0–)8(–9.5)	(2.0-)2.5(-4.0)	Crous et al. 2012

## Acknowledgements

This study is financed by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project No.: 31670647). We are grateful to Chungen Piao, Minwei Guo (China Forestry Culture Collection Center (CFCC), Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing.

## References

- Akilli Şimşek S, Katircioğlu YZ, Ulubaş Serçe Ç, Çakar D, Rigling D, Maden S (2019) *Phytophthora* species associated with dieback of sweet chestnut in Western Turkey. Forest Pathology 49: e12533. https://doi.org/10.1111/efp.12533
- Anagnostakis SL (1987) Chestnut blight: the classical problem of an introduced pathogen. Mycologia 79: 23–37. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.1987.12025367
- Carbone I, Kohn LM (1999) A method for designing primer sets for speciation studies in filamentous ascomycetes. Mycologia 91: 553–556. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.1 999.12061051
- Conedera M, Manetti MC, Giudici F, Amorini E (2004) Distribution and economic potential of the sweet chestnut (*Castanea sativa* Mill.) in Europe. Ecologia Mediterranea 30: 179–193. https://doi.org/10.3406/ecmed.2004.1458
- Crous PW, Summerell BA, Shivas RG, Burgess TI, Decock CA, Dreyer LL, Granke LL, Guest DI, Hardy GESTJ, Hausbeck MK, Hüberli D, Jung T, Koukol O, Lennox CL, Liew ECY, Lombard L, McTaggart AR, Pryke JS, Roets F, Saude C, Shuttleworth LA, Stukely MJC, Vánky K, Webster BJ, Windstam ST, Groenewald JZ (2012) Fungal planet description sheets: 107–127. Persoonia 28: 138–182. https://doi.org/10.3767/003158512X652633
- Danti R, Sieber TN, Sanguineti G (2002) Endophytic mycobiota in bark of European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) in the Apennines. Mycological Research 106: 1343–1348. https://doi. org/10.1017/S0953756202006779
- Dar MA, Rai M (2013) Biological and phylogenetic analyses, evidencing the presence of *Gnomoniopsis* sp. in India, causing canker of chestnut trees: a new report. Indian Forester 139: 37–42.
- Dar MA, Rai M (2015) *Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi*, a canker causing pathogen on *Castanea sativa*: First report. Mycosphere 6: 327–336. https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/6/3/8
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1990) Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. Focus 12: 13–15. https:// doi.org/10.2307/2419362
- Glass NL, Donaldson GC (1995) Development of primer sets designed for use with the PCR to amplify conserved genes from filamentous ascomycetes. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 61: 1323–1330. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.61.4.1323-1330.1995
- Jaklitsch WM, Voglmayr H (2019) European species of *Dendrostoma* (Diaporthales). Mycokeys 59: 1–26. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.59.37966
- Jiang N, Fan XL, Yang Q, Du Z, Tian CM (2018a) Two novel species of *Cryphonectria* from *Quercus* in china. Phytotaxa 347: 243–250. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.347.3.5
- Jiang N, Li J, Piao CG, Guo MW, Tian CM (2018b) Identification and characterization of chestnut branch-inhabiting melanocratic fungi in China. Mycosphere 9: 1268–1289. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.13033

- Jiang N, Phillips AJL, Zhang ZX, Tian CM (2018c) Morphological and molecular identification of two novel species of *Melanops* in China. Mycosphere 9: 1187–1196. https://doi. org/10.5943/mycosphere/9/6/8
- Jiang N, Voglmayr H, Tian CM (2018d) New species and records of *Coryneum* from China. Mycologia 110: 1172–1188. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.2018.1516969
- Jiang N, Fan XL, Crous PW, Tian CM (2019a) Species of *Dendrostoma* (Erythrogloeaceae, Diaporthales) associated with chestnut and oak canker diseases in China. Mycokeys 48: 67–96. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.48.31715
- Jiang N, Fan XL, Tian CM (2019b) Identification and pathogenicity of Cryphonectriaceae species associated with chestnut canker in China. Plant Pathology 68: 1132–1145. https:// doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/9/6/14
- Jiang N, Tian CM (2019) An emerging pathogen from rotted chestnut in China: Gnomoniopsis daii sp. nov. Forests 10: 1–1016. https://doi.org/10.3390/f10111016
- Jiang N, Yang Q, Fan XL, Tian CM (2020) Identification of six *Cytospora* species on Chinese chestnut in China. Mycokeys 62: 1–25. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.62.47425
- Lewis A, Gorton C, Rees H, Webber J, Pérez-Sierra A (2017) First report of *Gnomoniopsis* smithogilvyi causing lesions and cankers of sweet chestnut in the United Kingdom. New Disease Reports 35: 1–20. https://doi.org/10.5197/j.2044-0588.2017.035.020
- Li GY, Wei JG, Luo JT, Zhao TK, Liao WJ, Pan XH (2006) Risk analysis of chestnut blight in Guangxi. Journal of Guangxi Agriculture and Biology Science 25: 310–314.
- Linaldeddu BT, Deidda A, Scanu B, Franceschini A, Alves A, Abdollahzadeh J, Phillips AJL (2016) Phylogeny, morphology and pathogenicity of Botryosphaeriaceae, Diatrypaceae and Gnomoniaceae associated with branch diseases of hazelnut in Sardinia (Italy). European Journal of Plant Pathology 146: 259–279. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-016-0912-z
- Lione G, Danti R, Fernandez-Conradi P, Ferreira-Cardoso JV, Lefort F, Marques G, Meyer JB, Prospero S, Radócz L, Robin C, Turchetti T, Vettraino AM, Gonthier P (2019) The emerging pathogen of chestnut *Gnomoniopsis castaneae*: the challenge posed by a versatile fungus. European Journal of Plant Pathology 153: 671–685. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-018-1597-2
- Lu C, Guo SJ (2017) Analysis on the nutritional characters and comprehensive evaluation of 16 chestnut germplasm resources. Science and Technology of Food Industry 37: 357–376.
- Meyer JB, Trapiello E, Senn-Irlet B, Sieber TN, Cornejo C, Aghayeva D, Gonzalez, AJ, Prospero S (2017) Phylogenetic and phenotypic characterisation of *Sirococcus castaneae* comb. nov.(synonym *Diplodina castaneae*), a fungal endophyte of European chestnut. Fungal Biology 121: 625–637. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2017.04.001
- Pasche S, Calmin G, Auderset G, Crovadore J, Pelleteret P, Mauch-Mani B, Barja F, Paul B, Jermini M, Lefort F (2016) *Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi* causes chestnut canker symptoms in *Castanea sativa* shoots in Switzerland. Fungal Genetics and Biology 87: 9–21. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.fgb.2016.01.002
- Rayner RW (1970) A mycological colour chart. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew.
- Rigling D, Prospero S (2017) Cryphonectria parasitica, the causal agent of chestnut blight: invasion history, population biology and disease control. Molecular Plant Pathology 19: 7–20. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12542
- Rossman AY, Farr DF, Castlebury LA (2007) A review of the phylogeny and biology of the Diaporthales. Mycoscience 48: 135–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10267-007-0347-7

- Shuttleworth LA, Liew ECY, Guest DI (2013) Survey of the incidence of chestnut rot in south-eastern Australia. Australasian Plant Pathology 42: 63–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13313-012-0170-2
- Shuttleworth LA, Guest DI (2017) The infection process of chestnut rot, an important disease caused by *Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi* (Gnomoniaceae, Diaporthales) in Oceania and Europe. Australasian Plant Pathology 46: 397–405. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13313-017-0502-3
- Shuttleworth LA, Walker DM, Guest DI (2015) The chestnut pathogen Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi (Gnomoniaceae, Diaporthales) and its synonyms. Mycotaxon 130: 929–940. https://doi.org/10.5248/130.929
- Sogonov MV, Castlebury LA, Rossman AY, Mejía LC, White JF (2008) Leaf-inhabiting genera of the Gnomoniaceae, Diaporthales. Studies in Mycology 62: 1–77. https://doi.org/10.3114/sim.2008.62.01
- Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30: 1312–1313. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033
- Stevanović M, Ristić D, Živković S, Aleksić G, Stanković I, Krstić B, Bulajić A (2019) Characterization of *Gnomoniopsis idaeicola*, the causal agent of canker and wilting of Blackberry in Serbia. Plant Disease 103: 249–258. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-03-18-0516-RE
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30: 2725–2729. https:// doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst197
- Trapiello E, Feito I, González AJ (2018) First report of *Gnomoniopsis castaneae* causing canker on hybrid plants of *Castanea sativa* × *C. crenata* in Spain. Plant Disease 102: 1–1040. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-12-17-1874-PDN
- Visentin I, Gentile S, Valentino D, Gonthier P, Tamietti G, Cardinale F (2012) Gnomoniopsis castanea sp. nov.(Gnomoniaceae, Diaporthales) as the causal agent of nut rot in sweet chestnut. Journal of Plant Pathology 94: 411–419.
- Walker DM, Castlebury LA, Rossman AY, Sogonov MV, White JF (2010) Systematics of genus Gnomoniopsis (Gnomoniaceae, Diaporthales) based on a three gene phylogeny, host associations and morphology. Mycologia 102: 1479–1496. https://doi.org/10.3852/10-002
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications 18: 315–322. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1
- Yi SJ (2017) Situation and development strategy of chestnut industry in China. Journal of West China Forestry Science 46: 132–149. https://doi:10.16473/j.cnki.xblykx1972.2017.05.023
- Zhang HW, Zhang GZ, Cao QC, Sun MD, Cao J (2009) Investigations of main kinds of pests on Chinese chestnut in Beijing. Plant Protection 35: 121–124.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



# New species of *Retiboletus* (Boletales, Boletaceae) from China based on morphological and molecular data

Hai-Ying Liu<sup>1</sup>, Yan-Chun Li<sup>2</sup>, Tolgor Bau<sup>1</sup>

Institute of Mycology, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun 130118, China 2 Key Laboratory for Plant Diversity and Biogeography of East Asia, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 650201, Kunming, China

Corresponding author: Yan-Chun Li (liyanch@mail.kib.ac.cn); Tolgor Bau (junwusuo@126.com)

Academic editor: M. P. Martín | Received 11 February 2020 | Accepted 30 March 2020 | Published 14 May 2020

Citation: Liu H-Y, Li Y-C, Bau T (2020) New species of *Retiboletus* (Boletales, Boletaceae) from China based on morphological and molecular data. MycoKeys 67: 33–44. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.67.51020

#### Abstract

Species of the genus *Retiboletus* in China were investigated based on morphology and phylogenetic analysis of DNA sequences from the nuclear ribosomal large subunit (nrLSU) and the translation elongation factor  $1-\alpha$  gene (TEF1- $\alpha$ ). Nine species were recovered from China, including two new and seven known species. The new species, namely *Retiboletus ater* and *R. sinogriseus*, from southwestern and northeastern China respectively, are documented and illustrated in this paper. *Retiboletus ater* is morphologically characterized by its black to grayish black pileus, white to grayish hymenophore, black to blackish stipe and white to grayish white context. *Retiboletus sinogriseus* is morphologically characterized by its brown to grayish-brown pileus, yellow to grayish-yellow hymenophore, pale yellow to brownish stipe and yellow to brownish-yellow context. Descriptions and line drawings of these two novel species and their comparisons with allied taxa are presented.

#### **Keywords**

Boletes, morphology, new taxa, phylogeny, taxonomy

## Introduction

The genus *Retiboletus* Manfr. Binder & Bresinsky was erected to accommodate *Boletus ornatipes* Peck and allied species (Binder and Bresinsky 2002). The genus is morphologically characterized by the combination of the following characters: pileus convex to plane, dry, subtomentose, black, dark gray, mustard yellow or olive-brown; hymenophore pallid, grayish or yellow, unchanging or staining brown or orange-brown when bruised; hymenial cystidia present; stipe reticulate; context pallid, yellow or vivid yellow, unchanging or bruising orange-brown; clamp connections absent; spore deposit olive-brown to yellow-brown, basidiospores smooth, ellipsoid to subfusoid, inamyloid and partly dextrinoid (Binder and Bresinsky 2002; Zeng et al. 2016). The separation of *Retiboletus* from *Boletus* s. str. and its establishment at the generic rank is strongly supported (Wu et al. 2016; Zeng et al. 2016, 2018; Badou et al. 2018). So far thirteen species of this genus have been described from North/Central America and East Asia, seven out of which have been reported from China (Binder and Bresinsky 2002; Wu et al. 2016; Zeng et al. 2016).

During field investigation of Boletaceae across China, we encountered two impressive *Retiboletus* species from southwestern and northeastern China, respectively. These species can be easily recognized by their conspicuous colors in the field. Molecular phylogenetic analysis of this genus based on the nuclear ribosomal large subunit (nrLSU) and the translation elongation factor  $1-\alpha$  gene (TEF1- $\alpha$ ) indicated that they represent two distinct species. Combined with morphological characters, *Retiboletus ater* and *R. sinogriseus*, are proposed and described herein. It is noteworthy that an additional collection from northeastern China, labeled *R.* aff. *kauffmanii* (HY56), was included in our molecular phylogenetic analysis. But its classification can't be clarified due to its paucity of mature material. Further collections are needed to better estimate its taxonomic status.

#### Materials and methods

#### Morphological studies

Specimens were described and photographed in the field and deposited in the Herbarium of Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences (KUN) and Herbarium of Jilin Agriculture University (HMJAU). In the descriptions, macroscopic characters were based on field notes and color slides of the specimens. Color codes are from Kornerup and Wanscher (1981). Microscopic characters were from the observations of the specimens through light microscopy. For microscopic study, dried materials were sectioned and mounted in 5% KOH solution. Sections of the pileipellis were cut tangentially and halfway between the center and margin of the pileus. All measurements were made in KOH mounts and observed under the light phase. All line drawings of microstructures were made from rehydrated material. Melzer's reagent was used for testing color reactions of the tissue fragments to the solution. The notations "basidiospores (n/m/p)" indicate that the measurements were made on n basidiospores from m basidiomata of p collections. The expressions (a)b–c(d) stand for the dimensions of basidiospores; the range b–c contains a minimum of 90% of the measured values, a and d in the brackets stand for the extreme values. The following abbreviations are used: Q (length/width ration of basidiospores) and Q<sub>m</sub> (average Q ± standard deviation).

## DNA extraction, PCR and DNA sequencing

Protocols for DNA extraction, PCR, sequencing and sequence alignment followed those in Vadthanarat et al. (2019), Gelardi et al. (2019), Zhang et al. (2019) and references therein. The primer pair used for amplifying the nrLSU region was LROR and LR5 (Vilgalys and Hester 1990). DNA sequences were compiled with SeqMan (DNASTAR Lasergene 9). Sequences were aligned with MUSCLE 3.6 (Edgar 2004) and manually adjusted where necessary. Edited sequences were deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

## Phylogenetic analysis

19 sequences (10 of nrLSU and 9 of TEF1- $\alpha$ ) from 10 collections were newly generated in this study and aligned with selected sequences from GenBank and previous studies (Binder and Bresinsky 2002; Ortiz-Santana et al. 2007; Zeng et al. 2016, 2018) (Table 1). *Boletus edulis* Bull. and *Boletus reticuloceps* (M. Zang, M.S. Yuan & M.Q. Gong) Q.B. Wang & Y.J. Yao were chosen as outgroup. The combined nuclear dataset (nrLSU + TEF1- $\alpha$ ) was analyzed with maximum likelihood (ML). Maximum-likelihood tree generation and bootstrap analysis were performed with the program RAxML 7.2.6 (Stamatakis 2006) running 1000 bootstrap replicates combined with a ML search.

# Result

## Molecular analysis

The combined nuclear dataset (nrLSU + TEF1- $\alpha$ ) consists of 64 sequences and is 1526 bp long. The alignment was submitted to TreeBASE (S25798). Phylograms with branch lengths inferred with RAxML, including the support values, are illustrated (Fig. 1). The monophyly of *Retiboletus* was moderately supported (bootstrap = 56%) in our analysis (Fig. 1). Two new species were recovered within *Retiboletus*, including two collections of *R. ater* from southwestern China and two collections of *R. sinogriseus* from northeastern China. Phylogenetically, sequences of *R. ater* form a unique lineage with 100% bootstrap support, while *R. sinogriseus* is closely related to *R. griseus* with high bootstrap support (99%). The collection labeled *R. aff. kauffmanii* (HY56) from northeastern China, clusters together with *R. kauffmanii* and another Indian species labeled *Retiboletus* sp. (CAL\_F\_1397).

Species	Voucher	Locality	Accession		Reference
-			nrLSU	TEF1-α	-
Retiboletus ater	Li1215	SW China	MT010611	MT010621	This study
R. ater	Li1224	SW China	MT010612	MT010622	This study
R. brunneolus	LC_LJW237	SW China	MT010615	MT010625	This study
R. brunneolus	Li993	SE China	KF112424	KF112179	Wu et al. 2016
R. flavoniger	RH7247	Costa Rica	AF456828	-	Binder and Bresinsky
					2002
R. flavoniger	RH7189	Costa Rica	AF456829	-	Binder and Bresinsky 2002
R. fuscus	Wu445	SW China	KT990636	KT990830	Wu et al. 2016
R. fuscus	Cui47	SW China	MT010614	MT010624	This study
R. griseus	BD210	USA	HO161858	_	Binder and Bresinsky
8					2002
R. griseus	snBoth	USA	KF030308	KF030414	Binder and Bresinsky 2002
R. griseus	Halling10162	USA	MT010608	MT010618	This study
R. kauffmanii	Wu317	SW China	KP739282	KP739301	Zeng et al. 2016
R. nigerrimus	Tyni1	Japan	AF456832	-	Binder and Bresinsky
0		5 1			2002
R. nigrogriseus	FHMU2045	Southern China	MH367475	MH367487	Zeng et al. 2018
R. nigrogriseus	FHMU2800	Southern China	MH367476	MH367488	Zeng et al. 2018
R. ornatipes	201/97	USA	AF456815	-	Binder and Bresinsky
					2002
R. ornatipes	Halling10163	USA	MT010617	MT010626	This study
R. ornatipes	161/97	USA	AF456817	-	Binder and Bresinsky 2002
R. pseudogriseus	Zeng647	Southern China	MT010613	MT010623	This study
R. pseudogriseus	FHMU375	Southern China	MH367477	MH367489	Zeng et al. 2018
R. pseudogriseus	Zeng668	SE China	KP739285	-	Zeng et al. 2016
R. retipes	96/97	USA	AF456830	_	Binder and Bresinsky
					2002
R. retipes	22/97	USA	AF456831	-	Binder and Bresinsky 2002
R. retipes	116/96	USA	AF456823	-	Binder and Bresinsky 2002
R retities	57/97	USA	AF456811	_	Binder and Bresinsky
ia rempes	,,,,,,	CONT	111 190011		2002
R. sinensis	Zeng1299	SE China	KP739291	KP739303	Zeng et al. 2016
R. sinensis	Zeng1278	SE China	KP739289	KP739302	Zeng et al. 2016
R. sinensis	Zeng569	Southern China	KP739286	-	Zeng et al. 2016
R. sinogriseus	LJ258	NE China	MT010610	MT010620	This study
R. sinogriseus	LJ260	NE China	MT010609	MT010619	This study
<i>R.</i> sp.	CAL_F_1397	India	KY290586	-	GenBank
R. aff. kauffmanii	HY56	NE China	MT010616	-	This study
R. vinaceipes	CFMR:DR-1035	Dominican	MN250180	-	Ortiz-Santana et al. 2007
*		Republic			
R. vinaceipes	CFMR:BZ-2386	Belize	MN250190	_	Ortiz-Santana et al. 2007
R. zhangfeii	Li1951	SE China	JQ928627	JQ928582	Wu et al. 2016
R. zhangfeii	Li1073	SE China	KT990630	KT990824	Wu et al. 2016
Boletus edulis	HMJAU4637	NE China	KF112455	KF112202	Wu et al. 2016
B. reticuloceps	Liang521	SW China	KT990537	KT990739	Wu et al. 2016
Pseudoaustroboletus	LF690	Southern China	KM274870	KM274878	Li et al. 2014
valens					
P. valens	Li915	SE China	KM274869	KM274877	Li et al. 2014

 Table 1. Specimens used in molecular phylogenetic study and their GenBank accession numbers.

Sequences obtained in this study are shown in bold. SW = southwestern; NE = northeastern; SE = southeastern.


**Figure 1.** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of *Retiboletus* inferred from the combined nuclear dataset (nrLSU + TEF1- $\alpha$ ). Bootstrap frequencies (> 50%) are shown above supported branches. Newly sequenced collections are boldfaced in black. Species vouchers are provided after the species name.

#### Taxonomy

## Retiboletus ater Yan C. Li & T. Bau, sp. nov.

MycoBank No: 834293 Figures 2a–c, 3

Etymology. ater referring to the color of the basidiomata.

**Type.** CHINA. Yunnan Province: Jingdong County, Ailaoshan National Nature Reserve, alt. 2500 m, 14 July 2008, Y.C. Li 1215 (holotype: KUN-HKAS 56069!).

**Description.** Basidiomata small to medium-sized. Pileus 3–5 cm in diameter, hemispherical to applanate, surface dry, densely subtomentose, black (4F3) to blackish (4E2) in the center and gray (3D1) or yellowish-gray (3C2-3) towards margin, context 2.5 cm thick in the center of the pileus, pallid gray (2D1) to cream (2C3-4), unchanging when bruised. Hymenophore adnate or slightly depressed around apex of stipe;



Figure 2. Habitat of the new *Retiboletus* species. **a–c** *R. ater* (from KUN-HKAS 56069) **d–f** *R. sinogriseus* (**d** from KUN-HKAS 91288 **e–f** from KUN-HKAS 91286).

pores angular, tubes up to 11 mm long, 0.3-1 mm wide, white (2B1) when young and yellowish (2A2) in age, becoming brownish-yellow (5C7-8) when injured. Stipe 4–6 × 0.8–1.2 cm, clavate to flexuous, solid; surface dry, blackish to gray, prominently and coarsely reticulate over the upper 1/3; context white (2A1) in the upper part and yellowish to cream yellow downwards, unchanging when injured; basal mycelium white (2A1). Taste and odor indistinct.

Basidia 26–38 × 6–10  $\mu$ m, clavate, thin-walled, 4-spored, hyaline to yellowish in KOH. Basidiospores [60/3/2] (7)8–10.5(11) × 3–4.5(5)  $\mu$ m [Q = (1.89) 2–3.33 (3.67),



**Figure 3.** Microscopic features of *R. ater* (KUN-HKAS 56069). **a** Basidiospores **b** basidia and pleurocystidium **c** cheilo- and pleurocystidia **d** pileipellis. Scale bars: 10 μm.

 $Q_m = 2.52 \pm 0.42$ ], subfusiform and inequilateral in side view with shallow suprahilar depression, elongate fusoid or narrowly oblong in ventral view, slightly thick-walled (up to 0.5 µm), brownish to yellowish-brown in KOH, olive-brown to brown in Melzer's reagent, smooth. Hymenophoral trama boletoid; hyphae cylindrical, 3.5–9 µm wide,

hyaline to yellowish in KOH, yellowish to brownish-yellow in Melzer's reagent. Cheiloand pleurocystidia 26–55 × 6–10  $\mu$ m, abundant, subfusiform to fusiform, thin-walled, with yellowish-brown contents, surface without encrustations. Caulocystidia forming the reticulum over the stipe surface, similar to cheilo- and pleurocystidia. Pileipellis a trichoderm about 280  $\mu$ m thick, composed of more or less vertically arranged, slightly interwoven, brown to dark brown hyphae, 5–15  $\mu$ m wide; terminal cells 45–111 × 9–15  $\mu$ m, narrowly clavate to subcylindrical or subfusiform, sometimes narrowly mucronate, rostrate, slightly thick-walled (up to 0.5  $\mu$ m), hyaline to yellowish in KOH, yellowish to brownish-yellow in Melzer's reagent. Pileal trama composed of thin- to slightly thick-walled (up to 0.5  $\mu$ m) hyphae, 5–11  $\mu$ m wide, hyaline to yellowish in KOH, yellowish to brownish-yellow in Melzer's reagent. Clamp connections absent in all tissues.

**Habitat, ecology and distribution.** Solitary on the ground in forests dominated by plants in the family Fagaceae; currently known from southwestern China.

Additional specimens examined. CHINA. Yunnan Province: Jingdong County, Ailaoshan National Nature Reserve, alt. 2500 m, 14 July 2008, Y.C. Li 1224 (KUN-HKAS 56078).

Discussion. Retiboletus ater is characterized by the black to blackish or gray to yellowish-gray pileus, the white to yellowish hymenophore, the gray to brownish-gray stipe, the prominent and coarse reticulum over the upper 1/3 of the stipe and the trichoderm pileipellis with hyphae  $9-15 \,\mu m$  wide. It generally shares the same colored pileus and hymenophore with R. fuscus (Hongo) N.K. Zeng & Zhu L. Yang, R. griseus (Frost) Manfr. Binder & Bresinsky, R. nigrogriseus N.K. Zeng, S. Jiang & Zhi Q. Liang, and R. pseudogriseus N.K. Zeng & Zhu L. Yang. However, R. fuscus is characterized by an overall reticulate stipe, slight longer basidiospores  $(9-12 \times 3.5-4.5 \,\mu\text{m})$ and narrower pileipellis hyphae (4-8 µm wide) (Zeng et al. 2016). Retiboletus griseus has a reticulum over the upper 2/3 of the stipe, a cream or grayish-brown stipe often with orange-yellow stains when hurt, and a distribution in North/Central America (Smith and Thiers 1971; Ortiz-Santana et al. 2007). Retiboletus nigrogriseus is characterized by the white to olivaceous contex in the stipe, the entirely reticulate stipe and the cutis pileipellis with hyphae  $4-10 \,\mu\text{m}$  wide. *Retiboletus pseudogriseus* has a grayish white pileus which is covered with brown to blackish brown squamules, white context becoming brown when injured, and a slender and completely reticulate stipe.

In the phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 1), *R. ater* forms an independent lineage within *Retiboletus*, future studies would require more molecular sequence data to help fully resolve its evolutionary relationships to the other species.

#### Retiboletus sinogriseus Yan C. Li & T. Bau, sp. nov.

MycoBank No: 834294 Figures 2d–f, 4

**Etymology.** *sino* (Latin) = China, reflecting that the basidiomata were collected in China + griseus for similarity of the basidiomata of this species to *Retiboletus griseus*.



**Figure 4.** Microscopic features of *R. sinogriseus* (KUN-HKAS 912889). **a** Basidiospores **b** basidia and pleurocystidia **c** pleurocystidia **d** cheilocystidia **e** pileipellis. Scale bars: 10 µm.

**Type.** CHINA. Liaoning Province: Anshan City, Qianshan, alt. 400 m, 25 Aug 2015, J. Li 260 (holotype: KUN-HKAS 91288!).

**Description.** Basidiomata medium-sized. Pileus 6–7.2 cm in diameter, subhemispherical to applanate, sometimes convex; surface tomentose, grayish-brown (5D2-3) to brown (5E4), rimose when dry, always cracked into small squamules on grayish (4B1) to whitish (2A1) background; context 1–2 cm thick in the center of pileus, white (1A1), unchanging when injured. Hymenophore adnate, sometimes slightly depressed around apex of stipe; pores angular, 0.3-1 mm wide, tubes up to 14 mm long, yellow (4C3-4) to grayish-yellow (4B2-3), unchanging when injured. Stipe  $6-8 \times 1.1-1.5$  cm, subcylindrical, solid; surface dry, pale yellow at apex, blackish-yellow towards the base, entirely covered with moderately developed reticulum; context white to cream in the upper part and yellowish to yellow downwards, unchanging when injured; basal mycelium yellow. Taste and odor indistinct.

Basidia 21–27 × 9–11 µm, clavate, thin-walled, four-spored; sterigmata 4–5 µm long. Basidiospores [40/2/2] (9) 10.0–13.5 (–14.0) × (3) 4.0–5.0 (–5.5) µm, Q = (2.25–) 2.5–3.25 (–3.42), Q<sub>m</sub> = 2.88 ± 0.32, subfusiform to ellipsoid, slightly thick-walled (up to 0.5 µm), hyaline to yellowish in KOH, olive-brown to yellowish-brown in Melzer's reagent, smooth. Hymenophoral trama boletoid. Cheilo- and pleurocystidia 35–56 × 7–12 µm, abundant, subfusiform to fusiform, thin-walled, with yellowish-brown to brown contents, without encrustations. Pileipellis a subcutis, 100–120 µm thick, composed of thin-walled filamentous hyphae 4–7 µm wide, with subcylindrical to clavate terminal cells 33–72 × 4–6 µm, sometimes with subacute apex, colorless to pale yellowish-brown in KOH, yellow-brown to brown to brown so fully hyphae 4–9 µm wide, colorless to pale yellowish-brown in KOH, yellow-brown to brown in Melzer's reagent. Pileal trama composed of thin-walled hyphae 4–9 µm wide, colorless to pale yellowish-brown in KOH, yellow-brown to brownish in Melzer's reagent. Pileal trama composed of thin-walled hyphae 4–9 µm wide, colorless to pale yellowish-brown in KOH, yellow-brown to brownish in Melzer's reagent. Clamp connections absent in all tissues.

Habitat, ecology and distribution. Solitary on the ground in mixed forests dominated by plants in the families Fagaceae and Pinaceae; currently known from northeastern China.

Additional specimens examined. CHINA. Liaoning Province: Anshan City, Qianshan, alt. 400 m, 25 Aug 2015, J. Li 258 (KUN-HKAS 91286).

Discussion. Retiboletus sinogriseus has a grayish-brown to brown pileus, a pale yellow to blackish-yellow stipe. Such traits are very similar to those of *R. griseus*. Interestingly, R. sinogriseus clusters with R. griseus with strong statistical support (Fig. 1). However, R. griseus, originally described from North America but not found in China yet, has a distinctly pallid hymenohore and broad pileipellis hyphae which are up to  $17 \, \mu m$ wide (Singer 1947; Smith and Thiers 1971; Ortiz-Santana et al. 2007). Additionally, the R. sinogriseus/R. griseus clade is clustered with R. zhangfeii N.K. Zeng & Zhu L. Yang, R. nigrogriseus and R. nigerrimus (R. Heim) Manfr. Binder & Bresinsky (however without bootstrap support). In this assemblage, R. zhangfeii differs significantly from *R. sinogriseus* by its differently colored pileus, hymenophore, stipe and context (Zeng et al. 2016). Retiboletus nigrogriseus has a black to gray pileus, white to grayish white hymenophore, white to olivaceous contex in the stipe and much smaller basidiospores 8-10.5 × 3.5-4.5 μm. Retiboletus nigerrimus, originally described from Papua New Guinea, has a pileus with a distinctive blue tinge, a context lemon yellow in pileus and orange in the base of stipe and longer and narrower basidiospores  $11.5-14.5 \times$ 3.6–4.6 μm (Heim 1963).

Nine species of *Retiboletus* were recorded from China, including two new species described herein. For the convenience of identification, a key to the species in China is given below.

# Key to Retiboletus species in China

1	Hymenophore bright yellow to brownish-yellow, stipe yellow to orange-yel- low mycelium on the base of stipe yellow to brownish-yellow
_	Hymenophore whitish to gravish white, stipe black to blackish or gravish-
	black, mycelium on the base of stipe whitish to grayish-white
2	Pileus grayish-brown to brown without olivaceous tinge, context in pileus
	white to grayish-white
_	Pileus yellow-brown to olive-brown, context in pileus yellow to pale yellow3
3	Basidiomata medium-sized to large, pileus up to 15 cm in diameter, basidi-
	ospores 9–13 $\times$ 4–5 $\mu m,$ cheilo- and pleurocystidia 30–60 $\times$ 6–10 $\mu m$
-	Basidiomata small to medium-sized, pileus up to 8 cm in diameter, basidi-
	ospores 8–11 $\times$ 3.5–4 $\mu m,$ cheilo- and pleurocystidia 20–46 $\times$ 4.5–7 $\mu m$
4	Context in the stipe white to grayish-white with olivaceous tinge5
-	Context in the stipe white to grayish-white with grayish-yellow tinge6
5	Hymenophore white when young, lilac to purplish when old, basidiospores
	9–11 × 4–5 μm
_	Hymenophore white to grayish-white without lilac to purplish tinge, basidi-
	ospores relatively small $8-10.5 \times 3.5-4 \ \mu m$
6	Stipe entirely reticulate
_	Stipe without reticulum or with reticulum restricted to the upper part8
7	Pileus brown to blackish-brown, basidiospores $9.5-11 \times 4-4.5 \mu m$ , pileipellis
	hyphae up to 8 µm wid
_	Pileus grayish-brown to grayish-black, basidiospores slightly narrower $9-12 \times$
	$3.5-4 \mu m$ , pileipellis hyphae broad up to $13 \mu m$ wide <i>R. fuscus</i>
8	Pileus pale brown to grayish-brown, stipe without reticulum, basidiospores
	$10-12.5 \times 4.5-5 \mu m$ , pleurocystidia $50-80 \times 9-14.5 \mu m$ <i>R. brunneolus</i>
_	Pileus black to blackish, stipe covered with reticulum over the upper 1/3, ba-
	sidiospores much smaller 8–10.5 × 3–4.5 $\mu$ m, pleurocystidia relatively small
	$26-33 \times 6-10 \ \mu m$

# Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to Dr. Jing Li (Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences) for her kind help in collecting the specimens. They are grateful to Prof. Roy E. Halling (the New York Botanical Garden) for providing American specimens. The anonymous reviewers are acknowledged for their valuable comments and suggestions. This study was supported by the Funds of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31570025, 31750001, 31872618), the Youth Innovation Promotion Association, CAS (2016348), the Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of CAS (QYZDY-SSW-SMC029-5) and the Ten Thousand Talents Program of Yunnan (YNWR-QNBJ-2018-125).

## References

- Binder M, Bresinsky A (2002) *Retiboletus*, a new genus for a species-complex in the Boletaceae producing retipolides. Feddes Repert 113: 30–40. https://doi.org/10.1002/1522-239X(200205)113:1/2<30::AID-FEDR30>3.0.CO;2-D
- Badou AS, De Kesel A, Raspé O, Ryberg MK, Guelly AK, Yorou NS (2018) Two new African siblings of *Pulveroboletus ravenelii* (Boletaceae). MycoKeys 43: 115–130. https://doi. org/10.3897/mycokeys.43.30776
- Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Research 32: 1792–1797. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340
- Gelardi M, Angelini C, Costanzo F, Dovana F, Ortiz-Santana B, Vizzini A (2019) Neoboletus antillanus sp. nov. (Boletaceae), first report of a red-pored bolete from the Dominican Republic and insights on the genus Neoboletus. MycoKeys 49: 73–97. https://doi. org/10.3897/mycokeys.49.33185
- Heim R (1963) Diagnoses latines des espèces de champignons, ou nonda associés à la folie du komugl tai et dundaal. Revue mycologique 28: 277–283.
- Kornerup A, Wanscher JH (1981) Taschenlexikon der Farben 3. Muster-Schmidt Verlag, Göttingen, 1–242.
- Ortiz-Santana B, Lodge DJ, Baroni TJ, Both EE (2007) Boletes from Belize and the Dominican Republic. Fungal Diversity 27: 247–416.
- Singer R (1947) The Boletoideae of Florida with notes on extralimital species III. American Midland Naturalist 37: 1–135. https://doi.org/10.2307/2421647
- Smith AH, Thiers HD (1971) The boletes of Michigan. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1–428.
- Stamatakis A (2006) RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 22: 2688–2690. https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446
- Vadthanarat S, Amalfi M, Halling RE, Bandala V, Lumyong S, Raspé O (2019) Two new *Erythrophylloporus* species (Boletaceae) from Thailand, with two new combinations of American species. MycoKeys 55: 29–57. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.55.34570
- Vilgalys R, Hester M (1990) Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. Journal of Bacteriology 172: 4238– 4246. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.172.8.4238-4246.1990
- Wu G, Li YC, Zhu XT, Zhao K, Han LH, Cui YY, Li F, Xu JP, Yang ZL (2016) One hundred noteworthy boletes from China. Fungal Diversity 81: 25–188. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13225-016-0375-8
- Zeng NK, Liang ZQ, Wu G, Li YC, Yang ZL, Liang ZQ (2016) The genus *Retiboletus* in China. Mycologia 108: 363–380. https://doi.org/10.3852/15-072
- Zeng NK, Chai H, Jiang S, Xue R, Wang Y, Hong D, Liang ZQ (2018) *Retiboletus nigrogriseus* and *Tengioboletus fujianensis*, two new boletes from the south of China. Phytotaxa 367: 45–54. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.367.1.5
- Zhang M, Li TH, Wang CQ, Zeng NK, Deng WQ (2019) Phylogenetic overview of Aureoboletus (Boletaceae, Boletales), with descriptions of six new species from China. MycoKeys 61: 111–145. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.61.47520

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 



# The first Laboulbeniales (Ascomycota, Laboulbeniomycetes) from an American millipede, discovered through social media

Sergi Santamaria<sup>1</sup>, Henrik Enghoff<sup>2</sup>, Ana Sofia Reboleira<sup>2</sup>

I Unitat de Botànica. Departament de Biologia Animal, de Biologia Vegetal i d'Ecologia. Facultat de Biociències. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. 08193-Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain 2 Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 15, 2100, Copenhagen, Denmark

Corresponding author: Ana Sofia Reboleira (sreboleira@snm.ku.dk)

Academic editor: D. Haelewaters | Received 10 March 2020 | Accepted 8 April 2020 | Published 14 May 2020

**Citation:** Santamaria S, Enghoff H, Reboleira AS (2020) The first Laboulbeniales (Ascomycota, Laboulbeniomycetes) from an American millipede, discovered through social media. MycoKeys 67: 45–53. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.67.51811

#### Abstract

Laboulbeniales are highly specialized arthropod-associated fungi. The majority of the almost 2200 known species live on insects, although they also occur on other arthropod hosts. Recently, the number of Laboulbeniales associated with millipedes has increased considerably. Here we describe the first species of a Laboulbeniales fungus, *Troglomyces twitteri* **sp. nov.**, from an American millipede. The new species was initially discovered on a photo of *Cambala annulata* (Say, 1821) from Ohio, USA, which had been shared on Twitter. A subsequent microscopic study of *Cambala* millipedes in museum collections in Denmark and France confirmed the discovery.

#### Keywords

animal-fungus interaction, collections-based research, Diplopoda, Laboulbeniaceae, social media

# Introduction

Fungi of the order Laboulbeniales form a rather large group of ascomycetous fungi with around 2200 described species in 142 genera (Reboleira et al. 2018). They are obligatorily associated with living arthropods and spend their entire life cycle on their host (Blackwell et al. 2020). Traditionally they have been defined as parasites, with complex haustoria penetrating into the host (Jensen et al. 2019). However, the ab-

sence of haustoria in most Laboulbeniales questions their parasitic nature (Tragust et al. 2016). The majority of Laboulbeniales hosts are insects, mostly Coleoptera (80% of described species) and Diptera (10%) (Weir and Hammond 1997), but also other arthropods have been reported as hosts: mites, millipedes and harvestmen, the latter with a single species (Santamaria et al. 2017).

Laboulbeniales have been long neglected both by mycologists and entomologists. The reason may be that entomologists are often unaware of their presence in part due to their small size and the lack of collaboration between entomologists and mycologists that have less access to the hosts on which these fungi depend. In addition, the study of Laboulbeniales was hindered by technical issues due to their size and difficulty to isolate DNA until recently (Haelewaters et al. 2015; Sundberg et al. 2017).

Research on Laboulbeniales has traditionally been taxonomic, with a recent emergence of molecular phylogenetic studies both at species-level and higher taxonomic levels (e.g., Sundberg et al. 2018; Haelewaters et al. 2019a, b). A few studies have provided insights into the interaction of Laboulbeniales and their hosts, especially in those parasitizing insects (Báthori et al. 2015, 2017; Jensen et al. 2019), but very little is known about general Laboulbeniales biology (Tragust et al. 2016; Szentiványi et al. 2020).

During the last decade, the number of Laboulbeniales species associated with millipedes (Diplopoda) has grown significantly from eight prior to 2014 to a current count of 30 species (Santamaria et al. 2014, 2016, 2018; Enghoff and Santamaria 2015; Reboleira et al. 2018). These species have been collected in Europe, Macaronesia, the Middle East, Africa, SE Asia, Indonesia, Australia and New Zealand, but until now, no Laboulbeniales from American millipedes have been reported.

Millipede hosts of Laboulbeniales usually combine the following traits: i) successive generations of adults overlap in time; ii) their populations are large and stable, and iii) they inhabit moist environments (Santamaria et al. 2014). The transmission of the ascospores in millipede hosts most often occurs directly, by contacts of the hosts during copulation, hence this is why most thalli are found growing around the gonopode and gonopores (Reboleira et al. 2018).

After the observation of a shared photo of a North American *Cambala annulata* (Say, 1821) millipede on Twitter (Fig. 1), we identified the presence of Laboulbeniales on this specimen. Subsequently, we decided to screen *Cambala* millipedes in museum collections resulting in the discovery of an undescribed species in the laboulbenialean genus *Troglomyces*, which was found on several specimens. This new species is formally described here.

#### Methods

Specimens of *Cambala* spp. from the collections of the Natural History Museum of Denmark in Copenhagen (**NHMD**) and in the National Museum of Natural History in Paris (**MNHN**) were investigated for the presence of Laboulbeniales under a binocular stereomicroscope Leica M165C. The thalli of the fungus on the infected *Cambala* specimens were removed using an insect pin and mounted with lactophenol on a mi-



**Figure 1.** *Cambala annulata*, male. USA, Ohio, Adams County, West Union, Greene Township, Edge of Appalachia Preserve System, Abner Hollow Rd., on Bisher Dolostone Cliffs, 38.7139N, 83.4187W, 26 Jun 2014; M. Zloba leg. Original of image shared on Twitter on 31 Oct 2018 by Derek Hennen. Courtesy of D. Hennen. The red circles indicate two thalli of Laboulbeniales.

croscope slide following the methodology of Santamaría et al. (2018). Specimens were studied using a Leica DMR microscope equipped with differential interference contrast (DIC) optics and photographed with a Jenoptik ProgRes 10 Plus digital camera.

# Taxonomy

Order Laboulbeniales Lindau Suborder Laboulbeniineae Thaxt Family Laboulbeniaceae Peyr Subfamily Laboulbenioideae s. str. Tribe Laboulbenieae Thaxt Subtribe Stigmatomycetinae (Thaxt.) I.I. Tav.

Genus Troglomyces S. Colla, Nuovo Giornale Botanico Italiano 39: 450 (1932).

Type species. T. manfrediae S. Colla

**Brief description.** Receptacle three-celled. Cell III very narrow and adnate to the perithecium. Perithecium with 5-6 outer wall cells in each vertical row. Perithecial apex typically with four protruding lips. Nine species.

#### Troglomyces twitteri Santam., Enghoff & Reboleira, sp. nov.

MycoBank No: 834938 Figure 2

**Diagnosis.** Septa II–III and II–VI approximately at the same level. Dorsal and ventral margin of cell II of equal to subequal height, in contrast to all other *Troglomyces*, such that cell II is not adnate to either cell VI or the perithecium. Primary appendage branched. Perithecial apex bearing four slightly protruding lips, one of them being longer.

**Types.** *Holotype*: USA, Georgia, Peach County, Fort Valley, 25 Feb 1984, Jerry A. Payne leg., "Leaf litter in hardwood forest", on *Cambala annulata*, RL Hoffman 1984 det. (host: MNHN GA-003-5, slide: C-F-95157, deposited at NHMD). *Para-types*: Same data as the holotype (host: MNHN GA003, slides: GA003-1, GA003-2, GA003-3, GA003-4, deposited at MNHN); USA, North Carolina, Swain Co., Smokemont Campground in Great Smoky Mountains National Park, 10 Aug 1981, H. Enghoff & R.M. Shelley leg., on *Cambala hubrichti* Hoffman, H. Enghoff det. (host: NHMD 621689, slides: C-F-95156, C-F-95155, C-F-95154, C-F-95153, deposited at NHMD).

Description. Thallus hyaline, except for the blackened foot. Basal cell of the receptacle (I) about twice as long as broad, enlarged distally. Suprabasal cell of the *receptacle* (II) pentagonal, isodiametric, up to 1.5 times as long as broad, margins parallel to somewhat broadened distally. Septa II-III and II-VI variably oblique, located approximately at the same level. Septum II-VI slightly longer than II-III. Cell III very narrow, up to 8 times longer than broad; adnate to the perithecium along half or three quarters of the latter's length. Primary appendage branched above the first or, more frequently, the second cell, into several simple or once ramified branches; surpassing the perithecial apex. Basal and suprabasal cells of appendage similar in size and shape; about two times as long as broad. Primary septum (Fig. 2C, "a") slightly constricted and strongly oblique. Only one antheridium has been seen in an immature thallus, as a simple phialid on a branch of the primary appendage (Fig. 2G, "an"). Perithecial stalk cell (VI) very inconspicuous, strongly flattened (Fig. 2B, 2F, "VI"). Perithecium ovoidal, broadest at the middle or third basal part, gradually tapering upwards. Apex bearing four not quite protruding lips, one of them slightly longer (Fig. 2E, arrow). A small tooth-like outgrowth on the outer side near the apex (Fig. 2F, arrow).

**Measurements.** Length from foot to apex of perithecium  $81-129 \mu m$ . Perithecium (including basal cells)  $45-66 \times 14-23 \mu m$ . Appendage maximum length if undamaged, from primary septum  $61-76 \mu m$ .

**Etymology.** Named after the social media platform Twitter, where it was observed for the first time.



**Figure 2.** *Troglomyces twitteri* Santam., Enghoff & Reboleira, sp. nov. **A–D** mature thalli with labelling of cells and other elements in **B**, **C E**, **F** detail of perithecium at two focusing levels to show the slightly longer lip (**E**, arrow), and tooth-like outgrowth (**F**, arrow). In Fig. **F**, cell VI is labelled **G** detail of an immature thallus showing the trichogyne (tr) and the antheridium (an). Scale bars: 50 μm (**A–D**), 25 μm (**E–G**). Photographs from: slides GA003-1 (**A**, **D**), GA003-2 (**E–G**), and C-F-95157 (**B**, **C**).

## Discussion

The most distinctive characteristic of *Troglomyces twitteri* vis-à-vis its congeners is found in the shape and location of cell II, which is bigger than in other species and does not extend laterally to cell VI or the perithecium. The strongly flattened and inconspicuous cell VI is shared with T. tetralabiatus Santam., Enghoff & Reboleira, probably the mostly similar species. Troglomyces twitteri differs from the other species as follows: Troglomyces dioicus Santam., Enghoff & Reboleira is dioecious, has a conspicuous spiny process and an unbranched appendage; T. tetralabiatus shows four very conspicuous and elongated perithecial lips; T. bilabiatus Santam., Enghoff & Reboleira has two elongated lips, an unbranched appendage, and the antheridia are placed directly on the lower cells of the appendage; T. pusillus Santam & Enghoff has an unbranched appendage and the second cell of this appendage functions as an intercalary antheridium; T. triandrus Santam & Enghoff has three superposed antheridia formed by the third, fourth and fifth cells of the appendage; T. botryandrus Santam., Enghoff & Reboleira has two groups of antheridia in bunches near the base of appendage; T. manfrediae S. Colla has an unbranched appendage and an antheridium on the corner of the appendage basal cell; T. rossii Santam., Enghoff & Reboleira has a bifurcate appendage with a characteristic trapezoidal, small cell in the bifurcation.

Arthropods of the class Diplopoda, commonly known as millipedes, play an important role in the decomposition of organic matter above and below the ground (Hopkin and Read 1992; David 2015; Reboleira and Enghoff 2015). Millipedes have poor dispersal abilities and consequently show high endemism patterns, converting them into excellent models for the study of Laboulbeniales biogeographical patterns (Santamaria et al. 2014, 2016, 2018; Reboleira et al. 2018). The gonopores of millipedes are situated on the third body ring from the front, and in the vast majority of millipedes, mating takes place by the introduction of modified appendages (gonopods) on the seventh body ring into the female gonopore; the distribution of laboulbenialean thalli on millipede hosts very often reflects this behavior (Enghoff and Santamaria 2015).

Species of *Troglomyces* have so far been found only on millipedes belonging to the orders Julida and Chordeumatida. The here reported find of *T. twitteri* on *Cambala* is not only a first record of Laboulbeniales from an American millipede, it also represents the first record of *Troglomyces* from the order Spirostreptida. Species of Spirostreptida are, on the other hand, hosts for many species of another Laboulbeniales genus: *Rickia* Cavara (Santamaria et al. 2016). Spirostreptidan hosts include one species of Cambalidae, *Chiraziulus kaiseri* (Mauriès, 1983), which is host to *Rickia appendicifera* Santam., Enghoff & Reboleira.

The genus *Cambala* Gray, 1832 is endemic to North America. *Cambala annulata* (Say, 1821) and *C. hubrichti* Hoffman, 1958 are dominant members of the litter fauna in the southern Appalachian Mountains (Shelley 1979). *Troglomyces twitteri* is probably overlooked but widespread in this area, i.e. the potential geographic distribution of the fungus is likely to match the distribution of its hosts. Like most other millipedes, *Cambala* species secrete strongly smelling defensive chemicals from glands along

their body. Eisner et al. (1965) identified 2-methyl-1,4-benzoquinone and 2-methyl-3-methoxy-1.4 benzoquinone in the secretion of *C. hubrichiti*.

The abundance of thalli on the host was reduced compared to some other species of millipedes that are known to have high load of Laboulbeniales. For example, thalli of *Rickia gigas* Santam., Enghoff & Reboleira on *Archispirostreptus* spp. were reported as "hairs" in internet fora by keepers of millipedes as pets (Santamaría et al. 2016). The distribution of *T. twitteri* thalli on the host body follows a transmission pattern that is associated with mating behavior, the fungi being mostly found around the gonopods/gonopores of the millipedes (Santamaria et al. 2014, 2016, 2018; Reboleira et al. 2015; Reboleira and Enghoff 2015). However, the thalli observed on Twitter were on the dorsal side of the first two body rings. This suggests that, under higher thallus densities, thalli can spread from the genital areas of the millipedes to the back, i.e. higher than in the specimens studied.

The use of social media is now a considerable part of how humans interact and perceive the news of a changing world. Photographs in online databases (e.g., Flickr and iNaturalist) and social media (e.g., Facebook and Instagram) have previously provided new species of insects and plants for science, and new hosts for parasites – after careful examination by taxonomists (Winterton et al. 2012; Gonella et al. 2015; Báthori et al. 2017; Jaume-Schinkel et al. 2020). There is an increasing interplay between research and social media platforms, and many scientists use Twitter to promote and share research, a phenomenon also promoted by scientific publisher companies (Bik and Goldstein 2013). To our knowledge, this is the first time that a new species for science has been discovered on Twitter, as a result of a casual observation of a photo shared by a colleague. This, again, emphasizes the importance of such platforms for sharing research and making new discoveries. The circumstances of this species' discovery should encourage data sharing among amateur naturalists and professional scientists.

#### Acknowledgements

Derek Hennen kindly provided a better resolution copy of his image from Twitter. ASR was supported by a research grant (15471) from the VILLUM FONDEN.

#### References

- Báthori F, Pfliegler WP, Zimmerman CU, Tartally A (2017) Online image databases as multipurpose resources: discovery of a new host ant of *Rickia wasmannii* Cavara (Ascomycota, Laboulbeniales) by screening AntWeb.org. Journal of Hymenoptera Research 61: 85–94. https://doi.org/10.3897/jhr.61.20255
- Báthori F, Csata E, Tartally A (2015) Rickia wasmannii increases the need for water in Myrmica scabrinodis (Ascomycota: Laboulbeniales; Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 126: 78–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2015.01.005

- Báthori F, Rádai Z, Tartally A (2017) The effect of *Rickia wasmannii* (Ascomycota, Laboulbeniales) on the aggression and boldness of *Myrmica scabrinodis* (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). Journal of Hymenoptera Research 58: 41–52. https://doi.org/10.3897/jhr.58.13253
- Blackwell M, Haelewaters D, Pfister DH (2020) Laboulbeniomycetes: Evolution, natural history, and Thaxter's final word. Mycologia: 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.2020.1718442
- Gonella PM, Rivadavia F, Fleischmann A (2015) *Drosera magnifica* (Droseraceae): the largest New World sundew, discovered on Facebook. Phytotaxa 220(3): 257–267. https://doi. org/10.11646/phytotaxa.220.3.4
- David JF (2015) Diplopoda ecology. In: Minelli A (Ed.) The Myriapoda (Vol. 2). Treatise on Zoology – Anatomy, Taxonomy, Biology. Brill, 303–327. https://doi. org/10.1163/9789004188273\_013
- Eisner T, Hurst JJ, Keeton WT, Meinwald Y (1965) Defense mechanisms of arthropods. XVI. Para-benzoquinones in the secretion of spirostreptoid millipedes. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 58: 247–248. https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/58.2.247
- Enghoff H, Santamaria S (2015) Infectious intimacy and contaminated caves three new species of ectoparasitic fungi (Ascomycota: Laboulbeniales) from blaniulid millipedes (Diplopoda: Julida) and inferences about their transmittal mechanisms. Organisms Diversity & Evolution 15(2): 249–263. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13127-015-0208-8
- Bik HM, Goldstein MC (2013) An introduction to social media for scientists. PLoS biology 11(4): e1001535. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001535
- Haelewaters D, Gorczak M, Pfliegler WP, Tartally A, Tischer M, Wrzosek M, Pfister DH (2015) Bringing Laboulbeniales into the 21<sup>st</sup> century: enhanced techniques for extraction and PCR amplification of DNA from minute ectoparasitic fungi. IMA fungus 6(2): 363–372. https:// doi.org/10.5598/imafungus.2015.06.02.08
- Haelewaters D, Boer P, Báthori F, Rádai Z, Reboleira ASPS, Tartally A, Da Kesel A, Pfiegler WP, Nedvéd O (2019a) Studies of Laboulbeniales on *Myrmica* ants (IV): host-related diversity and thallus distribution patterns of *Rickia wasmannii*. Parasite 26: 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1051/ parasite/2019028
- Haelewaters D, Pfliegler WP, Gorczak M, Pfister DH (2019b) Birth of an order: comprehensive molecular phylogenetic study excludes *Herpomyces* (Fungi, Laboulbeniomycetes) from Laboulbeniales. Molecular phylogenetics and evolution 133: 286–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ympev.2019.01.007
- Hopkin SP, Read HJ (1992) The biology of millipedes. Oxford Science Publications. Oxford, New York.
- Jaume-Schinkel S, Soares MMM, Barros LM (2020) *Chvalaea yolkamini* sp. nov. (Diptera: Hybotidae), the first Mexican species of genus discovered on Instagram. Zootaxa 4748(3): 592–600. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4748.3.12
- Jensen KM, Rodrigues L, Pape T, Garm A, Santamaria S, Reboleira ASPS (2019) Hyperparasitism in caves: bats, bat flies and ectoparasitic fungus interaction. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 166: 107206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2019.107206
- Reboleira ASPS, Enghoff H (2015) Redescription of *Lusitanipus alternans* (Verhoeff, 1893) (Diplopoda, Callipoda, Dorypetalidae) and ecological data on its Laboulbeniales ectoparasites in caves. Zootaxa 3957(5): 567–576. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3957.5.5

- Reboleira ASPS, Enghoff H, Santamaria S (2018) Novelty upon novelty visualized by rotational scanning electron micrographs (rSEM): Laboulbeniales on the millipede order Chordeumatida. PLoS ONE 13(11): e0206900. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206900
- Reboleira ASPS, Malekhosseini MJ, Sadeghi S, Enghoff H (2015) Highly disjunct and highly infected millipedes – a new cave-dwelling species of *Chiraziulus* (Diplopoda: Spirostreptida: Cambalidae) from Iran and notes on Laboulbeniales ectoparasites. European Journal of Taxonomy 146: 1–18. https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2015.146
- Santamaria S, Enghoff H, Reboleira ASPS (2014) Laboulbeniales on millipedes: the genera Diplopodomyces and Troglomyces. Mycologia 106(5): 1027–1038. https://doi.org/10.3852/13-381
- Santamaria S, Enghoff H, Reboleira ASPS (2016) Hidden biodiversity revealed by collectionsbased research – Laboulbeniales in millipedes: genus *Rickia*. Phytotaxa 243(2): 101–127. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.243.2.1
- Santamaria S, Enghoff H, Gruber J, Reboleira ASPS (2017) First Laboulbeniales from harvestmen: the new genus *Opilionomyces*. Phytotaxa 305(4): 285–292. https://doi.org/10.11646/ phytotaxa.305.4.4
- Santamaria S, Enghoff H, Reboleira ASPS (2018) New species of *Troglomyces* and *Diplopodomyces* (Laboulbeniales, Ascomycota) from millipedes (Diplopoda). European Journal of Taxonomy 429: 1–20. https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2018.429
- Sundberg H, Ekman S, Kruys Å (2017) A crush on small fungi: An efficient and quick method for obtaining DNA from minute ascomycetes. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 2017: 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12850
- Sundberg H, Kruys Å, Bergsten J, Ekman S (2018) Position specificity in the genus Coreomyces (Laboulbeniomycetes, Ascomycota). Fungal Systematics and Evolution 1(1): 217–228. https://doi.org/10.3114/fuse.2018.01.09
- Szentiványi T, Estók P, Pigeault R, Christe P, Glaizot O (2020) Effects of fungal infection on the survival of parasitic bat flies. Parasites Vectors 13: 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13071-020-3895-8
- Shelley RW (1979) A synopsis of the millipede genus *Cambala*, with a description of *C. minor* Bollman (Spirostreptida: Cambalidae). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 92(3): 551–571.
- Tragust S, Tartally A, Espadaler X, Billen J (2016) Histopathology of Laboulbeniales (Ascomycota: Laboulbeniales): ectoparasitic fungi on ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Myrmecological News 23: 81–89.
- Weir A, Hammond PM (1997) Laboulbeniales on beetles: host utilization patterns and species richness of the parasites. Biodiversity and Conservation 6: 701–719. https://doi. org/10.1023/A:1018318320019
- Winterton SL, Guek HP, Brooks SJ (2012) A charismatic new species of green lacewing discovered in Malaysia (Neuroptera, Chrysopidae): the confluence of citizen scientist, online image database and cybertaxonomy. ZooKeys (214): 1–11. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.214.3220

RESEARCH ARTICLE



# First record of Harpellales, Orphellales (Kickxellomycotina) and Amoebidiales (Mesomycetozoea) from Bulgaria, including a new species of *Glotzia*

Laia Guàrdia Valle<sup>1</sup>, Desislava Stoianova<sup>2</sup>

I Unitat de Botànica, Dept. Biologia Animal, Biologia Vegetal i d'Ecologia. Fac. Biociènces. Universitat Autànoma de Barcelona. 08193-Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain 2 Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences. Sofia, Bulgaria

Corresponding author: Laia Guàrdia Valle (laia.guardia@uab.cat)

Academic editor: T. Lumbsch   Receiv	ed 13 March 2020   Acce	epted 9 April 2020	Published 27 May 2020
--------------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------	-----------------------

**Citation:** Valle LG, Stoianova D (2020) First record of Harpellales, Orphellales (Kickxellomycotina) and Amoebidiales (Mesomycetozoea) from Bulgaria, including a new species of *Glotzia*. MycoKeys 67: 55–80. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.67.52055

#### Abstract

This paper presents the results obtained from a short survey performed in Bulgaria, southeast Europe, where the trichomycetes (sensu lato), an ecological group of arthropod gut endosymbionts, were previously completely unknown. The present study initiates the comprehension of these cryptic organisms, members of the Kickxellomycotina (Harpellales, Orphellales) and the Mesomycetozoea (Amoebidiales), in this Balkan country. Eighteen new geographic records for Bulgaria are reported, including 10 species of Harpellales, three species of Orphellales and five species of Amoebidiales. Within the Harpellales, the species *Glotzia balkanensis* **sp. nov.** is described. This new species is most related to the rare species *G. centroptili* Gauthier ex Manier & Lichtw. and *G. stenospora* White & Lichtw., but is differentiated by spore and thallial characteristics. Photographs are provided and biogeographic implications of these records are discussed.

#### Keywords

aquatic insects, Balkans, gut fungi, symbiosis, trichomycetes, Zoopagomycotina

## Introduction

The ecological group trichomycetes includes filamentous or sac-like protozoan organisms (Mesomycetozoea Order Eccrinales and Amoebidiales) (Benny and O'Donnell 2000; Ustinova et al. 2000; Lutzoni et al. 2004; Tanabe et al. 2004; Adl et al. 2005; Cafaro 2005) and filamentous Fungi (Zoopagomycota, Kickxellomycotina, O. Harpellales and Asellariales) (Hibbet et al. 2007), all of them sharing the same ecological behaviour and living symbiotically inside the gut of arthropod hosts. The intense conditions and constrictions of this peculiar environment have shaped the convergent evolution, resulting in parallel morphology for all members in this ecological assemblage of organisms, explaining the previous classification of these phylogenetically unrelated orders within the Class Trichomycetes (Lichtwardt 1986). The Order Harpellales is the most diverse and well-known within the trichomycetes, with nearly 250 species, living associated mainly with immature stages of aquatic insects (Lichtwardt et al. 2001). Recently, a new order has been raised from within the Harpellales: the Orphellales L.G. Valle, M.M. White, Strongman & Lichtw to include the Plecopteran-associated genus, Orphella, with unusual characteristics in its sexual spores, amongst other particularities that make this genus exceptional within the Kickxellomycotina (White et al. 2018). The relationship between trichomycetes and their hosts is considered commensalistic, since they feed on the digestive content transiting those portions of the insect gut where most of the nutrients have already been absorbed by the animal (Misra 2001). However, they can behave mutualistically in some developmental and environmental conditions (Horn and Lichtwardt 1981), while other species may be deleterious to their hosts, like a few dipteranassociated species of *Smittium* which can be lethal to mosquitoes (Dubitskii 1978; Sweeney 1981; López-Lastra 1990) and may have an added interest for mosquitocontrol research.

Bulgaria is a biogeographically attractive region and, like most other Oriental European countries, it has not, until now, been studied by trichomycetologists. Europe transitions to Asia through the Balkans, acting as a connecting corridor, with Siberian and central European fauna and flora, together with Mediterranean components. This, combined with other geological factors, makes the Balkan Peninsula one of the two – together with the Iberian Peninsula– most interesting biogeographic regions in Europe, both being considered hotspots of biodiversity (Griffiths et al. 2004; Popov and Fet 2007). Bulgaria is actually the best-studied of all Balkan countries concerning biodiversity, because of the great tradition of zoological and botanical research (Popov and Fet 2007). With all these concurrent factors, it is our wish to contribute to the biodiversity data of the country with this preliminary study of arthropod endosymbionts.

At present, there are 54 species of trichomycetes documented from the Iberian Peninsula (Casas et al. 2019, Valle 2004, 2007, 2014a, 2014b, Valle and Santamaria 2002, 2004); it can be used as an indicator of what the diversity might be like in Bulgaria if more collections of trichomycetes are made in the future.

# **Material and methods**

All taxa reported here were collected from diverse localities (Table 1) in Bulgaria, most of them in the Provinces of Sofia and Pernik, since fresh material was processed in the laboratory of the Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, in Sofia. Consequently, collecting trips where done not far away from the capital. Arthropod hosts, mainly aquatic insect larvae, nymphs and some aquatic isopods, were captured following the methods described by Lichtwardt et al. (2001), using aquatic dip nets and/or by hand-picking from stones, pebbles and vegetation. Hosts were transported to the lab in jars containing stream water on ice. Insect guts were dissected in water on microscope slides using a stereomicroscope and the gut symbionts transferred to a drop of water on another slide with the aid of ultrafine forceps and entomological needles. Lactophenol cotton-blue was used as the mounting medium for semi-permanent voucher slides, then these were sealed with clear finger-

Ref	Province	Locality	EUNIS habitat type:	Water Temp	Geographic	Alt. (m a.s.l.)	Date (in 2016)
			name and code	°C / pH	coordinates		
1	Sofia	Rakita River near Pasarel Village	Temporary running waters; C2.5	14°/7	42.547797N, 23.497202E	748	19 Aug
2	Sofia	Iskar River near Pasarel Village	Permanent non-tidal, smooth-flowing watercourses; C2.3	11.5°/7.2	42.535885N, 23.508824E	712	19 Aug
3	Sofia	Small creek next to Iskar	Non-permanent temporary	12°/7	Close to the previous locality	710	19 Aug
4	Pernik	Small brook tributary of Struma River, Chuypetlovo Village	Permanent non-tidal, fast, turbulent watercourses; C2.2	12°/6.2	42.520650N, 23.245939E	1258	20 Aug
5	Pernik	Struma River, Chuypetlovo Village	Permanent non-tidal, fast, turbulent watercourses; C2.2	11°/6.2	42.520608N, 23.245650E	1255	20 Aug
6	Pernik	Small brook tributary of Struma River after Bosnek Village near the bridge	Permanent non-tidal, smooth-flowing watercourses; C2.3	18°/7	42.494986N, 23.171681E	909	20 Aug
7	Sofia	Tributary of Chureshka River, near Eleshnitsa Village	Permanent non-tidal, fast, turbulent watercourses; C2.2	14°/6.8	42.760899N, 23.648960E	707	21 Aug
8	Sofia	Chureshka River, bridge before Potop Village	Permanent non-tidal, fast, turbulent watercourses; C2.2	14.5°/6.8	42.752736N, 23.647861E	669	21 Aug
9	Sofia	Small pond (swamp) near highway Hemus	Permanent eutrophic lakes, ponds and pools; C1.4/ C1.3	18.7°/6.2	42.773999N, 23.774886E	903	21 Aug
10	Kyustendil	Manastirska River before Rilski Manastir	Permanent non-tidal, fast, turbulent watercourses; C2.2	9°/6.1	42.153581N, 23.389001E	1422	22 Aug
11	Kyustendil	Rilska River before Pastra Village	Permanent non-tidal, fast, turbulent watercourses; C2.2	10.5°/6.1	42.113840N, 23.318704E	1027	22 Aug
12	Sofia	Darvenishka River, Sofia city, Park Vertopo	Permanent non-tidal, smooth-flowing watercourses; C2.3	18°/7.2	42.645710N, 23.364568E	585	23 Aug

Table I. Collection site information.

nail polish. Most of the photographs were taken later at the Autonomous University of Barcelona (Catalonia, Spain) with a Zeiss Axioscope compound microscope, equipped with a Jenoptik ProgResC3 digital camera. For each of the endosymbiont species, the corresponding hosts were preserved in 70% ethanol for identification. Microscope slides are deposited in BCB-Mycotheca (herbarium at the institutional address of the corresponding author), except for some duplicates of the slides that were deposited in the Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research (Sofia, Bulgaria).

To reference the microscopic slides (specimens), a reference number was selected for each locality, preceded with the geographic reference BUL (Bulgaria: BUL–1, BUL–2 etc...). A second number was assigned sequentially for each microscope slide within the corresponding site (i.e. BUL–1–1: site 1, slide 1). See Table 1 for collecting sites details. All specimens collected by the authors (Leg.). All measurements, length × width in micrometres, for *Orphella* zygospores and diameter of the major outer spore coil × spore width (in micrometres) were made. Other measurements, as indicated in the text.

#### Results

#### Order Harpellales

## Genistellospora homothallica Lichtw, 1972.

Figs 1, 2

**Specimens examined.** Site 2: slides BUL–2–1, BUL–2–6, BUL-2-7 (zygo.), BUL–2–10; Site 3: slides BUL–3–1; site 4: slides BUL–4–10; Site 7: slides BUL–7–1, BUL–7–2, BUL–7–3; site 8: slides BUL–8–3; site 12: slide BUL–12–5.

Notes. Genistellospora homothallica is a cosmopolitan species and its Simuliidae hosts are widespread and common in varied environments (Lichtwardt et al. 2001), especially in fast flowing waters. This species has been previously documented from many countries in the Northern Hemisphere, including USA (Lichtwardt 1972), Canada (Moss and Lichtwardt 1976), United Kingdom (Lichtwardt 1986), Spain (Santamaria and Girbal 1998), France (Valle 2004), Italy (Valle et al. 2013) and Portugal (Valle 2013a). The species has also been recorded from Southern tropical regions, including Costa Rica (Lichtwardt 1997), Puerto Rico (White et al. 2000), Argentina (López-Lastra et al. 2005), Dominican Republic (Valle and Cafaro 2010), Chile (Lichtwardt and Arenas 1996) and Colombia (Barón and Valle 2018). Trichospores of G. *homothallica* are typically ovate-elongated, slightly asymmetrical, measuring 34–40 × 10.5–12 µm in our collections. Young zygospores were observed in one Bulgarian specimen (BUL-2-7) with the characteristic zygosporophore of the species, bearing a straight or reflexed thumb-like terminal cell measuring 43–58 µm length. Often, G. homothallica thalli were covered with thalli of the epithallic Simuliomyces microsporus Lichtw., as seen in Fig. 2 (arrows).



**Figures 1, 2.** *Genistellospora homothallica* and *Simuliomyces microsporus* from Simuliidae larvae. I Fertile branches of *G. homothallica* with terminal trichospores **2** fertile branches and trichospores of *G. homothallica* with an attached thallus of *S. microsporus* showing a trichospore (arrow) and conjugation tubes (arrowhead). Scale bars: 25 µm in all figures.

#### Glotzia balkanensis LG Valle & D Stoianova, sp. nov.

MycoBank No: 834966 Figs 3–10

**Holotype.** BULGARIA, Sofia, Pasarel Village, Iskar River, 42.535885N, 23.508824E; 712 m a.s.l.; 19 Aug 2016; LG Valle and D Stoianova Leg; In the hindgut of *Baetis melanonyx* Pictet (Baetidae, Ephemeroptera); microscope slide BCB–BUL–2–2.

**Paratypes.** Same locality and date as the holotype; microscope slide BUL-2-3, BCB-BUL-2-4. BULGARIA, Sofia capital city, Darvenishka River, Park Vartopo, 42.645710N, 23.364568E; 585 m a.s.l.; 23 Aug 2016; LG Valle and D Stoianova Leg; In the hindgut of *Baetis melanonyx* (Baetidae, Ephemeroptera); microscope slide BCB-BUL-12-3.

Etymology. Balkanensis, from the Balkan Peninsula.

**Description.** Thalli measuring up to 600  $\mu$ m long. Basal cell broadly inflated (18–30  $\mu$ m diam.) and often branched (Fig. 3), bearing a small discoid secreted holdfast at the base or laterally to the basal cell axis (Fig. 4). Dichotomous branching above the basal cell; distal branches bearing spores (Figs 2, 8). Trichospores cylindrical, with a terminal refractive cap (not always visible), measuring 44–56 × 4.5–5.5  $\mu$ m, with 3 appendages, one central long filiform appendage, coiled around two shorter (about 15–20  $\mu$ m) and broader lateral appendages (Fig. 10). These appendages can be seen within the generative cell while still attached (Figs 7, 8 arrow-



**Figures 3–10.** *Glotzia balkanensis* sp. nov. from Baetidae nymphs. **3** thallus overview, note the inflated and branched basal cell structures **4**, **5** detail of various swollen basal cells **6** loose zygospore with a collar **7**, **8** trichospores on fertile branches, see the appendages inside the generative cell (arrowheads) **9** Zygospore arising from a conjugation tube **10** loose trichospore with a central long filiform appendage and two smaller lateral appendages. Scale bars: 50  $\mu$ m (**3**), 25  $\mu$ m (**4–10**).

head). Fertile branches bearing 3-4 generative cells, measuring  $20-35 \times 4-6$  µm. Zygospores biconical,  $48-60 \times 7.5-9.5$  µm, with a collar 5-10 (-16) × 4 µm, attached eccentrically and laterally (Type II) to a zygosporophore 20-30 µm long, arising from the conjugation tube in series of scalariform conjugations (Fig. 9). In the hindgut of Baetidae (Ephemeroptera) nymphs.

Notes. The genus *Glotzia* has nine species (including that described here), all of them sharing the characteristic cylindrical trichospores with a slightly globose cap and the peculiar 3-appendage arrangement observed also in *G. balkanensis*. This new species mostly resembles the type species G. centroptili described by Gauthier (1936) in French pools and streams of Dauphiné province (south-eastern France) from Centroptilum luteolum nymphs (Baetidae). This French species was recently rediscovered in Catalonia (Spain) also within Centroptilum sp. nymphs (Busquets et al. 2018). This second observation in Spain was important for providing new material to complete the original description, which was scant and had no photographs, only a drawing of a single specimen (Gauthier 1936). The specimens from Bulgaria can be differentiated from G. centroptili by spore characteristics. Trichospores of the Bulgarian species are longer than those observed in France or Spain ( $40 \times 4 \mu m$  according to Gauthier (1936);  $35-43 \times 4 \mu m$ 4–6  $\mu$ m according to Busquets et al. (2018), up to 56  $\mu$ m in the specimens reported here). All the fertile branches observed had a maximum of four generative cells in G. balkanensis, while up to seven have been reported in G. centroptili. Zygospores of G. balkanensis are quite similar to those of G. centroptili in length, but they have significantly larger diameter in the French species, 15 µm diameter (according to Gauthier 1936), while only 7.5–9.5 µm (8.4 µm average) in G. balkanensis. Unfortunately, the specimens of *Glotzia centroptili* collected from Spain, had no zygospores to compare with the new species, only trichospores were observed and, thus, we do not have a broad perspective of the zygosporic variation in this species, because apparently, the description of the type species was based on just a few specimens (Busquets et al. 2018). The presence of a collar on released zygospores was not described by Gauthier in G. centroptili. The species described here has a quite variable collar length, but in most zygospores, it is rather short  $(5-10 \mu m)$ . Regarding thallial characteristics, both species are quite similar, but there are major differences in their fertile branches, generative cells and in the basal cell. The basal cell is much more swollen in the Bulgarian species, resembling (but not identical to) that of the Italian species Glotzia distorta LG Valle, Santam. & W Rossi which has different spore features (Valle et al. 2014). Most species of *Glotzia* are associated with Baetidae (Ephemeroptera), except for one species recorded in a New Zealand Plecoptera nymph, Glotzia plecopterorum Lichtw. (Williams and Lichtwardt 1990) and another species living within Dixidae (Diptera) larvae Glotzia incilis Strongman & MM White, (Strongman and White 2008). Actually, Glotzia is one of the Harpellid genera with a wider host range. Glotzia centroptili was recorded from Centroptilum (Baetidae) in France and Spain and G. distorta from the related Procleon pennulatum (= Centroptilum pennulatum) (Valle et al. 2014). However, Glotzia balkanensis has been recorded from a different host, Baetis melanonyx, but in the same family Baetidae. In fact, this is the first record of a Harpellid fungus within this host species.

## *Graminella bulbosa* Léger & Gauthier, 1937 ex Manier, 1962. Figs 11–13

### Specimens examined. Site 2: slide BUL-2-5; Site 8: slides BUL-8-1, BUL-8-2.

**Notes.** This species is characterised by the unusual formation of vegetative propagules from the bulbous basal cells (Fig. 12), a feature only shared with the related genus *Gauthieromyces* (Lichtwardt 1983). *Graminella bulbosa* was described from France (Léger and Gauthier 1937; Manier 1962). The species is also known from Spain (Valle 2007), Portugal (Valle 2013a) and Italy (Valle et al. 2013). *Graminella bulbosa* has been reported associated with various species of *Baetis* and related genera, very frequently within the hindgut of *B. rhodani* (Pictet). This species of mayfly is common and widespread in Europe and it also hosted Bulgarian specimens of *G. bulbosa* in the surveyed rivers, together with *B. alpinus* (Pictet). In fact, the genus *Baetis* bears different Harpellid species, including the more common *Legeriomyces ramosus*, occasionally sharing the same gut lumen with *Graminella bulbosa*. Bulgarian specimens of *G. bulbosa* show the typical small and numerous trichospores (Fig. 13), measuring  $8-11 \times 2 \mu m$  in our collections. These measurements are midway between *G. bulbosa* and *G. microsporus* (see discussion for further information). Unfortunately, only immature zygospores were observed (Fig. 11 arrowhead).

# Harpella melusinae Léger & Duboscq, 1929.

Figs 14–15

**Specimens examined.** Site 2: slides BUL–2–1, BUL–2–6, BUL–2–7, BUL–2–10; site 4: slides BUL–4–10; Site 7: slides BUL–7–1, BUL–7–2, BUL–7–3; Site 8: slides BUL–8–3; site 12 slide: BUL–12–5

**Notes.** A cosmopolitan or sub-cosmopolitan species, widespread in the Northern Hemisphere, it is common in European localities where their hosts were available. It has been found attached to the peritrophic matrix of Simuliidae larvae in different Bulgarian localities. Our specimens have the typical characteristics of the species, distinguishable on the basis of trichospore morphometry (Fig. 14) and holdfast structure (Fig. 15) (Léger and Duboscq 1929a). The trichospores in our specimens measured  $50-60 \times 6-7 \mu m$  and were variable in shape, from nearly straight to allantoid or slightly coiled.

## Legeriomyces ramosus Pouzar, 1972.

Fig. 16

Specimens examined. Site 2: slide BUL-2-9; Site 11: slides BUL-11-1 (zygo.), BUL-11-2, BUL-11-3.

Notes. This species was found on the hindgut lining of Baetidae hosts (*Baetis rhodani* Pictet, *Baetis melanonyx* (Pictet) and *B. alpinus* (Pictet). The species seems to



**Figures 11–16.** Various species of Harpellales. **11–13** *Graminella microspora* from Baetidae nymphs: **11** thallus overview, with inflated basal cell structures from which propagules arise or are extruded (note: one empty basal cell which has extruded its cellular content, arrow); young zygospores can also be observed (arrowhead) **12** a cluster of basal cells **13** fertile branch with a long series of minute trichospores; **14, 15** *Harpella melusinae* from Simuliidae larvae: **14** generative cells and allantoids or curved trichospores **15** basal cell and small conic holdfast **16** *Legeriomyces ramosus* from Baetidae nymphs, zygospores and zygosporophores. Scale bars: 25 μm in all figures.

have a cosmopolitan distribution, at least in the Northern Hemisphere (Lichtwardt et al. 2001). In Europe, the species is common, with records from France, where it was originally described under the name of *Genistella ramosa* (Léger and Gauthier 1932) (Pouzar 1972), from United Kingdom (Moss 1979), Switzerland (Lichtwardt 1986), Spain (Valle and Santamaria 2002), Norway (White and Lichtwardt 2004), Sweden (Lichtwardt 1984), Portugal (Valle 2013a) and Italy (Valle et al. 2013). *Legeriomyces ramosus* has been reported also from India (Misra and Tiwari 2008), China (Strongman et al. 2010), from several localities in USA (Lichtwardt 1986) and Canada (Strongman 2010). Bulgarian specimens have, as is usual in this species, a broad range of trichospore variability, measuring  $30-40 \times 7-8.5 \mu m$ , with two appendages differing in length. Zygospores measure  $50-61 \times 9-12 \mu m$  in our collections (Fig. 16).

#### Simuliomyces microsporus Lichtw, 1972.

Fig. 2

**Specimens examined.** site 2: slides BUL–2–1, BUL–2–6, BUL–2–10; site 3: slides BUL–3–1; Site 7: slide BUL–7–3(zygo.); Site 12: slide BUL–12–5.

**Note.** This species was obtained from the hindgut lining of Simuliidae larvae. Most of the observed specimens of *S. microsporus* were attached to thalli of both *Genistellospora homothallica* (Fig. 2) and *Paramoebidium chattoni*. All the individuals had trichospores and one of the specimens showed also the typical type-I zygospores' young (Fig. 2). All characteristics and measurements of Bulgarian *S. microsporus* match that of previous descriptions of the species in Europe (Moss 1970; Valle 2004, White and Lichtwardt 2004). This species, discovered in the USA (Lichtwardt 1972), has a sub-cosmopolitan distribution (see Lichtwardt et al. 2001), with a patchy distribution in the Northern Hemisphere and also Australia (Lichtwardt and Williams 1992).

#### Smittium dipterorum Lichtw., 1997.

Fig. 17

#### **Specimen examined.** site 12: slides BUL–12-1; BUL–12-4.

**Notes.** This species was previously known from Costa Rica (Lichtwardt1997), Spain (Valle and Santamaria 2004), Dominican Republic (Valle and Cafaro, 2010) and Mexico (Valle et al. 2011) in the tract of Simuliidae and Chironomidae. Bulgarian specimens were associated with Chironomidae midges (*Chironomus* sp.). They had cylindrical-elongated trichospores measuring  $16-19 \times 3-4 \mu m$ , with a short collar about 2.5  $\mu m$ , slightly flared outwards. The thallus is branched at the base, with verticillate apical branching (Fig. 17). Each fertile branch has 4–6 generative cells. Specimens from Bulgaria resemble most of those described from Spain.

# Spartiella barbata Tuzet & Manier ex Manier, 1968.

Fig. 18

### Specimens examined. Site 7: slide BUL-7-4; Site 10: slide BUL-10-3.

**Notes.** This species was described from France (Tuzet and Manier 1950) in the hindgut of Baetidae nymphs. *Spartiella barbata* is distinguished by its lobulate basal cell, obpyriform trichospores, measuring  $21-26.5 \times 6.5-7.5 \mu m$  in our specimens (Fig. 18) and the presence of one appendage tightly coiled just after release and then eventually uncoiling into a long delicate filiform structure. *Spartiella barbata* seems to be more common in Europe, where it has been recorded from France (Tuzet and Manier 1950, Manier 1962b), United Kingdom (Lichtwardt 1986) and Spain (Valle 2007), with only one report of the species from North America, in Canada (Strongman 2010).

#### Stachylina nana Lichtw., 1984.

Fig. 19

## Specimens examined. Site 11: slide BUL-11-4.

**Notes.** *Stachylina nana* was found in the mid-gut of Chironomidae (*Chironomus* sp.) in the same host as *Smittium dipterorum*. Specimens of *S. nana* in Bulgaria had a small thallus,  $60-70 \times 7-8 \mu m$ , with 1-4(-5) generative cells. Trichospores measure  $20-24 \times 7-7.5 \mu m$ , without a collar. All measurements agree with the original description of the species (Lichtwardt 1984). This species was recorded before from Europe, including France (Lichtwardt 1984) and Spain (Santamaria and Girbal 1997); Asia, in Thailand (Hapsari et al. 2009), China (Strongman and Wang 2015) and also America, including Canada (Strongman 2007, 2010; Strongman and White 2008) and USA (Beach and White 2012). Probably, *S. nana* has a cosmopolitan distribution, although we only have patchy data from few surveyed countries.

#### Stipella vigilans Léger & Gauthier, 1932.

Fig. 20

# Specimens examined. Site 4: slides BUL-4-3, BUL-4-11.

**Notes.** *Stipella vigilans* was originally described from the French Alps in the hindgut of Simuliidae, together with the protozoan *Paramoebidium* sp. (Léger and Gauthier 1932). This species was also reported from Spain (Valle 2007), England (Moss 1970), Armenia (Nelder et al. 2005), Thailand (Hapsari et al. 2009). The species is easily distinguished by the particular basal cell, simple or forked, verrucose and narrowing in the most basal section, attached to the hindgut by means of a mucilaginous adhesive substance (holdfast) (Fig. 20). The trichospores of *S. vigilans* are also very characteristic, almost cylindrical, measuring  $35-50 \times 3-4.5 \mu m$  in our collections, although probably somewhat young, they fit the original description, according to Léger and



**Figures 17–20.** Various species of Harpellales. **17** *Smittium dipterorum* from Chironomidae larvae; thallus overview with fertile branches and trichospores **18** *Spartiell barbata* from Baetidae nymphs, fertile branches with trichospores **19** *Stachylina nana* from Chironomidae larvae, thallus overview with trichospores **20** *Stipella vigilans* from Simuliidae larvae, thallus with mucilaginous material at the basal cell (arrow). Scale bars: 25 μm in all figures.

Gauthier (1932). We did not see released trichospores, since none of them was mature enough in our collections. Trichospores in this species bear three petaloid appendages, which are visible inside the generative cells before detachment.

# Order Orphellales

# Orphella catalaunica Santam & Girbal, 1998.

Fig. 21

Specimens examined. Site 4: slides BUL-4-1, BUL-4-5, BUL-4-7; site 7: slide BUL-7-6.

**Notes.** We found this species associated with *Leuctra hippopus* (Kempny 1899) in two Bulgarian rivers and streams. The specimens examined had the typical characteristics of the species, including the straight trichospores measuring  $47-56 \times 5-7$  µm in our collections, with generative cells 21-26 µm long and a supporting cell 6–8 µm length (Fig. 21). All the characters of trichospores and accompanying cells fit the description of the species (Santamaria and Girbal 1998). Zygospores were not seen on this occasion. This species was described from Catalonia, Spain (Santamaria and Girbal 1998, Valle and Santamaria 2005) and has been reported also from Norway (White and Lichtwardt 2004), France (Valle 2013b) and Italy (Valle et al. 2014).

# Orphella coronata Léger & Gauthier, 1931.

Figs 22, 23

#### **Specimens examined.** Site 10: slide BUL–10–4 (zygo.)

Notes. Collections were made from the hindgut of Protonemura montana Kimmins nymphs, with a low infestation rate (2%). Orphella coronata has been reported from diverse localities in Europe, (e.g. France (Léger and Gauthier 1931, 1932), Norway (White and Lichtwardt 2004), Spain (Valle and Santamaria 2005), Portugal (Valle 2013a) and Italy (Valle et al. 2013)). Orphella coronata seems to be the rarest species of the genus in the Bulgarian streams surveyed, although many potential hosts were dissected from the Struma River. Fortunately, the only Protonemura infested specimen was carrying various mature thalli of *O. coronata*, so that we could observe trichospores and the typical heterothallically-formed helicoidal zygospores typical for the species, these being very important to discern and identify possible cryptic species (Valle and Santamaria 2005, Valle et al. 2014, White et al. 2018). Bulgarian specimens show the typical thallus with a bifurcate basal cell and allantoid trichospores (Fig. 22), measuring  $36-41 \times 5.5-6.5 \,\mu\text{m}$  in our collections, slightly smaller than previously reported  $(35-48 \times 6-7.5 \ \mu m \ according to Valle$ & Santamaria 2004). Terminal cell measures  $22-25 \times 3-3.5 \mu m$ . Zygospores (Fig. 23) in our collections measure  $26-32 \times 6-7 \mu m$ , also somewhat smaller than those reported in the description of the zygospores  $(30-35 \times 5-7 \mu m)$  according to Valle & Santamaria 2004), but likely attributable to intraspecific variation; in fact, just a couple of thalli were found producing sexual spores in our collections and about 5 producing trichospores.

#### Orphella helicospora Santam & Girbal, 1998.

Figs 24, 25

**Specimens examined.** site 4: slide BUL-4-1; Site 7: slide BUL-7-6; site 10: slides BUL-10-2, BUL-10-5.

**Notes.** Species were obtained from the hindgut lining of Leuctridae nymphs (mainly *Leuctra hippopus*). We found several thalli, most of them producing trichospores and one also bearing helicoidal zygospores, formed homothallically, measuring  $25-27 \times$  $5.5-6.5 \mu$ m, growing on a fusiform zygosporophore measuring  $20-23 \times 7-8.5 \mu$ m,



**Figures 21–25.** Various species of Orphellales. **21** *Orphella catalaunica* from Leuctridae nymphs, trichospores and accompanying cells **22, 23** *Orphella coronata* from Nemouridae nymphs **22** allantoid trichospores and accompanying cells **23** zygospores produced homothallically **24, 25** *Orphella helicospora* from Leuctridae nymphs: **24** homothallical zygospores and accompanying cells **25** basal cell and holdfast. Scale bars: 25 μm in all figures.

with a 3  $\mu$ m long supporting cell and a sigmoid or reflexed intermediate cell about 20–24  $\mu$ m long in the specimens seen (Fig. 24). All the characteristics of spores and accompanying cells fit those of the specimens reported from other localities in Europe, including Spain (Santamaria and Girbal 1998; Valle and Santamaria 2005), Norway (White and Lichtwardt 2004); Italy (Valle et al. 2013). Thallus has the characteristic basal cell (Fig. 25) and lateral subsidiary branches.

## Order Amoebidiales (Mesomycetozoea)

# Paramoebidium angulatum Valle, 2014a.

Fig. 26

### Specimens examined. Site 4: slide BUL-4-2

**Notes.** This species is characterised by having a thallus bent approximately at a right angle about one-quarter up the thallus from the holdfast; no other described species shares this feature. This species was originally described from the stonefly family Taeniopterygidae. Our specimens were observed in Nemouridae, a different family in the same Order of insects sharing all characteristics with *P. angulatum*, although most individuals were immature, measuring  $350-380 \times 29-33 \mu m$ , with the typical thallus with the right angle bend and discoid acellular holdfast, known previously only from France (Valle 2014a).

# *Paramoebidium chattoni* Léger & Duboscq ex LG Valle, 2014b Fig. 27

## Specimens examined. Site 2: slide BUL-2-6.

**Notes.** This species of *Paramoebidium* is common within Simuliidae hosts and is identifiable by having the widest diameter of thallus at the basal to middle sections, slightly tapering towards the distal end. It has a non-cellular holdfast located at the proximal end smaller in diameter (12–27  $\mu$ m) than the thallus, cylindrical or slightly campanulate (Valle 2014b). Bulgarian species perfectly match with the original description (Léger and Duboscq 1948) and with that provided in the validation of the species by Valle (2014b). The species was recently reported from Colombia (Barón and Valle 2018). In our collections, *Simuliomyces microsporus* was often found attached to the thalli of this *Paramoebidium* (Fig. 27).

# Paramoebidium curvum Lichtw., 1979.

Fig. 28

**Specimens examined.** Site 2: slides BUL–2–1; BUL–2–6, BUL–2–7, BUL–2–10; Site 12: slide BUL–12–5.



**Figures 26–30.** Various species of Amoebidiales. **26** *Paramoebidium angulatum* from Nemouridae nymphs, thalli overview with typical angular shape at the upper section **27** *Paramoebidium chattoni* from Simuliidae larva, overview, with thin filaments of *Simuliomyces microsporus* **28** *Paramoebidium curvum* from Simuliidae larva, overview **29** *Paramoebidium hamatum* from Baetidae nymphs, overview of various thalli in different growth phases **30** *Paramoebidium inflexum* from Nemouridae nymphs, thallus overview. Scale bars: 50 μm (**26, 29, 30**), 100 μm (**27**), 25 μm (**28**).

**Notes.** This species was found attached to the posterior hindgut or anal gills of larval Simuliidae hosts, where we also found *P. chattoni*. In Bulgaria, the species was quite common in the black fly hosts dissected. All the individuals had the typical characteristics of the species (Dang and Lichtwardt 1979), with a holdfast placed on the

incurved section of the thallus. This species is known from USA (Dang and Lichtwardt 1979), Sweden (Lichtwardt 1984), Armenia (Nelder et al. 2005), Canada (Strongman and White 2008), Spain (Valle and Santamaria 2009, Valle 2014b), Italy (Valle et al. 2014). *P. curvum* is probably very common and cosmopolitan as their hosts, but their thalli can be easily overlooked when attached to or near to the anal gills.

## *Paramoebidium hamatum* Bench & MM White, 2012 Fig. 29

#### rig. 29

## **Specimens examined.** Site 1: slides BUL-1-1, BUL-1-2.

**Notes.** *Paramoebidium hamatum* was described originally from USA in Chironomidae, Ameletidae and Baetidae (Ephemeroptera). In Bulgaria, it is associated with Baetidae nymphs (*Baetis* sp. *B. rhodani* and *B. melanonyx*). The species was recorded before in Europe (Spain, Busquets et al. 2018). Bulgarian specimens measured 180–300 × 12–25  $\mu$ m, with the broader diameter at the proximal end, near the holdfast, thinner at the distal end (Fig. 29); cystospores observed, measuring about 10 × 4–4.5  $\mu$ m. The species was identified by the curved portion at the basal one-eighth to one-third of the thallial length and by its holdfast characteristics (Bench and White 2012).

## *Paramoebidium inflexum* Léger & Duboscq, 1929 Fig. 30

#### **Specimens examined.** Site 4: slide BUL-4-6.

**Notes.** Species observed attached to the hindgut lining of *Protonemura montana*, measuring  $280-340 \times 40-60 \mu m$  in our collections. This species was described from France, associated with *Nemoura variegata* nymphs, in the stonefly family Nemouridae. The species has three different thallial morphologies (Léger and Duboscq 1929b, Duboscq et al. 1948). Subsequent to the revision of the species by Duboscq et al. (1948), this endobiont was not reported again, until now, probably because of the thallus variability and, thus, relatively difficult identification. We only saw one of the thallus type. The other two morphological types show longer and incurved thalli, one type being wider than the other (Duboscq et al. 1948). Neither was observed in our collections.

## Discussion

The new species, *Glotzia balkanensis*, was the most remarkable taxon recorded in this study. As noted above, it is morphologically close to *G. centroptili* (Gauthier ex Manier and Lichtw.), recorded from the western Mediterranean (Gauthier 1936, Busquets et al. 2018) and to *G. distorta* from Italy (Valle et al. 2014), both species from *Centroptilum* 

hosts. The new Bulgarian species is justified on the basis of spore and thallial characteristics and was found associated with a different host, *Baetis melanonyx*, in two distant sites in the Province of Sofia. Both sites were permanent non-tidal, smooth-flowing watercourses (EUNIS habitat type code C2.3, see Table 1). Moreover, both localities, where the new species was found, had in common a water pH of 7.2, the highest of all the watercourses we surveyed in Bulgaria, but different water temperatures (see Table 1). Another species of the genus *Glotzia* with similar characteristics is *Glotzia stenospora* MM White & Lichtw., from Norway. However this species has larger trichospores measuring 60–68 × 3–5 µm, with a higher length/width ratio (White and Lichtwardt 2004). Additionally, *G. stenospora* has larger zygospores than *G. balkanensis* (61–72 × 11–14 µm, according to White and Lichtwardt 2004). The other non-European species of *Glotzia* have smaller zygospores and most of them (except *G. coloradense* William & Lichtw.) also have smaller trichospores (Lichtwardt et al. 2001).

We gathered other Harpellid species from the guts of Baetidae nymphs, including Graminella bulbosa, Legeriomyces ramosus and Spartiella barbata and the Amoebidiales P. hamatum. The species Graminella bulbosa has been reported from western Europe, in France (Léger and Gauthier 1937, Manier 1962a), Spain (Valle 2003, 2007), Portugal (Valle 2013a) and Italy (Valle et al. 2014), with this being the first report in eastern Europe. The characteristics of the Bulgarian specimens are interesting indeed, since trichospore morphology is intermediate between two species: G. bulbosa and G. micros*pora*. We have assigned the specimens to *G. bulbosa*, the type species, which probably is variable enough to actually include both species, as suggested by Valle (2004). Trichospores of G. microspora measure 6-8.5 x 2-2.5 µm, according to Lichtwardt and Moss (1984), while trichospores of Bulgarian G. bulbosa measure  $8-11 \times 2 \mu m$ . According to Léger and Gauthier (1937) and later validated by Manier (1962a, 1970), trichospores of French G. bulbosa measure  $9-17 \times 2-3 \mu m$ . Bulgarian specimens, like many other specimens collected by one of the authors (LGV) in diverse European localities, overlap the measurements of both species. This question will be addressed further in an upcoming paper. Graminella is very peculiar amongst Harpellales for its vegetative propagules, originated at, or near, the basal cell. Bulgarian specimens of G. bulbosa also had these swollen basal cells, which can extrude their cellular contents to act as vegetative propagules within the same gut. Our specimens were associated with Baetis rhodani (Pictet) and *B. alpinus*, both common hosts previously reported with this fungus.

Legeriomyces ramosus is a common endosymbiont of Baetidae nymphs and has a broad distribution, especially in the Northern Hemisphere (Lichtwardt et al. 2001). The Bulgarian specimens had the characteristic attributes of the species, including trichospore and zygospore morphology and thallial features. Spartiella barbata is often seen together with *L. ramosus*, both sharing the same host, as observed in some of our collections, although *S. barbata* is not as prevalent as *L. ramosus*. The trichospores of *S. barbata* are somewhat similar to those of *L. ramosus*, but more ovoidal and have a single appendage initially folded showing a knob at the proximal end (Manier 1968, Valle 2007). The basal cell of *S. barbata* is also characteristic, with bulbous swellings around the zone of attachment to the host cuticle, a feature not present in *L. ramosus* (Manier
1968, Valle and Santamaria 2002). *Spartiella barbata* has a noticeable preference for calcareous watercourses, but in this study, even though calcareous rocks were present on the river substrate, as in collection site 10 (see Table 1), the water where *S. barbata* specimens were collected, had a slightly acidic pH (6.8 in site 7 and 6.1 in site 10).

Amongst the Amoebidiales inhabiting Ephemeropteran nymphs, we collected and recognised P. hamatum from Baetidae hosts. This species described from America (Bench and White 2012) was recorded before from Europe in Italy (Valle et al. 2014) and then Spain (Busquets et al. 2018). Léger and Duboscq (1929b), in a paper dealing with Paramoebidium, named P. arcuatum from Baetidae nymphs, without providing additional information on the species (no description or illustrations). Subsequently, Duboscq et al. (1948) provided more information on this species, including the identity of Baetidae hosts where it was observed, a description and some line drawings (Duboscq et al. 1948). The characteristics of this species, described from France earlier, are like those of *P. hamatum* and, consequently, very similar to the specimens we have collected within Baetidae hosts in Bulgaria. Probably, P. hamatum is the same species named *P. arcuatum* (regarded as nomen nudum by Lichtwardt et al. 2001), but further investigation is needed to resolve this question. There are some other Paramoebidium species that were named by French authors at the first half of the 20th century, but were not validated or lacked a complete description, making a clear, conclusive identification very difficult.

Other species of *Paramoebidium* were observed from different Ephemeropteran families, including Leptophlebiidae (with some specimens resembling *P. hamatum*), Caenidae and Heptageniidae, but were not identified for the lack of enough material or mature specimens for study. These mayfly nymphs did not have associated Harpellales.

The examined Bulgarian Simuliidae (Diptera) held various species of Harpellales and Amoebidiales. Larval black flies inhabit a wide range of flowing waters, from the smallest streams to the largest rivers (Nelder et al. 2006) and have been collected in nearly all surveyed watercourses with high enough flow velocity (not in lentic and slow moving watercourses). Amongst the trichomycetes they had in our collections, *Genistellospora homothallica* was the most prevalent in the hindgut, occasionally accompanied by *Simuliomyces microsporus*, a smaller species growing epithallically on the robust structure of *G. homothallica*. On the other hand, *Harpella melusinae* was the most common in the mid-gut, attached to the chitinous peritrophic matrix. *Harpella melusinae* is unbranched, placed within the family Harpellaceae and easily identifiable by the coiled trichospores in a series of long generative cells (Lichtwardt et al. 2001). *Stipella vigilans* is not as common as *G. homothallica*, but both species share the hindgut of Simuliidae. The former species can be identified by the mucilaginous substance embedding the basal cell and type I zygospores, according to zygospore types designated by Moss (1975).

In the hindgut, near the anal gills or attached to them, *Paramoebidium curvum* appears also commonly in Simuliidae, this being identifiable by its curved and robust sac-like thallus with a prominent and eccentric holdfast (Dang and Lichtwardt 1979). Another *Paramoebidium* observed within Simuliidae hindguts in Bulgaria was *P. chattoni*, with a longer, arched thallus. Both species of *Paramoebidium* are quite common in

various Simuliidae species and have been reported from different countries (Lichtwardt et al. 2001, Valle 2014b). We also collected symbionts of Chironomidae larvae, but just two species: *Stachylina nana* from the mid-gut and *Smittium dipterorum* from the hindgut, both in *Chironomus* larvae. *Smittium dipterorum* was described from Costa Rica (Lichtwardt 1997) and then reported from the Dominican Republic and Mexico (Valle and Cafaro 2010, Valle et al. 2011). It was also recorded from Europe, in Spain (Valle 2007) and it is probably a widespread species, like *Stachylina nana*, but more rare (less prevalent), especially in Europe. There are insufficient data to determine whether this is or is not a cosmopolitan species.

The genus Orphella (Orphellales) is associated with Plecopteran nymphs, a host also present in our collections, especially in pristine, high altitude or mountain watercourses, since stoneflies nymphs are very susceptible to water pollution and also water temperature, preferring cold and clean streams and rivers with running water and aquatic vegetation and often pebble stones (Berthélemy 1963). We gathered three species in the Orphellales: O. coronata within the hindgut of Protonemura montana was the rarest of the Orphella species in Bulgaria; however, we were very lucky to obtain several thalli producing trichospores and zygospores from heterothallic conjugations. Orphella coronata is the only European Orphella with heterothallic sexual reproduction. Only the related North American counterparts with allantoid trichospores, O. haysii Lichtw. & Williams (USA) and O. dalhousiensis Strongman & MM White (Canada) show the same sexual behaviour (White et al. 2018). The Bulgarian O. coronata had slightly smaller trichospores and zygospores than reported before, but differences are not significant; all other characters of accompanying cells and thallial structure matched the description of the species, taking into consideration all recorded geographic variability (White et al. 2018). Orphella catalaunica was associated with Leuctra hippopus nymphs and most of the observed thalli were immature, except for a couple producing trichospores. No zygospores were observed, but the straight cylindrical trichospores, accompanying cells in fertile cap and thallial characteristics of Bulgarian specimens, fit with those of the original description and later records (Santamaria and Girbal 1998, Valle 2004, White et al. 2018). Orphella helicospora was also associated with Leuctridae hosts, in the same species as O. catalaunica, sometimes sharing the same gut, which is common for these species. In the case of O. helicospora, both trichospores and zygospores were observed and were in accordance with previous descriptions of the species, which has been reported before from various western and central European countries (Lichtwardt et al. 2001). Two species of Paramoebidium were also identified within Plecopteran hosts. P. angulatum, described from France in Taeniopterygidae has been documented here from a different plecopteran family, the second record of the species. On the other hand, P. inflexum, is a rare species described from France that has not been documented until now since Dubosq et al. (1948), in both occasions from Nemouridae nymphs. This is an interesting addition and probably further studies and surveys will allow the possibility of gathering the three different thallial structures described for *P. inflexum*, since only one was observed in our Bulgarian collections.

# Conclusions

This short survey provided 18 new taxa for both Bulgaria and the Balkan Peninsula, including one new species, *Glotzia balkanensis*, with sporic features that allow a clear differentiation from other described species of the genus and which also shares characteristics with the other two European species. The morphological characteristics of Graminella bulbosa, collected in Bulgaria, have intermediate spore and thallial characteristics between those of G. microspora and G. bulbosa, as previously reported by Valle (2004), making mandatory a revision of the European species of the genus. Some rare or poorly known taxa were recovered, including Paramoebidium inflexum and *P. angulatum*. Amongst the Orphellales, three species have been reported from Bulgaria (O. catalaunica, O. coronata, O helicospora). They seem to have a broad distribution in Europe, from the western Mediterranean to the Balkans. Some other taxa, reported here, have a cosmopolitan distribution, such as those associated with Simuliidae larvae, including Harpella melusinae, Genistellospora homothallica and Simuliomyces microsporus. The species associated with the dipteran Chironomidae, Stachylina nana have a wide geographic distribution and that is possibly also true for Smittium dipterorum; unfortunately, it seems to be less prevalent and there are few reports for this species described in Costa Rica. Our findings support previous observations, revealing that dipteran hosts generally bear endosymbiont species more widely distributed than other insect groups. This may be related to the possibility of adult-mediated transport of fungal diaspores (Moss and Descals 1986, Labeyrie et al. 1996) and also the more restricted flight and dispersal capacity of stonefly and mayfly adults. Amongst Plecopteran hosts, there seems to be a more manifest species delimitation between the Old and New world, as in the case of Orphella (White et al. 2018) and also between the North and South Hemispheres. Similarly, the geographic distribution of Ephemeropteran endobionts may be important, but not so evident, as in Plecopteran-associated endobionts. However, these are general tendencies and much more effort has to be spent to improve our knowledge of this poorly-known group of cryptic organisms, regarding diversity, ecology, biology and biogeography. It is our aim to increase the knowledge of trichomycetes in this very interesting biogeographic region.

# Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Yanka Vidinova for her help in the identification of Ephemeropteran hosts and to Violeta Tyufekchieva for identifying Plecoptera hosts. We are grateful also to Snejana Grozeva for the hospitality and the permission to use the equipment of the laboratory "Cytotaxonomy and Evolution" at the Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research, Sofia, Bulgaria. We also want to thank Nikolay Simov for his help with the choice of collection sites.

#### References

- Barón DE, Valle LG (2018) First record of trichomycetes associated with aquatic insects from Colombian moorland and Andean forests. Phytotaxa 361: 001–024. https://doi. org/10.11646/phytotaxa.361.1.1
- Bench M, White MM (2012) New species and first records of trichomycetes from immature aquatic insects in Idaho. Mycologia 104(1): 295–312. https://doi.org/10.3852/11-203
- Benny GL, O'Donnell (2000) Amoebidium parasiticum is a protozoan, not a Trichomycete. Mycologia 92: 1133–1137. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.2000.12061260
- Berthélemy C (1963) Les Protonemura (Plecopteres) autumnales des Pyrenees. Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire Naturelle de Toulouse 98: 275–286.
- Busquets L, Arranz I, Panisello M, Valle LG (2018) New species of Harpellales and Amoebidiales from the N-E Iberian Peninsula, and thallial plasmogamy in a *Paramoebidium* species. Nova Hedwigia 107: 437–457. https://doi.org/10.1127/nova\_hedwigia/2018/0481
- Cafaro MJ (2005) Eccrinales (Trichomycetes) are not fungi, but a clade of protists at the early divergence of animals and fungi. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 35: 21–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2004.12.019
- Dang S, Lichtwardt RW (1979) Fine structure of *Paramoebidium* (Trichomycetes) and a new species with virus-like particles. American Journal of Botany 66: 1093–1104. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1537-2197.1979.tb06327.x
- Dubitskii AM (1978) Biological control of blood sucking Diptera in the USSR. Institute of Zoology, Kazakhstan Academy of Sciences, Alma Ata. 267 pp.
- Duboscq O, Léger L, Tuzet O (1948) Contribution à la connaissance des Eccrinides: les Trichomycètes. Archives de Zoologie Expérimentale et Générale 86: 29–144.
- Gauthier M (1936) Sur un nouvel Entophyte du groupe des Harpellacées Lég. et Dub., parasite des larves d'Éphémérides. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris 202: 1096–1098.
- Griffiths HI, Krystufek B, Reed JM (2004) Balkan Biodiversity: Pattern and Process in the European Hotspot. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 191 pp. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-2854-0
- Hapsari MP, White MM, Hyde KD (2009) Freshwater trichomycetes from northern Thailand. Cryptogamie, Mycologie 30: 405–425.
- Hibbett DS, Binder M, Bischoff JF, Blackwell M, Cannon PF, Eriksson OE, Huhndorf S, James T, Kirk PM, Lücking R, Lumbsch T, Lutzoni F, Matheny PB, Mclaughlin DJ, Powell MJ, Redhead S, Schoch CL, Spatafora JW, Stalpers JA, Vilgalys R, Aime MC, Aptroot A, Bauer R, Begerow D, Benny GL, Castlebury LA, Crous PW, Dai Y-C, Gams W, Geiser DM, Griffith GW, Gueidan C, Hawksworth DL, Hestmark G, Hosaka K, Humber RA, Hyde K, Ironside JE, Kóljalg U, Kurtzman CP, Larsson K-H, Lichtwardt R, Longcore J, Miadlikowska J, Miller A, Moncalvo J-M, Mozley-Standridge S, Oberwinkler F, Parmasto E, Reeb V, Rogers JD, Roux C, Ryvarden L, Sampaio J-P, Schüssler A, Sugiyama J, Thorn RG, Tibell L, Untereiner WA, Walker C, Wang Z, Weir A, Weiss M, White MM, Winka K, Yao Y-J, Zhang N (2007) A higher-level phylogenetic classification of the Fungi. Mycological Research 111: 509–547. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mycres.2007.03.004

- Horn BW, Lichtwardt RW (1981) Studies on the nutritional relationship of larval *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) with *Smittium culisetae* (Trichomycetes). Mycologia 73: 724–740. https://doi.org/10.2307/3759499
- Labeyrie ES, Molloy DP, Lichtwardt RW (1996) An investigation of Harpellales (Trichomycetes) in New York State blackflies (Diptera: Simuliidae). Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 68: 293–298. https://doi.org/10.1006/jipa.1996.0099
- Léger L, Duboscq O (1929a) *Harpella melusinae* n. g. sp. Entophyte eccriniforme parasite des larves de Simulie. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris 188: 951–954.
- Léger L, Duboscq O (1929b) L'évolution des *Paramoebidium*, nouveau genre d'Eccrinides, parasite des larves aquatiques d'Insectes. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris 189: 75–77.
- Léger L, Gauthier M (1931) *Orphella coronata* n. g., n. sp. Entophyte parasite des larves de Némurides. Travaux du Laboratoire d' Hydrobiologie et de Pisciculture de l'Université de Grenoble 23: 67–72.
- Léger L, Gauthier M (1937) *Graminella bulbosa* nouveau genre d'Entophyte parasite des larves d'ephemerides du genre *Baetis*. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris 202: 27–29.
- Lichtwardt RW (1972) Undescribed genera and species of Harpellales (Trichomycetes) from the guts of aquatic insects. Mycologia 64: 167–197. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.1 972.12019247
- Lichtwardt RW (1983) *Gauthieromyces*, a new genus of Harpellales based on *Genistella microspora*. Mycotaxon 17: 213–215.
- Lichtwardt RW (1984) Species of Harpellales living within the guts of aquatic Diptera larvae. Mycotaxon 19: 529–550.
- Lichtwardt RW (1986) The Trichomycetes: Fungal Associates of Arthropods. New York: Springer-Verlag, 343 pp. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4890-3
- Lichtwardt RW (1997) Costa Rican gut fungi (Trichomycetes) infecting lotic insect larvae. Revista de Biología Tropical 45: 1339–1383.
- Lichtwardt RW, Arenas J (1996) Trichomycetes in aquatic insects from southern Chile. Mycologia 88: 844–857. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.1996.12026724
- Lichtwardt RW, Cafaro MJ, White MM (2001) The trichomycetes, fungal associates of arthropods. Revised edition, published on the Internet. University of Kansas.
- Lichtwardt RW, Moss ST (1984) *Harpellomyces eccentricus*, an unusual Harpellales from Sweden and Wales. Mycotaxon 20: 511–517.
- López Lastra CC (1990) Primera cita de *Smittium morbosum* var. *rioplatensis* var. nov. (Trichomycetes: Harpellales) patogeno de 5 especies de mosquitos (Diptera: Culicidae) en la República Argentina. Revista Argentina de Micología 13: 14–18.
- López Lastra CC, Scorsetti AC, Marti GA, Coscarón S (2005) Trichomycetes living in the guts of aquatic insects of Missiones and Tierra del Fuego, Argentina. Mycologia 97: 320–328. https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2006.11832807
- Lutzoni F, Kauff F, Cox CJ, McLaughlin D, Celio G, Dentinger B, Padamsee M, Hibbett DS, James TY, Baloch E, Grube M, Reeb V, Hofstetter V, Schoch C, Arnold AE, Miadlikowska J, Spatafora J, Johnson D, Hambleton S, Crockett M, Shoemaker R, Sung

G-H, Lücking R, Lumbsch T, O'Donnell K, Binder M, Diederich P, Ertz D, Gueidan C, Hansen K, Harris RC, Hosaka K, Lim Y-W, Matheny B, Nishida H, Pfister D, Rogers J, Rossman A, Schmitt I, Sipman H, Stone J, Sugiyama J, Yahr R, Vilgalys R (2004) Assembling the fungal tree of life: progress, classification, and evolution of sub-cellular traits. American Journal of Botany 91: 1446–1480. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.91.10.1446

- Manier JF (1962a) Présence de Trichomycètes dans le rectum des larves d'Éphémères des torrents du Massif du Néouvieille (Hautes-Pyrénées). Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire Naturelle de Toulouse 97: 241–254.
- Manier J-F (1962b) Révision du genre *Spartiella* Tuzet et Manier 1950 (sa place dans la classe des Trichomycètes). Annales des Science Naturelles: Zoologie (12)4: 517–525.
- Manier J-F (1968) Validation de Trichomycètes par leur diagnose latine. Annales des sciences naturelles: Botanique, Paris 12, 9: 93–108.
- Manier J-F (1970) Trichomycètes de France. Annales des Sciencies Naturelles. Botanique et Biologie Végétale, París 10(1969): 565–672.
- Manier J-F, Lichtwardt RW (1968). Révision de la systématique des Trichomycètes. Annales des Sciencies Naturelles. Botanique et Biologie Végétale, París 9: 519–532.
- Misra JK (2001) Trichomycetes, fungi associated with arthropods: an introduction and state-of-the-art in the tropics. In: Misra JK, Horn BW (Eds) Trichomycetes and other fungal groups. Science Publishers, Inc., Enfield, New Hampshire, 3–13. https://doi. org/10.1201/9781482279825
- Misra JK, Tiwari VK (2008) A new species of *Gauthieromyces* and range extensions for other Harpellales in India. Mycologia 100: 94–98. https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2008.11 832501
- Moss ST (1970) Trichomycetes inhabiting the digestive tract of *Simulium equinum* larvae. Transactions of the British Mycological Society 54: 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536(70)80118-8
- Moss ST (1975) Septal structure in the Trichomycetes with special reference to *Astreptonerna gammari* (Eccrinales). Transactions of the British Mycological Society 65: 115–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536(75)80187-2
- Moss ST (1979) Commensalism of the Trichomycetes. In: Lekh RB (Ed.) Insect-fungus Symbiosis: Nutrition, Mutualism, and Commensalism. Allanheld, Osmun and Co, Montclair, 175–227.
- Moss ST, Lichtwardt RW (1976) Development of trichospores and their appendages in *Genistellospora homothallica* and other Harpellales and fine-structural evidence for the sporangial nature of trichospores. Canadian Journal of Botany 54: 2346–2364. https://doi. org/10.1139/b76-251
- Moss ST, Descals E (1986) A previously undescribed stage in the life cycle of Harpellales (Trichomycetes). Mycologia 78: 213–222. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.1986.12025232
- Nelder MP, Beard CE, Adler PH, Kim SK, McCreadie JW (2006) Harpellales (Zygomycota: Trichomycetes) associated with black flies (Diptera: Simulidae): world review and synthesis of their ecology and taxonomy. Fungal Diversity 22: 121–169.

- Nelder MP, McCreadie JW, Kachvoryan EA (2005) Do gut symbiotes reflect the endemism of their host back flies (Diptera: Simuliidae) in the Caucasus of Armenia? Journal of Biogeography 32: 1333–1341. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2005.01291.x
- Popov A, Fet V (2007) Biogeography and Ecology of Bulgaria (Monographiae Biologicae). Springer Netherlands, 687 pp.
- Pouzar Z (1972) Genistella Léger et Gauthier vs. Genistella Ortega; a nomenclatural note. Folia Geobotanica et Phytotaxonomica, Praha 7: 319–320. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF02854735
- Santamaria S, Girbal J (1997) Contribución al conocimiento de los Trichomycetes (Fungi, Zygomycotina) Ibéricos. Anales del Jardín Botánico de Madrid 55: 219–223. https://doi. org/10.3989/ajbm.1997.v55.i2.270
- Santamaria S, Girbal J (1998) Two new species of *Orphella* from Spain. Mycological Research 102: 174–178. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756297004607
- Strongman DB (2007) Trichomycetes in aquatic insects from Prince Edward Island, Canada. Canadian Journal of Botany 83: 949–963. https://doi.org/10.1139/B07-095
- Strongman DB (2010) Trichomycetes from Newfoundland, including Gros Morne National Park. Botany 88: 1011–1022. https://doi.org/10.1139/B10-073
- Strongman DB, Wang J, Xu S (2010) Trichomycetes from Western China. Mycologia, 102: 174–184. https://doi.org/10.3852/09-029
- Strongman DB, White MM (2008) Trichomycetes from lentic and lotic aquatic habitats in Ontario, Canada. Botany 86: 1449–1466. https://doi.org/10.1139/B08-107
- Sweeney AW (1981) An undescribed species of Smittium (Trichomycetes) pathogenic to mosquito larvae in Australia. Transactions of the British Mycological Society 77: 55–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536(81)80179-9
- Tanabe Y, Saikawa M, Watanabe MM, Sugiyama J (2004) Molecular phylogeny of Zygomycota based on EF-1 and RPB1 sequences: limitations and utility of alternative markers to rDNA. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 130: 438–449. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1055-7903(03)00185-4
- Tuzet O, Manier J-F (1950) Les Trichomycètes. Revision de leur diagnose. Raisons qui nous font y joindre les Asellariées. Annales des Sciences Naturelles Zoologie, Série 11, 12: 15–23.
- Ustinova L, Krienitz L, Huss VAR (2000) *Hyaloraphidium curvatum* is not a green alga, but a lower fungus; *Amoebidium parasiticum* is not a fungus, but a member of the DRIPS. Protist 151: 253–262. https://doi.org/10.1078/1434-4610-00023
- Valle LG (2004) Tricomicets Ibèrics. PhD dissertation. Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona. Barcelona. Spain. http://www.tesisenred.net/handle/10803/3704
- Valle LG (2007) New species and summary of Iberian Harpellales. Mycologia 99: 442–455. https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2007.11832569
- Valle LG (2013a) New and rare Harpellales from Portugal and northwestern Iberian Peninsula: discovering the hidden mycobiota of Galicia-Trás-os-Montes region. Mycologia 105: 748–759. https://doi.org/10.3852/12-211
- Valle LG (2013b) Consolidating the legacy of JF Manier: new species and records of trichomycetes from France. Mycologia 105: 1607–1617. https://doi.org/10.3852/13-129

- Valle LG (2014a) New species of *Paramoebidium* (trichomycetes, Mesomycetozoea) from the Mediterranean, with comments about the amoeboid cells in Amoebidiales. Mycologia 106: 481–490. https://doi.org/10.3852/13-153
- Valle LG (2014b) Validation of the trichomycete *Paramoebidium chattoni* (Amoebidiales, Mesomycetozoea), a common and cosmopolitan black fly endosymbiont. Mycologia 106: 573–579. https://doi.org/10.3852/13-303
- Valle LG, Cafaro MJ (2010) First report of Harpellales from the Dominican Republic (Hispaniola) and the insular effect on gut fungi. Mycologia 102: 363–373. https://doi.org/10.3852/09-028
- Valle LG, Santamaria S (2002) Baetimyces, a new genus of Harpellales, and first report of Legeriomyces ramosus from the northeastern Iberian Peninsula. Mycologia 94: 321–326. https:// doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2003.11833239
- Valle LG, Santamaria S (2004) The genus *Smittium* (Trichomycetes, Harpellales) in the Iberian Peninsula. Mycologia 96: 682–701. https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2005.11832965
- Valle LG, Santamaria S (2005) Zygospores as evidence of sexual reproduction in the genus Orphella. Mycologia 97: 1335–1347. https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2006.11832740
- Valle LG, Rossi W, Santamaria S (2013) New species and new records of trichomycetes from Italy. Mycologia 105: 712–727. https://doi.org/10.3852/12-184
- Valle LG, Rossi W, Santamaria S (2014) Orphella intropus (Kickxellomycotina), a new insect endosymbiont with an unusual perforating holdfast system and other trichomycetes from Italy. Mycologia 106: 589–606. https://doi.org/10.3852/13-349
- Valle LG, White MM, Cafaro MJ (2008) Harpellales in the digestive tracts of Ephemeroptera and Plecoptera nymphs from Veracruz, Mexico. Mycologia 100: 149–163. https://doi.org /10.1080/15572536.2008.11832507
- Valle LG, White MM, Cafaro MJ (2011) Dipteran-associated Harpellales from lowland and submontane tropical rain forests of Veracruz (Mexico). Mycologia 103: 656–673. https:// doi.org/10.3852/10-298
- White MM, Cafaro MJ, Lichtwardt RW (2000) Arthropod gut fungi from Puerto Rico and summary of tropical Trichomycetes worldwide. Caribbean Journal of Science 36: 210–220.
- White MM, Lichtwardt RW (2004) Fungal symbionts (Harpellales) in Norwegian aquatic insect larvae. Mycologia 96: 891–910. https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2005.11832936
- White MM, Valle LG, Lichtwardt RW, Siri A, Strongman DB, William RT, Gause WJ, Tretter ED (2018) New species and emendations of *Orphella*: taxonomic and phylogenetic reassessment of the genus to establish the Orphellales, for stonefly gut fungi with a twist. Mycologia 110(1): 147–178. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.2018.1448198
- Williams MC, Lichtwardt RW (1990) Trichomycete gut fungi in New Zealand aquatic insect larvae. Canadian Journal of Botany 68: 1045–1056. https://doi.org/10.1139/b90-132

RESEARCH ARTICLE



# A taxonomic reassessment of the genus Balsamia from China

Yu-Yan Xu<sup>1</sup>, Xiang-Yuan Yan<sup>1</sup>, Ting Li<sup>1</sup>, Li Fan<sup>1</sup>

I College of Life Science, Capital Normal University, Xisanhuanbeilu 105, Haidian, Beijing 100048, China

Corresponding author: Li Fan (fanli@mail.cnu.edu.cn)

Academic editor: T. Lumbsch | Received 12 January 2020 | Accepted 13 May 2020 | Published 4 June 2020

Citation: Xu Y-Y, Yan X-Y, Li T, Fan L (2020) A taxonomic reassessment of the genus *Balsamia* from China. MycoKeys 67: 81–94. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.67.50068

#### Abstract

Molecular analysis of the genus *Balsamia* was conducted with ITS and 28S sequences available, including newly gained sequences from Chinese specimens. Combined with the morphological examinations, a new hypogeous species, *Balsamia lishanensis* was described and illustrated from North China, which is morphologically characterized by reddish brown ascomata covered with fine warts, the whitish gleba with numerous small chambers, 3–5 layers peridium with reddish brown polygonal cells and the smooth and regular ellipsoid ascospores with one large oil drop. Two species previously described as *Barssia* were transferred to *Balsamia. Balsamia platyspora* was confirmed to be in existence in China based on newly collected specimen. A key to the Chinese *Balsamia* species was provided.

#### **Keywords**

Ascomycota, Helvellaceae, Hypogeous fungi, phylogeny, taxonomy

## Introduction

The genus *Balsamia* Vittad. (*Helvellaceae*, *Pezizales*), with *B. vulgaris* Vittad. as the type species, was established in the early 19<sup>th</sup> century (Vittadini 1831), usually forming ectomycorrhizae with both broad leaf and conifer trees (Southworth et al. 2018; Hansen et al. 2019). Geographically, *Balsamia* species are widely distributed across Europe, North America, North Africa and Asia in the temperate regions of the northern hemisphere (Liu and Tao1990; Pegler et al.1993; Southworth et al. 2018; Hansen et al. 2019). Until now, nine *Balsamia* species have been reported from Europe (Vittadini

Copyright Yu-Yan Xu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

1831; Tulasne and Tulasne 1843; Berkely 1844; Tulasne and Tulasne 1851; Schulzer 1870; Petitberghien 1966; Ławrynowicz and Skirgiełło 1984; Kaounas et al. 2015; Hansen et al. 2019), twelve from North America (Southworth et al. 2018), and one from North Africa (Crous et al. 2014; Hansen et al. 2019) In China, this genus is poorly understood as only one species *Balsamia platyspora* Berk. is reported, based on morphological evidence (Liu and Tao 1990).

Recently, two new species of the genus *Barssia* have been described from China (Xu et al. 2018), their taxonomic position, however, needs to be reassessed because Hansen et al. (2019) synonymized *Barssia* under *Balsamia* based on their phylogenetic analysis from three loci (*28S, RPB2, EF-1a*) and morphological studies. More recently, an un-described *Balsamia* species is recognized when we check the specimens newly collected from north China. In this paper, both the molecular analyses and morphological examinations are conducted for the Chinese samples,, and our aims are:1) to illustrate the position of Chinese *Balsamia* species based on ITS and 28S sequences newly obtained from Chinese *Balsamia* collections with distinct features in this study as well as recently published and used sequences ; 2) to give a detailed characterization of a new species based on morphological features and phylogenetic evidences.

#### Materials and methods

#### Morphological studies

Collections were obtained and photographed in the field from Shanxi regions in China, and they were dried and deposited in BJTC (Herbarium, Biology Department, Capital Normal University). One specimen was studied from HMAS (Herbarium Mycologicum Academiae Sinicae, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences). Macroscopic characters were recorded from fresh specimens. Microscopic characters were observed in thin sections of dry specimens mounted in 3% KOH, Melzer's reagent (Dring 1971) or 0.1% (w/v) cotton blue in lactic acid. Thirty mature ascospores were measured, and the symbol Q is used to indicate length/width ratios of ascospores in side view.

#### DNA extraction, PCR amplification and DNA sequencing

Herbarium specimens were crushed by shaking for 30 s at 30 Hz 2–4 times (Mixer Mill MM 301, Retsch, Haan, Germany) in a 1.5 ml tube together with one 3 mm diam. tungsten carbide ball, and total genomic DNA was extracted using the modi-fied CTAB method (Gardes and Bruns 1993). The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of nuclear ribosomal DNA (nrDNA) was amplified using primers ITS1f/ITS4 (White et al. 1990; Gardes and Bruns 1993). The 28S large subunit nrDNA (nrLSU) region was amplified using primers LR0R/LR5 (Vilgalys and Hester 1990). PCRs were

performed in a volume of 50 µl consisted of 4 µl of DNA template; 2 µl of (10 µM) per primer; 25 µl 2× Master Mix (Tiangen Biotech Co., Beijing). The procedure for PCR reaction was: an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min; followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 45 s, 72 °C for 1 min; and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were sent to Beijing Zhongkexilin Biotechnology Co. Ltd. (Beijing, China) for purifying, sequencing and editing. Validated sequences are stored in the NCBI database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) under the accession numbers provided (Table1). The other sequences used in the molecular phylogenetic analysis were downloaded from the NCBI database (Suppl. material 1).

#### Phylogenetic analyses

Two datasets, ITS and 28S, were compiled to identify *Balsamia* species and investigate relationships among species. The taxa *Tuber anniae* and *T. bellisporum* were selected as outgroups. The ITS and 28S sequences were aligned using the MAFFT v.7.110 online program under default parameters (Katoh and Standley 2013), and manually adjusted to allow maximum sequence similarity in Se-Al version.2.03a. (Rambaut 2000). Ambiguously aligned regions and gaps in alignment were excluded by Se-Al version.2.03a. (Rambaut 2000) before the phylogenetic analysis. Alignments were submitted to Tree-BASE under accession number S25937. We conducted maximum likelihood (ML), most parsimonious (MP) and Bayesian inference (BI) analyses on the two datasets.

Maximum likelihood (ML) analysis of the dataset was carried out using RAxML 8.0.14 (Stamatakis 2014) and the GTRGAMMA substitution model with parameters unlinked. The ML bootstrap replicates (1000) were computed in RAxML using a rapid bootstrap analysis and search for the best-scoring ML tree. The ML trees were viewed with TreeView32 (Page 2001). Clades with bootstrap support (MLBS)  $\geq$  70% were considered as significant-supported (Hillis and Bull 1993).

A most parsimonious (MP) analysis was constructed with PAUP\* 4.0b10. (Swofford 2002). The bootstrap values were generated using the following settings: 1000 replicate searches on all parsimoniously informative characters using 100 random sequence addition replications and TBR (tree-bisection reconnection) branch swapping

Fungal taxon	Specimen voucher	Locality	ITS	285
Balsamia lishanensis	BJTC FAN587	Shanxi, China	MT232721	MT232903
	BJTC FAN591	Shanxi, China	MT232899	MT232911
	BJTC FAN676	Shanxi, China	MT232907	MT232902
	BJTC FAN689	Shanxi, China	MT232905	MT232914
	BJTC FAN697	Shanxi, China	MT232908	MT232912
	BJTC FAN714	Shanxi, China	MT232901	MT232913
	BJTC FAN1010	Shanxi, China	MT232900	MT232910
	HMAS 97115	Gansu, China	MT232904	MT232909
Balsamia platyspora	BJTC FAN557	Shanxi, China	MT232906	MT229143

Table 1. Information on newly generated DNA sequences used in this study.

algorithms in PAUP\*. Tree statistics (TL), consistency index (CI), retention index (RI) and homoplasy index (HI) were also calculated. Tree was viewed with TreeView32 (Page 2001). Clades with bootstrap support (MPBS)  $\geq$  70% were considered to be significant (Hillis and Bull 1993).

Bayesian inference (BI) analyses was performed with MrBayes v3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) based on the best substitution models determined by MrModeltest 2.3 (Nylander 2004), which were GTR+I+G for the ITS dataset and SYM+I+G for the 28S dataset. Two independent runs of four chains were conducted for 4 000 000 for ITS and 2 000 000 for 28S datasets Markov Chain Monte Carlo generations using the default settings and sampled every 100 generations. The temperature value was lowered to 0.20, burn-in was set to 0.25, and the run was automatically stopped as soon as the average standard deviation of split frequencies reached below 0.01. A 50% majority-rule consensus tree was constructed and visualized with TreeView32 (Page 2001). Clades with Bayesian posterior probability (BPP)  $\geq$  0.95 were considered as significantly supported (Alfaro et al. 2003).

#### Results

#### Phylogenetic analysis

For ITS dataset, we comprehensively collected the ITS sequences of *Balsamia* and the fungi previously described as *Barssia*, and sequences that are high similarity to *Balsamia*. For 28S dataset, we collected all sequences of *Balsamia* and the fungi previously described as *Barssia*, and representative sequence of other genera of *Helvellaceae*. Sequences of each locus were aligned and analyzed separately.

The 28S dataset contained 72 sequences (9 were newly gained in this study), and 4 from the outgroup *Tuber anniae* and *T. bellisporum*. The dataset had an aligned length of 886 characters, of which 578 were constant, 308 were variable, and 278 of these variable sites were informative. The maximum parsimony analysis resulted in one most parsimonious tree with a length (TL) of 842 steps, consistency index (CI) of 0.570, retention index (RI) of 0.896, homoplasy index (HI) of 0.430. MP, ML and BI analyses yielded similar tree topologies, and only the tree inferred from the MP analysis is shown (Fig. 1). The 28S sequences of *Balsamia* were grouped into a distinct clade with high supports (MPBS = 100%, MLBS = 100%, BPP = 1.00). The Chinese materials were well clustered in the Balsamia clade (Fig. 1), including the sequences of the fungi previously described as Barrsia guozigouensis L. Fan & Y.Y. Xu and Barssia luyashanensis L. Fan & Y.Y. Xu (Xu et al. 2018). Three distinct branches with strong supports can be recognized from Chinese collections, respectively representing Balsamia guozigouensis, Balsamia luyashanensis, and a new species Balsamia lishanensis proposed in this study. In addition, the Chinese sequence from BJTC FAN557 grouped together with a reliably identified sequence (MK100252) of *B. platyspora* (Hansen et al. 2019) with strong support value (MPBS = 99%, MLBS = 99%, BPP = 1.00), and they shared 99.83% 28S sequences similarity, indicating the Chinese specimen BJTC FAN557 was B. platyspora.



**Figure 1.** Phylogenetic tree generated from a maximum parsimonious analysis based on 28S sequences, showing the phylogenetic relationships of *Helvellaceae*. *Tuber anniae* and *T. bellisporum* are the outgroups. Maximum parsimonious bootstrap support values ( $\geq$  70%) and maximum likelihood bootstrap support values ( $\geq$  70%) are indicated above the nodes as MPBS/MLBS. Thick black branches received Bayesian posterior probabilities (BPP)  $\geq$  0.95. Novel sequences are printed in bold.

The ITS dataset contained 108 sequences (9 were newly gained in this study), and 4 from the outgroup *T. anniae* and *T. bellisporum*. The dataset had an aligned length of 1056 characters, of which 310 were constant, 745 were variable, and 622

of these variable sites were informative. The maximum parsimony analysis resulted in one most parsimonious tree with a length (TL) of 2220 steps, consistency index (CI) of 0.580, retention index (RI) of 0.900, homoplasy index (HI) of 0.420. MP, ML and BI analyses yielded similar tree topologies, and only the tree inferred from the Bayesian analysis is shown (Fig. 2). The ITS sequences of *Balsamia* were grouped into a distinct clade with high supports (MPBS = 100%, MLBS = 100%, BPP = 1.00), and the sequences from the Chinese collection unambiguously clustered in the *Balsamia* 



**Figure 2.** Phylogenetic tree generated from Bayesian analysis based on ITS sequences, showing the phylogenetic relationships of *Balsamia. Tuber anniae* and *T. bellisporum* are the outgroups. Maximum parsimonious bootstrap support values ( $\geq$  70%) and maximum likelihood bootstrap support values ( $\geq$  70%) are indicated above the nodes as MPBS/MLBS. Thick black branches received Bayesian posterior probabilities (BPP)  $\geq$  0.95. Novel sequences are printed in bold.

clade, including the sequences of the fungi previously described as *Barrsia guozigouensis* and *Barssia luyashanensis* (Xu et al. 2018) (Fig. 2). The sequences of all Chinese collections excepting specimen BJTC FAN557 were grouped into three independent clades with strong supports (Fig. 2), respectively representing *Balsamia guozigouensis*, *Balsamia luyashanensis* and a new species *Balsamia lishanensis* proposed in this study. The sequence of BJTC FAN557, which was identified as *B. platyspora* by morphology and 28S phylogeny (Fig. 1) in this study, formed a strong support clade together with nine European sequences isolated from ascomata of *Balsamia platyspora* or ectomycorrhizal root tips of *Balsamia*. These ten samples showed high sequences similarity so the clade was considered as representing *B. platyspora*.

Based on the above phylogenetic analyses (Figs 1, 2), we concluded that *Barrsia guozigouensis* and *Barssia luyashanensis* should be transferred to *Balsamia*. The clade of *B. lishanensis* was a distinct species and represented a new species. The specimen BJTC FAN557 should be recognized as the European *Balsamia platyspora*.

#### Taxonomy

Balsamia lishanensis L. Fan & Y.Y. Xu, sp. nov.

MycoBank No: 834962 Figure 3

**Etymology.** *lishanensis*, Lishan Mountain, referring to the locality where the type specimen was collected.

Holotype. China. Shanxi Province, Yuanqu County, Lishan Mountain Shunwangping Scenic Area, alt. 2300 m, 17 October 2016, in soil under *Pinus armandii* Franch., M. Chen CM019 (BJTC FAN676).

Ascomata subglobose to irregularly subglobose,  $3-14 \times 2-12$  mm in fresh, reddish brown when fresh, usually with some superficial furrows, surface covered with verrucose or fine warts, warts obtuse or pointed, 270-400 µm wide and 150-300 µm high. Odor light, mushroom flavor. Gleba solid, white to cream white, with numerous irregular canals and chambers of around 1 mm width. Peridium 150-350 µm thick, two-layered, outer layer pseudoparenchymatous, 90-190 µm thick, composed of 3-5 layers of reddish brown polygonal cells with 4-6 sides, cells  $15-35 \times 10-27 \mu m$ , walls 4.0-8.0  $\mu m$ thick, the outermost cells reddish-brown, and gradually light-yellow to hyaline towards inner side; inner layer 60-150 µm thick, composed of interwoven hyphae, that is more or less parallel to the surface of peridium, hyphae hyaline, 2.5-6.0 µm wide. Paraphyses line the surface of chamber, arranged like a fence,  $3-4 \times 50 \,\mu\text{m}$ , but disorganized in the mature ascomata, usually not well-defined. Asci 8-spored, hyaline, citriform or fusiform,  $55-80 \times 27-38 \ \mu m$  (not including stalk), inamyloid, with a slender-stalk of  $13.5-35 \times 10^{-10}$ 5-10 µm, spores irregularly arranged in ascus. Ascospores ellipsoid, smooth, hyaline, inamyloid,  $20.6-25.6 \times 12.9-15 \ \mu m$  (av.  $23.5 \times 14.0 \ \mu m$ ), Q (L/I) = 1.55-1.80 (Qm = 1.68) (n = 30), usually containing one large oil drop and several small droplets.



**Figure 3.** *Balsamia lishanensis* (BJTC FAN676, holotype) **a**, **b** ascomata **c** warts (when fresh) **d** warts (when dry) **e**, **f** peridium **g** paraphyses **h**, **i** mature ascus **j** ascospores. Scale bars: 5 mm (**a**, **b**); 500 μm (**c**); 300 μm (**d**); 100 μm (**e**, **f**); 25 μm (**g**, **h**, **i**); 20 μm (**j**).

**Other materials examined.** China. Shanxi Province, Yuanqu County, Lishan Mountain Shunwangping Scenic Area, alt. 2300m, 16 August 2016, in soil under *Pinus armandii* Franch., K.B. Huang HKB003 (BJTC FAN587); *ibid.*, 16 August 2016, in soil under *Pinus armandii* Franch., B.D. He HBD014 (BJTC FAN 591); *ibid.*, 17 October 2016, in soil under *Pinus armandii* Franch., K.B. Huang HKB039 (BJTC FAN689); *ibid.*, 17 October 2016, in soil under *Pinus armandii* Franch., K.B. Huang HKB039 (BJTC FAN689); *ibid.*, 17 October 2016, in soil under *Pinus armandii* Franch., X.Y. Sang SXY015 (BJTC FAN697); *ibid.*, 17 October 2016, in soil under *Pinus armandii* Franch., X.Y. Song SXY015 (BJTC FAN697); *ibid.*, 17 October 2016, in soil under *Pinus armandii* Franch., K.B. Huang HKB031 (BJTC FAN714); China. Shanxi Province, Ningwu County, Xiaoshidong Village, Guancen Mountain, alt. 2000m, 12 October 2017, in soil under *Picea asperata* Mast., L.J. Guo GLJ001 (BJTC FAN1010); China. Gansu Province, Bailongjiang Forestry Bureau, Seventh Forest Farm, alt. 2500m, 14 July 2002, in soil under *Pinus* sp., D.J. Ren & M.S. Song 02-034 (HMAS 97115).

**Notes.** Balsamia lishanensis was diagnosed by the combination of reddish brown ascomata covered with fine warts, the whitish gleba with numerous small chambers open to 1 mm, 3–5 layers peridium reddish brown polygonal cells and the smooth and regular ellipsoid ascospores with one large oil drop. There are four Balsamia spe-

cies similar to *B. lishanensis* in morphology. Of them, *B. vulgaris* differed by its large ascospores of (23-) 26–32 (–36) × 11.5–14 (–16) µm, *Balsamia lazyana* and *B. trappei* by their narrow ascospores, which are 19.5–27 × 8–11.5 µm in *B. lazyana* and 24–26 × 11.5–13.5 µm in *B. trappei, B. platyspora* by its short-ellipsoid ascospores of 19–22–28 × 12–13–16 µm (ca. 20 × 13 µm). Phylogenetic analysis revealed that the sequences of *B. lishanensis* were grouped into an independent clade with strong support value (Figs 1, 2). DNA analysis showed that *B. lishanensis* shared less than 87.19% identity in ITS sequence with other *Balsamia* species. These supported the erection of the new species.

#### *Balsamia platyspora* Berk. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.13: 358(1884) Figure 4

**Materials examined.** China. Shanxi Province, Yuanqu County, Lishan Mountain Shunwangping Scenic Area, alt. 2200m, 16 August 2016, in soil under *Pinus armandii* Franch., Y.W. Wang WYW012 (BJTC FAN557).

**Notes.** Balsamia platyspora is distributed in Europe, North America and Asia (Berkely 1844; Gilkey 1939). In China, it is reported as early as 1990 from Shanxi Province based on morphological evidences (Liu and Tao 1990), but unfortunately, we have been unable to find the voucher specimen. In this study, our molecular analysis based on 28S sequences (Fig. 1) and ITS sequences (Fig. 2), and morphological studies confirmed the occurrence of this species in China based on the new collections from the Shanxi Province where this species was harvested originally by Liu and Tao (1990). Balsamia platyspora is mainly characterized by its minor subglobose ascomata,



**Figure 4.** *Balsamia platyspora* (BJTC FAN557) **a** ascomata **b** peridium **c** warts **d** immature ascus **e**, **f** mature ascus **g** ascospores. Scale bars: 1 cm (**a**); 100 μm (**b**, **c**); 25 μm (**d**, **e**, **f**); 20 μm (**g**).

reddish brown to dark brown warts, white to yellowish white gleba with around 1 mm chambers, citriform or broadly elliptic asci, shortly elliptic ascospores  $19-22-28 \times 12-13-16 \mu m$  (ca.  $20 \times 13 \mu m$ ) (Berkeley 1844; Hawker 1954; Pegler et al.1993), our specimen well matched the characteristics.

## *Balsamia guozigouensis* (L. Fan & Y.Y. Xu) L. Fan & Y.Y. Xu., comb. nov. MycoBank No: 834963

Basionym. Barssia guozigouensis L. Fan & Y.Y. Xu, Phytotaxa 374(2): 135 (2018).

Holotype. China. Xinjiang Autonomous Region, Huocheng County, Guozigou Forest Park, alt. 1800m, in soil under *Picea schrenkiana* Fisch. & C.A. Mey., 11 August 2003, W.P. Wu & M. S. Song 060 (HMAS 97107).

#### Illustrations – Xu et al. (2018: Fig. 4)

**Notes.** This species is recently described from Xinjiang Autonomous Region, China, under *Picea schrenkiana* Fisch. & C.A. Mey. So far it is known only from the type locality. *Balsamia guozigouensis* can be recognized by its distinctly warty ascomata, solid gleba with small and irregular chamber and irregularly clavate asci. Phylogenetically, it was closely related to *B. luyashanensis* (Fig. 2), but the latter differs in its ascomata with fine warts and gleba without chambers (Xu et al. 2018).

## *Balsamia luyashanensis* (L. Fan & Y.Y. Xu) L. Fan & Y.Y. Xu., comb. nov. MycoBank No: 834964

Basionym. Barssia luyashanensis L. Fan & Y.Y. Xu, Phytotaxa 374(2): 134 (2018).

Holotype. China. Shanxi Province, Ningwu County, Qiuqiangou Village, Luyashan Mountain, alt. 2100m, 25 August 2017, in soil under *Picea* sp., M. Chen CM023 (BJTC FAN764).

#### Illustrations – Xu et al. (2018: fig. 3)

**Notes.** *Balsamia luyashanensis* is also recently described from the Luyashan Mountain of Shanxi Province, China, under *Picea* sp. So far it is known only from the type locality. The species can be recognized by its red brown ascomata with fine warts, gleba without chambers and irregularly clavate asci (Xu et al. 2018). The species was similar in appearance of ascomata to *B. gunerii* and *B. hellenica* but *B. gunerii* can be separated by its subglobose to ovoid ascospores and gleba with irregularly sinuous, labyrinth-like veins (Doğan et al. 2018; Hansen et al. 2019), while *B. hellenica* by its ovoid ascospores (Kaounas et al. 2015; Hansen et al. 2019).

#### Key to Chinese species of Balsamia

1	Ascomata with an obvious apical depression	.2
_	Ascomata without an obvious apical depression	3

2	Surface with distinct warts, solid gleba scattered with some sn	nall and irregu-
	lar chambers	guozigouensis
_	Surface with fine warts, solid gleba without chambers	luyashanensis
3	Ascospores long-ellipsoid, $(20.6-25.6 \times 12.9-15 \mu m, Q = 1.5)$	5–1.80)
		B. lishanensis
_	Ascospores short-ellipsoid, 19-22-28 × 12-13-16 µm (ca.	20 × 13 μm)
	(Hawker 1954)	.B. platyspora

#### Acknowledgements

Dr. J.Z. Cao was appreciated for collecting specimens and providing valuable suggestions. The study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31750001) and the Beijing Natural Science Foundation (No. 5172003).

#### References

- Alfaro ME, Zoller S, Lutzoni F (2003) Bayes or bootstrap? A simulation study comparing the performance of Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo sampling and bootstrapping in assessing phylogenetic confidence. Molecular Biology and Evolution 20(2): 255–266. https:// doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msg028
- Alvarado P, Moreno G, Manjón JL, Gelpi C, Kaounas V, Konstantinidis G, Barseghyan GS, Venturella G (2011) First molecular data on *Delastria rosea*, *Fischerula macrospora* and *Hyd-nocystis piligera*. Boletín de la Sociedad Micológica de Madrid 35: 31–37.
- Ariyawansa HA, Hyde KD, Jayasiri SC, Buyck B, Chethana KWT, Dai DQ, Dai YC, Daranagama DA, Jayawardena RS, Lücking R, Ghobad-Nejhad M, Niskanen T, Thambugala KM, Voigt K, Zhao RL, Li G-J, Doilom M, Boonmee S, Yang ZL, Cai Q, Cui Y-Y, Bahkali AH, Chen J, Cui BK, Chen JJ, Dayarathne MC, Dissanayake AJ, Ekanayaka AH, Hashimoto A, Hongsanan S, Jones EBG, Larsson E, Li WJ, Li Q-R, Liu JK, Luo ZL, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Mapook A, McKenzie EHC, Norphanphoun C, Konta S, Pang KL, Perera RH, Phookamsak R, Phukhamsakda C, Pinruan U, Randrianjohany E, Singtripop C, Tanaka K, Tian CM, Tibpromma S, Abdel-Wahab MA, Wanasinghe DN, Wijayawardene NN, Zhang J-F, Zhang H, Abdel-Aziz FA, Wedin M, Westberg M, Ammirati JF, Bulgakov TS, Lima DX, Callaghan TM, Callac P, Chang C-H, Coca LF, Dal-Forno M, Dollhofer V, Fliegerová K, Greiner K, Griffith GW, Ho H-M, Hofstetter V, Jeewon R, Kang JC, Wen T-C, Kirk PM, Kytövuori I, Lawrey JD, Xing J, Li H, Liu ZY, Liu XZ, Liimatainen K, Lumbsch HT, Matsumura M, Moncada B, Nuankaew S, Parnmen S, de Azevedo Santiago ALCM, Sommai S, Song Y, de Souza CAF, de Souza-Motta CM, Su HY, Suetrong S, Wang Y, Wei S-F, Wen TC, Yuan HS, Zhou LW, Réblová M, Fournier J, Camporesi E, Luangsa-ard JJ, Tasanathai K, Khonsanit A, Thanakitpipattana D, Somrithipol S, Diederich P, Millanes AM, Common RS, Stadler M, Yan JY, Li XH, Lee HW, Nguyen TTT, Lee HB, Battistin E, Marsico O, Vizzini A, Vila J, Ercole E, Eberhardt U, Simonini G, Wen H-A, Chen X-H, Miettinen O, Spirin V, Hernawati (2015) Fungal diversity notes 111-252-

taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions to fungal taxa. Fungal Diversity 75(1): 27–274. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-015-0346-5

- Benucci GMN, Raggi L, Albertini E, Csorbai AG, Donnini D (2014) Assessment of ectomycorrhizal biodiversity in *Tuber macrosporum* productive sites. Mycorrhiza 24(4): 281–292. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-013-0538-3
- Berkeley MJ (1844) Notices of British Fungi. Journal of Natural History. 13(85): 340–360. https://doi.org/10.1080/03745484409442617
- Bidartondo MI, Read DJ (2008) Fungal specificity bottlenecks during orchid germination and development. Molecular Ecology 17(16): 3707–3716. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03848.x
- Bonito G, Trappe JM, Rawlinson P, Vilgalys R (2010) Improved resolution of major clades within *Tuber* and taxonomy of species within the *Tuber gibbosum* complex. Mycologia 102(5): 1042–1057. https://doi.org/10.3852/09-213
- Bonito G, Smith ME, Nowak M, Healy RA, Guevara G, Cazares E, Kinoshita A, Nouhra ER, Dominguez LS, Tedersoo L, Murat C, Wang Y, Moreno BA, Pfister DH, Nara K, Zambonelli A, Trappe JM, Vilgalys R (2013) Historical biogeography and diversification of truffles in the *Tuberaceae* and their newly identified southern hemisphere sister lineage. PLoS One 8(1): e52765. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0052765
- Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Schumacher RK, Summerell BA, Giraldo A, Gené J, Guarro J, Wanasinghe DN, Hyde KD, Camporesi E, Gareth Jones EB, Thambugala KM, Malysheva EF, Malysheva VF, Acharya K, Álvarez J, Alvarado P, Assefa A, Barnes CW, Bartlett JS, Blanchette RA, Burgess TI, Carlavilla JR, Coetzee MPA, Damm U, Decock CA, den Breeÿen A, de Vries B, Dutta AK, Holdom DG, Rooney-Latham S, Manjón JL, Marincowitz S, Mirabolfathy M, Moreno G, Nakashima C, Papizadeh M, Shahzadeh Fazeli SA, Amoozegar MA, Romberg MK, Shivas RG, Stalpers JA, Stielow B, Stukely MJC, Swart WJ, Tan YP, van der Bank M, Wood AR, Zhang Y, Groenewald JZ (2014) Fungal Planet description sheets: 281–319. Persoonia 33: 212–289. https://doi.org/10.3767/003158514X685680
- Doğan HH, Bozok F, Taşkın H (2018) A new species of *Barssia* (Ascomycota, Helvellaceae) from Turkey. Turkish Journal of Botany 42: 1–8. https://doi.org/10.3906/bot-1801-33
- Dring DM (1971) Techniques for microscopic preparation. In: Booth C (Ed.) Methods in microbiology, vol 4. Academic, New York, 98 pp. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0580-9517(09)70008-X
- Gardes M, Bruns TD (1993) ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. Molecular Ecology 2(2): 113–118. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.1993.tb00005.x
- Gilkey HM (1939) Tuberales of North America. Oregon State Monographs. Studies in Botany 1: 1–63.
- Hansen K, Schumacher T, Skrede I, Huhtinen S, Wang XH (2019) *Pindara* revisited–evolution and generic limits in *Helvellaceae*. Persoonia 42: 186–204. https://doi.org/10.3767/ persoonia.2019.42.07
- Hawker LE (1954) British hypogeous fungi. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences 237: 429–546. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rstb.1954.0002

- Hillis DM, Bull JJ (1993) An empirical test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing confidence in phylogenetic analysis. Systematic Biology 42(2): 182–192.
- Izzo AD, Meyer M, Trappe JM, North M, Bruns TD (2005) Hypogeous ectomycorrhizal fungal species on roots and in small mammal diet in a mixed-conifer forest. Forest Science 51(3): 243–254. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/42.2.182
- Kaounas V, Agnello C, Alvarado P, Slavova M (2015) *Barssia hellenica* sp. nov. (Ascomycota, Pezizales), a new hypogeous species from Greece. Ascomycete.org 7(5): 213–219.
- Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30: 772–780. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010
- Ławrynowicz M, Skirgiełło A (1984) *Barssia oregonensis* found in the Tatra Mountains (Poland). Acta Mycologica 20(2): 277–280. https://doi.org/10.5586/am.1984.023
- Liu B, Tao K (1990) New species and new records of hypogeous fungi from China III. Acta Mycologica Sinica 9(1): 25–30.
- Nguyen NH, Landeros F, Garibay-Orijel R, Hansen K, Vellinga EC (2013) The *Helvella lacunosa* species complex in western North America: cryptic species, misapplied names and parasites. Mycologia 105(5): 1275–1286. https://doi.org/10.3852/12-391
- Nylander J (2004) MrModeltest 2.2. Computer software distributed by the Evolutionary Biology Centre, University of Uppsala, Uppsala.
- O'Donnell K, Cigelnik E, Weber NS, Trappe JM (1997) Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetous truffles and the true and false morels inferred from 18S and 28S ribosomal DNA sequence analysis. Mycologia 89(1): 48–65. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.19 97.12026754
- Page RD (2001) TreeView. Glasgow University, Glasgow.
- Pegler DN, Spooner BM, Young TWK (1993) British truffles: A Revision of British Hypogeous Fungi. Kew Royal Botanic Gardens, 56–61.
- Petitberghien A (1966) Note sur deux champignons hypogés. Bulletin trimestrial de la Société mycologique de France 82: 460–465.
- Rambaut A (2000) Estimating the rate of molecular evolution: incorporating non-contemporaneous sequences into maximum likelihood phylogenies. Bioinformatics 16: 395–399. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/16.4.395
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19(12): 1572–1574. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180
- Roy M, Rochet J, Manzi S, Jargeat P, Gryta H, Moreau PA, Gardes M (2013) What determines Alnus-associated ectomycorrhizal community diversity and specificity? A comparison of host an habitat effects at a regional scale. New Phytologist 198 (4): 1228–1238. https:// doi.org/10.1111/nph.12212
- Schulzer S (1870) Mykologische Beobachtungen aus Nord-Ungarn im Herbste 1869. Verhandlungen der Zoologisch-Botanischen Gesellschaft Wien 20: 169–210.
- Skrede I, Carlsen T, Schumacher T (2017) A synopsis of the saddle fungi (*Helvella: Ascomy-cota*) in Europe–species delimitation, taxonomy and typification. Persoonia 39: 201–253. https://doi.org/10.3767/persoonia.2017.39.09

- Southworth D, Frank JL, Castellano MA, Smith ME, Trappe JM (2018) Balsamia (Sequestrate Helvellaceae, Ascomycota) in western North America. Fungal Systematics and Evolution 2: 11–36. https://doi.org/10.3114/fuse.2018.02.02
- Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30(9): 1312–1313. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033
- Swofford DL (2002) PAUP\*: Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (and Other Methods). Version 4.0b10. Sinauer Associates. Sunderland.
- Timling I, Dahlberg A, Walker DA, Gardes M, Charcosset JY, Welker JM, Taylor DL (2012) Distribution and drivers of ectomycorrhizal fungal communities across the North American Arctic. Ecosphere 3(11): 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1890/ES12-00217.1
- Tulasne LR, Tulasne C (1843) Champignons hypogés de la famille des Lycoperdacées, observés dans les environs de Paris et les départements de la Vienne et d'Indre-et-Loire. Annales des Sciences Naturelles Série 2(19): 373–381.
- Tulasne LR, Tulasne C (1851) Fungi Hypogæi. Histoire et Monographie des Champignon Hypogés. Apud Friedrich Klincksieck, Paris, 123–125.
- Vilgalys R, Hester M (1990) Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. Journal of Bacteriology 172(8): 4239–4246. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.172.8.4238-4246.1990
- Vittadini C (1831) Monographia Tuberacearum. Mediolani, 1–88.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (Eds) PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. Academic Press, New York, 315–322. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1
- Xu YY, Guo LJ, Li T, Fan L (2018) Two new species of *Barssia* from China. Phytotaxa 374(2): 129–138. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.374.2.4
- Zhao Q, Brooks S, Zhao YC, Yang ZL, Hyde KD (2016) Morphology and phylogenic position of *Wynnella subalpina* sp. nov. (Helvellaceae) from western China. Phytotaxa 270(1): 41–48. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.270.1.4

#### Supplementary material I

# Table S1. Taxa used in this study and their GenBank accession numbers for ITS and 28S sequence data

Authors: Yu-Yan Xu, Xiang-Yuan Yan, Ting Li, Li Fan

Data type: Microsoft Word Document (.docx)

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.67.50068.suppl1



# Diversity and toxigenicity of fungi and description of Fusarium madaense sp. nov. from cereals, legumes and soils in north-central Nigeria

Chibundu N. Ezekiel<sup>1,2</sup>, Bart Kraak<sup>3</sup>, Marcelo Sandoval-Denis<sup>3</sup>, Michael Sulyok<sup>2</sup>, Oluwawapelumi A. Oyedele<sup>1</sup>, Kolawole I. Ayeni<sup>1</sup>, Oluwadamilola M. Makinde<sup>1</sup>, Oluwatosin M. Akinyemi<sup>1</sup>, Rudolf Krska<sup>2,4</sup>, Pedro W. Crous<sup>3</sup>, Jos Houbraken<sup>3</sup>

I Department of Microbiology, Babcock University, Ilishan Remo, Ogun State, Nigeria 2 Institute of Bioanalytics and Agro-Metabolomics, Department of Agrobiotechnology (IFA-Tulln), University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna (BOKU), Konrad Lorenzstr. 20, A-3430 Tulln, Austria 3 Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Uppsalalaan 8, 3584 CT Utrecht, The Netherlands 4 Institute for Global Food Security, School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, University Road, Belfast, BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland, UK

Corresponding author: Chibundu N. Ezekiel (chaugez@gmail.com)

Academic editor: C. Gueidan | Received 31 March 2020 | Accepted 15 April 2020 | Published 8 June 2020

**Citation:** Ezekiel CN, Kraak B, Sandoval-Denis M, Sulyok M, Oyedele OA, Ayeni KI, Makinde OM, Akinyemi OM, Krska R, Crous PW, Houbraken J (2020) Diversity and toxigenicity of fungi and description of *Fusarium madaense* sp. nov. from cereals, legumes and soils in north-central Nigeria. MycoKeys 67: 95–124. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.67.52716

#### Abstract

Mycological investigation of various foods (mainly cowpea, groundnut, maize, rice, sorghum) and agricultural soils from two states in north-central Nigeria (Nasarawa and Niger), was conducted in order to understand the role of filamentous fungi in food contamination and public health. A total of 839 fungal isolates were recovered from 84% of the 250 food and all 30 soil samples. Preliminary identifications were made, based on macro- and micromorphological characters. Representative strains (n = 121) were studied in detail using morphology and DNA sequencing, involving genera/species-specific markers, while extrolite profiles using LC-MS/MS were obtained for a selection of strains. The representative strains grouped in seven genera (*Aspergillus, Fusarium, Macrophomina, Meyerozyma, Neocosmospora, Neotestudina* 

Copyright C. N. Ezekiel et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

and *Phoma*). Amongst the 21 species that were isolated during this study was one novel species belonging to the *Fusarium fujikuroi* species complex, *F. madaense* **sp. nov.**, obtained from groundnut and sorghum in Nasarawa state. The examined strains produced diverse extrolites, including several uncommon compounds: averantinmethylether in *A. aflatoxiformans*; aspergillimide in *A. flavus*; heptelidic acid in *A. austwickii*; desoxypaxillin, kotanin A and paspalitrems (A and B) in *A. aflatoxiformans, A. austwickii* and *A. cerealis*; aurasperon C, dimethylsulochrin, fellutanine A, methylorsellinic acid, nigragillin and pyrophen in *A. brunneoviolaceus*; cyclosporins (A, B, C and H) in *A. niger*; methylorsellinic acid, pyrophen and secalonic acid in *A. piperis*; aspulvinone E, fonsecin, kojic acid, kotanin A, malformin C, pyranonigrin and pyrophen in *A. vadensis*; and all compounds in *F. madaense* sp. nov., *Meyerozyma, Neocosmospora* and *Neotestudina*. This study provides snapshot data for prediction of food contamination and fungal biodiversity exploitation.

#### **Keywords**

Aflatoxins, chemotaxonomy, food safety, Fusarium, mycology, secondary metabolites

#### Introduction

Fungi are ubiquitous and diverse, inhabiting various environments including agricultural soils and the crops grown on them (Stajich et al. 2009). Fungi in soil can contaminate, invade and colonise crops on the field during pre-harvest stages and can remain present during the post-harvest processing stages. Depending on the processing steps, these fungi may later spoil foods during storage or in households or markets when storage conditions are sub-optimal and climatic conditions are favourable for their growth (Prange et al. 2005, Taniwaki et al. 2018). Thus, fungal contamination and colonisation of crops could directly lead to pre- and post-harvest food losses, mycotoxin contaminated foods (Avery et al. 2019). Additionally, soil could serve as a reservoir for pathogenic fungi, constituting public health hazards to farmers who spend much of their time on farms and have direct contact with agricultural soils. On the positive side, beneficial fungi, including biological control strains and species of industrial relevance, are also present in agricultural soils, waiting to be explored (Donner et al. 2009, Bautista-Rosales et al. 2013, Bandyopadhyay et al. 2016).

Proper characterisation of fungi is fundamental to effectively determine their ecology and roles in the environment. In Nigeria, several studies have focused on fungal contamination of food crops (Adebajo et al. 1994, Bankole et al. 2003, Marley et al. 2004, Afolabi et al. 2006, Adejumo et al. 2007, Atehnkeng et al. 2008, Makun et al. 2009, 2011, Fapohunda et al. 2012, Abdus-Salaam et al. 2016, Oyedele et al. 2017, Ezekiel et al. 2013a, 2013b, 2014, 2016, 2019, Frisvad et al. 2019, Akinfala et al. 2020) and soil (Donner et al. 2009, Bandyopadhyay et al. 2019, Ezekiel et al. 2019). Many of these reports focused mainly on characterising aflatoxigenic *Aspergillus* species, because of their high incidence and their ability to produce aflatoxins and less on other mycotoxins produced by other fungal genera and species. Thus, studies on characterisation of other fungi including *Fusarium*, a genus also comprising important mycotoxin producers, have rarely been conducted in Nigeria (Marley et al. 2004, Afolabi et al. 2006, Adejumo et al. 2007, Makun et al. 2011, Fapohunda et al. 2012). Regardless of the fungal genera studied, the application of robust taxonomic tools comprising a combination of phenotypic characterisation, DNA sequence-based methods and extrolite profiling for fungal identification is scarce (Frisvad et al. 2019, Ezekiel et al. 2020, Akinfala et al. 2020). This comprehensive approach is valuable due to the high precision, based on the use of species-specific DNA markers (Houbraken et al. 2011, 2012, Samson et al. 2014, 2019).

Therefore, in view of the need to understand the roles of fungi in food contamination and other processes, we conducted a mycological investigation into agricultural crops (foods) commonly consumed and available in agrarian households and soils on which the crops were grown in two north-central states (Nasarawa and Niger) in Nigeria. The two states were selected for this study, based on previous reports (Adetunji et al. 2014, Abdus-Salaam et al. 2015, Oyedele et al. 2017) that implicated these states and/or the agro-ecological zone to which they belong (Southern Guinea Savanna) as regions of moderate-to-high aflatoxin and fumonisin contamination in foods. Consequently, it was necessary to study the fungal diversity in these states.

#### Materials and methods

#### Food and soil sampling

Various food (n = 250) and soil (n = 30) samples were collected in two states (Nasarawa and Niger) in north-central Nigeria. Samples were collected in September 2018 (harvest season) and January 2019 (storage season). The distribution of samples by sampling season were: harvest (food, n = 143) and storage (food, n = 107; soil, n = 30). Samples were collected from households within one week of harvest and after three months of storage (storage samples). In each state, food samples (1 kg per sample) were collected from households within three randomly selected communities that are at least 5–20 km apart: Mada station, Tundun Adabu and Yelwa Doma in Nasarawa state and Diko, Nubwa Koro and Sabon Wuse in Niger state. The food samples collected included: cowpea (n = 7); groundnut (n = 53), maize (n = 142), millet (n = 1), rice (n = 23) and sorghum (n = 24). Soil samples were collected from the farmlands belonging to five randomly selected households in each community. Sampled fields were at least 1 km apart. In each field, one composite sample (90–100 g) was collected by traversing the field and taking five subsamples from random points. The depth of soil sampling was 3–4 cm.

Food samples were placed in polyethylene bags whilst soil samples were placed in paper bags. All food samples were fragmented in an electric blender (MX-AC400, Panasonic, India) and stored at 4°C prior to analysis within 48 h. Soil samples were transferred to plastic bags and clods were crushed using a mortar and pestle. Soil samples were then homogenised by hand-mixing prior to immediate fungal analysis.

#### Mycological studies of food and soil

#### Fungal isolation

Filamentous fungi, present in the food and soil samples, were isolated and enumerated using the dilution plating technique described by Samson et al. (1995). The fragmented samples (10 g each) were diluted in sterile distilled water (90 ml). Each mixture was homogenised on a vortex mixer for 2 min prior to surface-plating of 100  $\mu$ l on malt extract agar (MEA; Oxoid, UK). The inoculated plates were incubated at 25 °C for 3 to 5 d. The number of fungal colonies on the plates was counted and the colony forming units per gram (CFU/g) of the analysed samples calculated. Distinct colonies, appearing on the isolation plates, were carefully transferred to freshly prepared MEA plates and incubated at 25 °C for 7 d. All pure cultures were stored at 25 °C on MEA slants in 4 ml vials covered with sterile distilled water.

#### Characterisation of fungal isolates

Fungal isolates from the food and soil samples were characterised, based on morphological characteristics, DNA sequence data and/or secondary metabolites. The strains were first cultivated on MEA and assessed for macro- and microscopic characters, which were then compared with descriptions in appropriate keys (Frisvad and Samson 2004, Leslie and Summerell 2006, Pitt and Hocking 2009, Samson et al. 2011, 2019). Phenotypically similar isolates were grouped and selected isolates representing each group were identified using a sequence-based approach. For Fusarium and Neocosmospora spp., colony features and growth rates were assessed using MEA, oatmeal agar (OA), potato dextrose agar (PDA; recipes in Crous et al. 2019) and synthetic nutrientpoor agar (SNA; Nirenberg 1976); and micromorphology was studied using carnation leaf agar (CLA; Fisher et al. 1982) and SNA following protocols described elsewhere (Leslie and Summerell 2006, Sandoval-Denis et al. 2018). For the molecular analysis, DNA was extracted from each selected isolate previously cultivated on MEA at 25 °C for 5 d. Parts of the  $\beta$ -tubulin (*BenA*) and calmodulin (*CaM*) genes of the *Aspergillus* isolates were amplified and sequenced as previously described (Houbraken et al. 2011, 2012, Samson et al. 2019). The ITS regions, a part of the translation elongation factor 1 alpha (*TEF-1* $\alpha$ ) and/or the RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (*RPB2*) gene of all the other fungal species were amplified and sequenced in accordance with Groenewald et al. (2005), Groenewald et al. (2013), Chen et al. (2015), Chen et al. (2017) and O'Donnell et al. (1998, 2010). Additionally, partial fragments of the BenA, CaM, TEF-1a and RNA polymerase II largest subunit (RPB1) were generated for a subset of Fusarium strains, according to O'Donnell et al. (1998, 2009, 2010) and Woudenberg et al. (2009). All generated sequences were compared with the sequences present in the NCBI database and internal curated databases of the Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute (WI) for confirmation of species identifies. The identified isolates are maintained in the working culture collection of WI ("DTO culture collection") and in the

culture collection of WI ("CBS culture collection"). All newly-generated sequences are deposited in GenBank (Suppl. material 1: Table S1).

To further explore the species diversity and determine the presence of putative novel taxa amongst the fusaria, phylogenetic analyses were carried out, based on BenA, CaM, RPB1, RPB2 and TEF-1a sequences. A first analysis, based on partial RPB2 sequences, was intended to determine the generic distribution of the Nigerian isolates. A second multi-locus analysis, based on the five gene regions above-mentioned, was used to determine the genetic exclusivity of an undescribed phylogenetic clade belonging to the Fusarium fujikuroi species complex (FFSC, O'Donnell et al. 2015, Choi et al. 2018). Additional sequences of type and reference strains were retrieved from GenBank and included in the analyses (Suppl. material 1: Table S2). Sequences of the individual loci were aligned using MAFFT v. 7.110 (Katoh et al. 2017). The individual gene datasets were assessed for incongruency prior to concatenation using a 70% reciprocal bootstrap criterion (Mason-Gamer and Kellogg 1996). Phylogenetic analyses were based on Maximum-Likelihood (ML) and Maximum-Parsimony (MP). For ML, randomised accelerated (sic) ML (RAxML) for high performance computing (Stamatakis 2014) was used on the CIPRES Science Gateway portal (Miller et al. 2012) and clade stability was tested with a bootstrap analysis (BS) using default parameters. For MP, PAUP (Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony, v. 4.0b10; Swofford 2003) was used and phylogenetic relationships were estimated by heuristic searches with 1000 random addition sequences with tree-bisection-reconnection and branch swapping option set to 'best trees' only. All characters were weighted equally and alignment gaps treated as missing data. Tree length (TL), consistency index (CI), retention index (RI) and homoplasy index (HI) were calculated. Clade stability was assessed by bootstrap analyses, based on 1000 replications.

For extrolite profiling, each representative *Aspergillus* isolate was grown on Czapek yeast autolysate (CYA) agar, MEA and yeast extract sucrose (YES) agar and the selected *Fusarium* and *Neocosmospora* strains were grown on OA and PDA prior to extraction (Yilmaz et al. 2014, Samson et al. 2019). The culture media were incubated for 7 and 14 d at 25 °C. The agar plug extraction method of Filtenborg et al. (1983) with modifications as described by Smedsgaard (1997) was applied to extract cultural compounds. Extraction solvent for the agar plugs included ethylacetate/dichloromethane/methanol (3:2:1, v/v/v) containing 1% formic acid. All extracts were air-dried prior to LC–MS/ MS screening as described below.

#### LC-MS/MS extrolite analysis of agar plug extracts

Extrolites of fungal cultures were determined by a dilute and shoot LC–MS/MS method (Sulyok et al. 2020). The air-dried extracts were dissolved in 1 ml (ratio 1:1, v/v) of extraction solvent (acetonitrile/water/acetic acid 79:20:1, v/v/v) and then diluted with acetonitrile/water/acetic acid 20:79:1, v/v/v in a 1:1 (v/v) ratio prior to injection into the LC–MS/MS instrument. The QTrap 5500 LC–MS/MS System (Applied Biosys-

tems, Foster City, CA, USA), equipped with TurboIonSpray electrospray ionisation (ESI) source and a 1290 Series HPLC System (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany) was applied to screen the compounds. Chromatographic separation was performed at 25 °C on a Gemini C18-column, 150 × 4.6 mm i.d., 5 µm particle size, equipped with a C18 4 × 3 mm i.d. security guard cartridge (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA). The chromatographic method, chromatographic and mass spectrometric parameters are as described by Sulyok et al. (2020). ESI-MS/MS was conducted in the time-scheduled multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode both in positive and negative polarities in two separate chromatographic runs per sample by scanning two fragmentation reactions per analyte. The MRM detection window of each analyte was set to its expected retention time  $\pm 20$  s and  $\pm 26$  s in the positive and the negative modes, respectively. The identified positive analytes were confirmed by the acquisition of two MRMs per analyte. This yielded 4.0 identification points, according to European Commission decision 2002/657 (EC 2002). Additionally, the LC retention time and the intensity ratio of the two MRM transitions were in agreement with the related values of an authentic standard within 0.03 min and 30%, respectively, following the criteria for mycotoxin identification as laid down in SANTE 12089/2016.

#### Data analysis

The IBM SPSS v21.0 (SPSS Inc., IL, USA) was applied for data analysis. Data on fungal load were first normalised by a logarithm to base 10 transformation of the original data prior to the calculation of mean values. Means were tested for significance by One-way ANOVA ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ). Means of the concentrations ( $\mu$ g/kg) of the extrolites, produced by the fungal strains in culture media, were also calculated.

#### **Results and discussion**

#### Distribution of fungi in food and soil

Fungal propagules were recovered from 84% (n = 209) of the 250 food samples and from all of the soil samples (n = 30). The fungal load in the food samples was significantly (p < 0.05) higher at harvest (range: 2.00–6.22; mean:  $4.07 \pm 0.95 \text{ Log}_{10}\text{ CFU/g}$ ) than in storage (range: 2.00–4.60; mean:  $3.44 \pm 0.69 \text{ Log}_{10}\text{ CFU/g}$ ). The load of fungal propagules in the soil samples ranged 2.70–4.20 (mean:  $3.45 \pm 0.34 \text{ Log}_{10}\text{ CFU/g}$ ). Variations observed in fungal load during the two seasons (harvest and storage) may be attributed to the sampling environment and nature of samples. For example, harvest samples were recently collected from the field where crops are in contact with soil and a large diversity of fungal propagules were present (Bankole et al. 2006), whereas storage conditions are often controlled (crops stored individually in local granaries), thereby leading to lower fungal densities (Williams et al. 2014). In addition, harvest

samples were not yet "cleaned" (threshed or deshelled) and so harboured more viable fungal propagules compared to samples collected from storage bins which were already threshed, deshelled and bagged. A similar fungal load found in soil samples in the present study was previously reported for 55 soil samples collected from maize fields (range: 55–3736 CFU/g = 1.74–3.57 Log<sub>10</sub>CFU/g) across three agro-ecological zones of Nigeria (Donner et al. 2009). A total of 839 fungal isolates were recovered from the food and soil samples and grouped, based on similarities in phenotypic characters. Representative isolates (n = 121) selected from the groups clustered into seven genera (Fig. 1) and 21 species (Fig. 2C), based on a polyphasic taxonomic scheme. The overall incidences of the recovered fungal genera in decreasing order of magnitude were: Aspergillus (60%), Fusarium (17%), Neotestudina (12%), Neocosmospora (8%), Phoma (2%), Macrophomina (1%) and Meyerozyma (1%). The overall highest incidence of Aspergillus in the samples, as recorded in the present study, agrees with previous studies from different locations and substrates (Atehnkeng et al. 2008, Donner et al. 2009, Diedhiou et al. 2011, Makun et al. 2011, Ezekiel et al. 2013a, 2013b, 2016, 2019, Probst et al. 2014, Oyedele et al. 2017). To the best of our knowledge, we present the first report of Neotestudina from Nigerian soil.

Based on the fungal isolates recovered from food and soil samples and identified in this study, sample type-specific fungal incidences were estimated as 40.5%, 28%, 14.9%, 9.9%, 4.9% and 1.7% in soil, maize, sorghum, groundnut, cowpea and rice, respectively. Aspergilli were widely distributed in soil and food, although a higher proportion of isolates (35.6%) was recovered from soil compared to the individual foods. Nine *Aspergillus* species, belonging to two sections, were recovered in this study (Fig. 2). The species include: *A. aflatoxiformans, A. austwickii, A. cerealis, A. flavus* and *A. tamarii* in section *Flavi* (Frisvad et al. 2019) and *A. brunneoviolaceus, A. niger, A. piperis* 



**Figure 1.** Overall incidence of fungal genera recovered from food and soil in two states in north-central Nigeria.



**Figure 2.** Distribution of fungal species in food and soil in two states **A** Nasarawa state **B** Niger state **C** combined/both states) in north-central Nigeria.

and *A. vadensis* in section *Nigri* (Samson et al. 2007, Perrone et al. 2011, Varga et al. 2011). *Aspergillus aflatoxiformans* (27.3%) was the predominant species found in this study, based on the identified representative isolates (Fig. 2C). However, the predominance of *A. aflatoxiformans* here contradicts several previous reports that presented *A. flavus* as the predominant *Aspergillus* species in food and crops in Nigeria and elsewhere (Atehnkeng et al. 2008, Donner et al. 2009, Ezekiel et al. 2013a, 2013b, 2016, 2019, Probst et al. 2014, Oyedele et al. 2017). The disparity between our finding and previous reports is explainable and owes to bias during sub-culturing and selection of fungal isolates for molecular identification as less emphasis was given to *A. flavus* isolates. With respect to location, *A. austwickii* and *A. cerealis* were recovered only from soil in Niger state, while *A. brunneoviolaceus*, *A. niger* and *A. piperis* were recovered only in food and soil from Nasarawa state. Here, we present for the first time, *A. vadensis* as isolates from Nigerian food.

Four Fusarium species (F. andiyazi, F. madaense sp. nov., F. thapsinum and F. verticillioides), belonging to FFSC, were identified. All the Fusarium spp. were recovered from food samples, except F. verticillioides that was found in both food (maize, rice and sorghum) and soil (Fig. 2C). Fusarium andiyazi was isolated from sorghum in the Nasarawa state (Fig. 2A), F. andiyazi was specific to sorghum from Niger state (Fig. 2B) and F. madaense sp. nov. was recovered from groundnut and sorghum from Nasarawa state (Fig. 2A). The diversity of Fusarium observed in this study is remarkable, as we present unique occurrences of *F. madaense* sp. nov. and *F. thapsinum* from Nigerian grains, in addition to the previously reported F. andiyazi and F. verticillioides (Marley et al. 2004, Afolabi et al. 2006, Makun et al. 2009, 2011). Fusarium verticillioides and other members of the FFSC, including those found in the present study (F. andiyazi, E proliferatum, E pseudonygamai, E subglutinans and E thapsinum), have been documented in cereals and natural environments in different countries (Fandohan et al. 2005, Ncube et al. 2011, Leyva-Madrigal et al. 2014, O'Donnell et al. 2015, Moussa et al. 2017, Choi et al. 2018, Chala et al. 2019). The specificity of F. andiyazi and F. thapsinum to sorghum, which we observed here, agrees with literature (Marley et al. 2004, Pena et al. 2018, Chala et al. 2019). The additional discovery of *F. madaense* sp. nov. in groundnut and sorghum in this study emphasises the need to adopt adequate and robust characterisation approaches in fungal studies, as well as to conduct largescale fungal biodiversity studies of food and soil in the country.

*Macrophomina phaseolina*, *Meyerozyma caribbica* and *Phoma* species were isolated only from food in Nasarawa state (Fig. 2A, C). *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *M. caribbica* were specific to cowpea, while *Phoma* species were recovered from sorghum. *Macrophomina phaseolina* is a common pathogen of legumes, including cowpea and causes charcoal rot and root rot (Amusa et al. 2007, Oladimeji et al. 2012, Sarr et al. 2014, Khan et al. 2017). *Meyerozyma caribbica* (anamorph *Candida fermentati*) is a halophilic and rhizospheric yeast with biological control potential against phytopathogenic fungi (Bautista-Rosales et al. 2013), while *Phoma* is a genus of mostly phytopathogens (Chen et al. 2015, 2017). Future studies may explore the role of *M. caribbica* in biological control of mycotoxigenic fungi found in this study. *Neotestudina rosatii* was present only in soil (Fig. 2C). This fungus was actually first described in Africa in 1961 as the agent for maduromycosis (Segretain and Destombes 1961) and has been associated with the same human disease in two African countries, Senegal and Somalia (Baylet et al. 1968, Destombes et al. 1977). Four species of *Neocosmospora (N. falciformis, N. ipomoeae, N. suttoniana* and *N. vasinfecta*) were recovered from food and soil (Fig. 2C). *Neocosmospora ipomoeae* and *N. vasinfecta* and *N. suttoniana* were specific only to soils in Niger and Nasarawa states, respectively, while *N. falciformis* was found in food and soil in both states (Fig. 2A, B). *Neocosmospora* (formerly '*Fusarium*' *solani* species complex, FSSC) comprises common pathogens of plants, humans and animals (Sandoval-Denis and Crous 2018). For example, *N. falciformis* (syn. *F. falciforme*) is known to be associated with diverse cutaneous and subcutaneous fungal infections (Dignani and Anaissie 2004, Garcia et al. 2015). This species is frequently found in equine ocular infections and in canines and reptiles (O'Donnell et al. 2016). Recently, Sandoval-Denis and Crous (2018) described *N. suttoniana*; this species is implicated in uncommon human eye infections in Africa and the USA (O'Donnell et al. 2008).

#### Extrolites produced in fungal cultures

The elucidation of extrolite patterns from fungal strains grown on mycological media, using the highly sensitive LC-MS/MS technique, remains the gold standard chemotaxonomic approach to fungal characterisation (Frisvad et al. 2007, 2018, Samson et al. 2019). In this study, extrolite production in solid media was examined by LC-MS/ MS in strains belonging to 20 of the 21 identified fungal species. Cultures of A. tamarii and *M. phaseolina* were not included in extrolite analysis. Whereas all compounds were quantitatively determined, aflatrem, asparason A, aspulvinone E, aurasperons, desoxypaxillin, fonsecin, nigragillin, paspalin, paspalinin, paspalitrems and tensidol B were only semi-quantified in the cultures due to lack of a quantitative standard. All the examined fungal strains/species produced at least three (Tables 1, 2) and as many as 33 compounds in A. aflatoxiformans (Table 1). Brevianamid F and cyclo(L-Pro-L-Tyr) were detected in examined cultures and cyclo(L-Pro-L-Val) was present in all except three Neocosmospora species (N. falciformis, N. ipomoeae and N. suttoniana). These three compounds, found in almost all fungal species in this study, were the only metabolites detected in cultures of *M. caribbica* and *Phoma* sp. in addition to tryptophol in *M. caribbica* (data not shown). Brevianamid F, cyclo(L-Pro-L-Tyr) and cyclo(L-Pro-L-Val) were previously reported in cultures of A. niger, A. tamarii, Paecilomyces and *Talaromyces* from cocoa beans processing in Nigeria (Akinfala et al. 2020).

#### Aspergillus metabolites

The extrolite patterns of the *Aspergillus* species, isolated and identified in this study, except *A. tamarii* which was not evaluated, are shown in Table 1. Members of the section *Flavi* produced metabolites (aflatoxins and their biosynthetic pathway precursors,

asparason A, cyclopiazonic acid, desoxypaxillin, kojic acid, kotanin A, paspalin and paspalitrems) consistent with previous reports (Frisvad et al. 2019, Uka et al. 2019, Ezekiel et al. 2020). However, some new findings are reported herein. For example, desoxypaxillin, heptelidic acid, kotanin A and paspalitrems were previously reported in A. flavus (Uka et al. 2019, Kovac et al. 2020, Ezekiel et al. 2020), but not in A. aflatoxiformans, A. austwickii and A. cerealis. Hence, we present the first report of desoxypaxillin, kotanin A (mean: 534 µg/kg) and paspalitrems in the three S-type sclerotium (minisclerotium) producing species and heptelidic acid (10.2 mg/kg) only in A. *austwickii*. In addition, averantinmethylether (9.4 µg/kg) and aspergillimide (21.3 µg/ kg) are two uncommon compounds found only in cultures of one strain of A. aflatoxiformans and A. flavus, respectively. Similar to a recent report (Ezekiel et al. 2020), two of the four A. flavus strains, examined in this study, produced sporogen AO1 (mean: 974 µg/kg), confirming the production of this compound in A. flavus. One of the four A. flavus strains, DTO 421-G6, did not produce aflatoxins, any of its pathway metabolites, cyclopiazonic acid or kojic acid, but produced cyclosporins A, B, C and H. Cyclosporin production has been reported in Aspergillus terreus (Sallam et al. 2003), Neocosmospora solani (Sawai et al. 1981) and Tolypocladium (El-Enshasy et al. 2008). It is, therefore, suggested that strain DTO 421-G6, whose origin is sorghum grain from Sabon Wuse in Niger state, may be a prospective candidate for biological control of aflatoxins in view of its inability to biosynthesise aflatoxins, its pathway metabolites and cyclopiazonic acid. The biological control product, aflasafe, commercially available for aflatoxin control in Nigeria, contains strains of A. flavus original to Niger state and which possesses a similar inability to secrete the aforementioned metabolites (Bandyopadhyay et al. 2016, 2019).

The members of Aspergillus section Nigri (A. brunneoviolaceus, A. niger, A. piperis and A. vadensis) secreted a total of 31 extrolites (Table 1). However, only three extrolites, aurasperons, nigragillin and pyrophen, were common to all four species within this section. Varga et al. (2011) placed A. brunneoviolaceus in the A. aculeatus clade, whilst A. niger, A. piperis and A. vadensis were grouped into the A. niger clade. In the present study, members of the A. niger clade shared only four (aspulvinone E, fonsecin, malformins and pyranonigrin) of the compounds. Obviously, high variability in the types of metabolites produced was recorded amongst these closely-related species (Frisvad et al. 2007, Samson et al. 2007). Strains of A. brunneoviolaceus (syn. A. fijiensis) liberated several known extrolites: aspergillimide (mean: 30.1 mg/kg), emodin (mean: 541 µg/ kg), endocrocin (mean: 23 mg/kg), iso-rhodoptilometrin (mean: 340 µg/kg), meleagrin (mean: 7.6 mg/kg), oxaline (mean: 17.3 mg/kg), paraherquamide E (mean: 6 mg/kg) and secalonic acid D (mean: 64.7 mg/kg) (Varga et al. 2011, Vesth et al. 2018, Ezekiel et al. 2020). However, citreorosein and tryprostatin B, two compounds recently reported to be produced by A. brunneoviolaceus from garri (farinated cassava) in Nigeria (Ezekiel et al. 2020), were not detected in cultures of the present strains. Nonetheless, six uncommon compounds (aurasperon C, dimethylsulochrin (mean: 1.9 mg/kg), fellutanine A (152 µg/kg), methylorsellinic acid (mean: 1.2 mg/kg), nigragillin and pyrophen (mean: 190 µg/kg)) were produced by strains examined in the present study. Of

Extrolites	Aspergillus aflatoxiformans	Aspergillus austwickii	Aspergillus brunneoviolaceus	Aspergillus cerealis	Aspergillus flavus As	spergillus niger	Aspergillus piperis	Aspergillus vadensis
3-Nitropropionic acid	+	+	ı	+	+	١	1	1
Aflatoxicol	+	ı	ı	١	+	١	ı	١
Aflatoxin B,	+	+	ı	+	+	١	ı	·
Aflatoxin $B_{2}$	+	+	ı	+	+	١	ı	١
Aflatoxin G	+	+	·	+	,	ı	ı	·
Aflatoxin G,	+	+	ı	+	ı	١	ı	ı
Aflatoxin M <sub>1</sub>	+	+	ı	+	+	١	ı	·
Aflatrem	+	+	ı	+	+	ı	١	ı
Asparason A	+	+	ı	+	+	١	ı	ı
Asperfuran	+	+	ı	+	+	ı	١	ı
Aspergillimide	ı	۱	+	ı	+	١	ı	ı
Aspulvinone E	·	١	·	·	,	+	+	+
Aurasperon B	ı	١	ı	ı	·	ı	+	+
Aurasperon C	·	١	+	·	,	١	+	+
Aurasperon G	ı	ı	ı	ı	,	١	+	+
Averantin	+	+	ı	+	+	·	ı	ı
Averantinmethylether	+	ı	ı	ı	,	١	ı	·
Averufin	+	+	ı	+	+	١	ı	ı
Brevianamid F	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Citreorosein	ı	ı	+	ı	,	١	ı	ı
cyclo(L-Pro-L-Tyr)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
cyclo(L-Pro-L-Val)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cyclopiazonsäure	+	+	ı	+	+	١	١	ı
Cyclosporin A	·	ı	·	ı	-/+	+	١	ı
Cyclosporin B	ı	ı	ı	·	-/+	+	ı	ı
Cyclosporin C	,	ı	,	١	-/+	+	ı	ı
Cyclosporin H	ı	ı	ı	ı	-/+	+	١	ı
Demethylsulochrin	ı	ı	+	١	`	١	ı	ı
Desoxypaxillin	+	+	ı	+	+	ı	ı	ı
Emodin	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	۱	ı

Table 1. Extrolite production in Aspergillus cultures.

EndocrocinFellutanine A+Fonsecin+Heptelidic acid+Iso-Rhodoptilometrin+Kojic acid+++Kojic acid+++Malformin AMalformin CMalformin CMalformin CMalformin CNidurufinNigragillinNorsolorinic acid+++Norsolorinic acidNorsolorinic acidParaherquamide EPasaplinin++Pasaplinin+Pasapliren A+Pasapliren A+	+ + ヽ ヽ + ヽ ヽ ヽ + + ヽ + ヽ +	+ + + . +	+ · + · + + + + · · · · +	+	· · +
Fellutanine AFonsecin++Heptelidic acid++Iso-Rhodoptilometrin+Kojic acid++++Konin A++++Malformin AMalformin CMalformin CMalformin CMethylorsellinic acidNidurufin++++NigragillinNorsolorinic acid+++Norsolorinic acid++Norsolorinic acidNorsolorinic acid++Paraherquamide EPasapalinin++Pasapalinin+Pasapalinin+++Pasapalinin+	+ , , + , , , , + + , + , , +	+ + + . +	· · · · + + + · · · · · +	ı + ı ı	۰ +
FonsecinFonsecinHeptelidic acid-+Iso-Rhodoptilometrin-+Kojic acid++Kotanin A++Malformin AMalformin CMalformin CMalformin CMalformin CMalformin CMalformin CMalformin CMethylorsellinic acidNidurufin++NigragillinNorsolorinic acid++Norsolorinic acid++Norsolorinic acid++O-Methylsterigmatocystin++Pasaherquamide EPasapalinin++Pasapalinin++	+ + + . + +		+ , + + + + + , , , + +	+ ' '	+
Heptelidic acid-+Iso-RhodoprilometrinKojic acid++Konin A++Malformin AMalformin CMalformin CMethylorsellinic acidNidurufin++NigragillinNorsolorinic acid++NigragillinNorsolorinic acid++Norsolorinic acid++Norsolorinic acid++Norsolorinic acid++Norsolorinic acid++Norsolorinic acid++Paraherquamide EPasapalinin++Pasapalinin++Pasapalinin++	· + · · · · + + · + · · +	+ + + . +	· + + + + + · · · + +	1 1	
Iso-RhodoptilometrinKojic acid+++Kotanin A+++Malformin AMalformin CMalformin CMethylorsellinic acidNidurufin++NigragillinNorsolorinic acid++NigragillinNorsolorinic acid++Norsolorinic acid++Norsolorinic acid++Paraherquamide EPasapalinin++Pasapalinin++	+ , , , , + + , + , , +	· + + · · · · + · +	+ + + + + · · · + +	ı	ı
Kojic acid++Kotanin A+++Malformin AMalformin CMeleagrinMethylorsellinic acid+Nidurufin+++NigragillinNisgragillinNorsolorinic acid+++Norsolorinic acid++Namer quantic EPaspalin++Paspalinin++Paspalinin++	+ + . + +	+ + 、、、、+、+、+	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		ı
Kotanin A++Malformin AMalformin CMalformin CMethylorsellinic acidMethylorsellinic acid++NidurufinNigragillinNorsolorinic acid++Norsolorinic acid++Norsolorinic acid++Norsolorinic acid++Norsolorinic acid++Norsolorinic acid++Pasaherquamide EPasapalinin++Pasapalinin++		+ ' ' ' ' + ' +	+ + + , , , + +	ı	+
Malformin A	· · + + · + · · +	+ . +	+ + , , , + +	·	+
Malformin C + Meleagrin + Methylorsellinic acid + + Nidurufin + + + + + Norsolorinic acid + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	· + + · + · · +	+ . +	+ · · · + ·	ı	ı
Meleagrin + Methylorsellinic acid + Nidurufin + + + + + Norsolorinic acid + + + + Norsolorinic acid + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + +	· · + · +	· · · + ·	+	+
Methylorsellinic acid + + + Nidurufin + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + +	· + · +	· · +	·	ı
Nidurufin + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	· + · · +	+ + +	· + + ·	+	ı
Nigragillin	+ 1 1 +	۰ +	+	·	ı
Norsolorinic acid + + +	· · +	+		+	+
O-Methylsterigmatocystin + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	۰ +		1	·	١
Oxaline + Paraherquamide E + Paspalin + + + Pasnalirrem A + +	+	+	، +	ı	١
Paraherquamide E + Paspalin + + + Paspalinin + + +		١	1	ı	١
Paspalin + + + - Paspalinin + + + Pasnalirrem A + + -	+	·	1	ı	١
Paspalinin + + + Pasnalirrem A + +	١	+	۰ +	ı	ı
Pasnalitrem A + +	ı	+	۰ +	ı	ı
	ı	+	, +	ı	١
Paspalitrem B + + +	ı	+	، +	ı	۱
Pyranonigrin	I	١	+	+	+
Pyrophen +	+	١	+	+	+
Secalonic acid D +	+	ı	1	+	١
Sporogen AO1	١	ı	۰ +	ı	ı
Sterigmatocystin + +	1	+	•	ı	ı
Tensidol B	١	ı	+	ı	ı
Versicolorin A + +	1	+	، +	ı	ı
Versicolorin C + + -	1	+	۰ +	ı	ı
Versiconal acetate + + -	١	+	1	ı	ı

all the extrolites found in cultures of the four species within the section *Nigri*, aspergillimide, dimethylsulochrin, fellutanine A, meleagrin, oxaline and paraherquamide E were specific to only *A. brunneoviolaceus*, whilst cyclosporins (A, B, C and H) and tensidol B were unique to *A. niger*. This is the first report of cyclosporin production in *A. niger*; only 2/9 of the strains, DTO 422-H5 and DTO 422-H6, were implicated here. In addition, we observed emodin (48  $\mu$ g/kg) and endocrocin (262  $\mu$ g/kg) production in only one strain (DTO 421-I7) of *A. niger*. All other compounds found in cultures of *A. niger* in the present study are known extrolites (de Vries et al. 2005, Samson et al. 2007, Nielsen et al. 2009, Perrone et al. 2011, Akinfala et al. 2020).

Two strains of *A. piperis*, screened in this study, secreted compounds agreeable to those previously documented in literature (Samson et al. 2007, Ezekiel et al. 2020). Amongst the extrolites found in the present study are those being reported here for the first time in *A. piperis*: methylorsellinic acid (mean: 1.7 mg/kg), pyrophen (mean: 50 mg/kg) and secalonic acid (24.3  $\mu$ g/kg) (Table 1). de Vries et al. (2005) examined extrolite production in one strain of *A. vadensis* and found aurasperon B, asperazine, nigragillin and a more polar kotanin-like compound. Here, we report aspulvinone E, aurasperons (B, C and G), fonsecin, kojic acid (mean: 281  $\mu$ g/kg), kotanin A (91  $\mu$ g/kg), malformin C (mean: 857  $\mu$ g/kg), nigragillin, pyranonigrin (mean: 72 mg/kg) and pyrophen (mean: 52.4 mg/kg). The complexity in small molecule chemical profiles observed in the species belonging to the section *Nigri* suggests a high degree of close-relatedness amongst these species (Samson et al. 2007, Nielsen et al. 2009, Perrone et al. 2011).

Extrolites from *Fusarium* and its related fungal species

A total of 15, 12 and 11 extrolites were found in cultures of Fusarium, Neocosmospora and Neotestudina (Table 2). With the exception of N. vasinfecta, gibepyron D production was shared by all examined strains of these three genera; higher quantities were found in cultures of *F. madaense* sp. nov. (mean: 4.9 mg/kg) and *F. thapsinum* (mean: 4.2 mg/kg). Gibepyron D is an oxidised derivative of gibepyrone A that has been reported in F. fujikuroi, F. oxysporum and F. proliferatum (Wang et al. 2011, Liu et al. 2013, Janevska et al. 2016). Thus, its production by three fungal genera suggests ancestral relatedness of a gene cluster encoding production of this compound (Janevska et al. 2016). Fumonisins (FA, (mean: 616 µg/kg), FB, (mean: 3.4 mg/kg), FB, (mean: 2 mg/kg) and FB<sub>4</sub> (mean: 1.4 mg/kg)), fusarin C (mean: 27 mg/kg) and fusarinolic acid (mean: 84,856 mg/kg) were exclusively produced by the Fusarium species examined in this study. Fumonisins were produced as expected only by *F. verticillioides*, although the cultures of two strains, DTO 421-G2 and DTO 424-H5, did not contain any of the fumonisins. Fumonisin production is a signature in this species as well as in other selected members of the FFSC not found in the present study (Makun et al. 2011, Ncube et al. 2011, de Oliveira Rocha et al. 2011, Fanelli et al. 2012, Rocha et al. 2016, Choi et al. 2018).

All the species of *Fusarium*, except *F. andiyazi*, produced fusarin C in this study. Beauvericin, bikaverin, deoxyfusapyron and fusapyron were found in cultures of certain
ed genera.
relat
ı and
Fusarium
of
cultures
Ξ.
duction
pro
Extrolite
_
0
<u> </u>

Extrolites	Fusarium andiyazi	Fusarium thapsinum	Fusarium verticillioides	Fusarium madaense sp. nov.	Neocosmospora falciformis	Neocosmospora ipomoeae	Neocosmospora suttoniana	Neocosmospora vasinfecta	Neotestudina rosatii
Beauvericin		u	1	+	1		1		+
Bikaverin	+	+	+	+	ı	ı	,	١	+
Brevianamid F	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
cyclo(L-Pro-L-Tyr)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
cyclo(L-Pro-L-Val)	+	+	+	+	ı	١	·	+	+
Cyclosporin A	ı	١	١	١	+	١	١	+	ı
Cyclosporin B	ı	۱	١	١	+	١	·	+	ı
Cyclosporin C	ı	١	١	١	+	١	١	+	ı
Cyclosporin D	ı	١	ı	ı	+	١	١	+	ı
Cyclosporin H	ı	ı	١	ı	+	١	١	+	ı
Deoxyfusapyron	+	ı	١	+	ı	١	ı	١	+
Fumonisin A <sub>1</sub>	١	۱	+	ı	ı	١	١	١	ı
Fumonisin B	·	١	+	١	ı	١	·	١	ı
Fumonisin B <sub>2</sub>	١	۱	+	١	ı	١	·	۱	ı
Fumonisin $B_{3}$	١	ı	+	,	·	ı	ŀ	ı	ı
Fusapyron	+	ı	١	+	ı	١	ı	١	+
Fusaric acid	+	+	+	+	ı	١	١	+	+
Fusarin C	·	+	+	+	ı	١	·	١	ı
Fusarinolic acid	+	+	+	+	ı	١	·	۱	ı
Gibepyron D	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Radicicol	·	١	١	١	ı	١	·	+	ı
Sulochrin	ı	١	١	١	ı	١	ŀ	١	+
Tryptophol	ı	١	ı	١	+	١	+	,	+

species of *Fusarium*, *Neocosmospora* and *Neotestudina*. Specifically, *F. madaense* sp. nov. and *N. rosatii* produced all four aforementioned compounds together with the other three species of *Fusarium* for bikaverin and *F. andiyazi* for deoxyfusapyron and fusapyron. The immunosuppressant cyclosporins [A (mean: 42.2 mg/kg), B (mean: 27.3 mg/ kg), C (mean: 29.9 mg/kg), D (mean: 4.6 mg/kg) and H (mean: 32.9 mg/kg)] were specific to *Neocosmospora* and were found only in cultures of *N. falciformis* and *N. vasinfecta*. Radicicol (323 mg/kg) and sulochrin (1.8 mg/kg) were found in one strain of *N. vasinfecta* and *N. rosatii*, respectively. Based on the recorded chemical profiles in this study, the three studied genera are closely related chemotaxonomically. However, *F. madaense* sp. nov. and *N. rosatii* seem to be more closely related than the other species. This is the first chemotaxonomic profiling of *F. madaense* sp. nov., *Meyerozyma*, *Neocosmospora* and *Neotestudina*.

Phylogenetic analyses of *Fusarium* and *Neocosmospora* and description of a novel *Fusar-ium* species

A first phylogenetic analysis, based on partial *RPB2* sequences, was conducted to identify Nigerian isolates morphologically compatible with *Fusarium* and *Neocosmospora* spp. (Fig. 3). The analysis included 659 positions of 78 isolates, including the two outgroup taxa (*Fusicolla aquaeductuum* NRRL 20686 and *Fusicolla* sp. NRRL 22136), of which 284 bp were constant sites, 375 bp were variable and 336 bp were parsimonyinformative. The ingroup taxa included representative isolates of 40 species from 17 species complexes of *Fusarium* and seven species of *Neocosmospora*. Four isolates (CBS 146648, 146651, 146656 and 146669) clustered in a partially supported, putative novel clade, closely related to *F. andiyazi*; the latter taxon, however, clustered in an unresolved phylogenetic position.

To further determine the relationship between the putative novel clade and F. andiyazi, a second analysis was conducted which encompassed 4456 positions of five loci (BenA 525 bp, CaM 545 bp, RPB1 978 bp, RPB2 1 735 bp and TEF-1a 673 bp), of which 3417 were constant (BenA 406 bp, CaM 421 bp, RPB1 777 bp, RPB2 1 379 bp and TEF-1a 434 bp), 1018 were variable (BenA 118 bp, CaM 120 bp, RPB1 201 bp, RPB2 356 bp and TEF-1a 223 bp) and 614 were parsimony informative (BenA 64 bp, CaM 63 bp, RPB1 132 bp, RPB2 236 bp and TEF-1a 119 bp). The final alignment included 44 isolates, representing 35 Fusarium spp. from the three biogeographical phylogenetic clades of FFSC (African, American and Asian clades, O'Donnell et al. 1998) plus two outgroups (F. oxysporum NRRL 20433 and NRRL 22902) (Fig. 4). The multi-locus phylogeny confirmed the previous results. The putative novel clade (CBS 146648, 146651, 146656 and 146669) was resolved as a fully supported phylogenetic lineage (MP BS = 100, ML BS = 100), sister to a moderately-supported clade (MP BS 97, ML BS 100), encompassing the ex-type strain of F. andiyazi (CBS 119857), plus four additional representative isolates of the latter species, two of them (CBS 146647 and 146657) being obtained in this study. The novel phylogenetic lineage is here recognised as Fusarium madaense sp. nov.



**Figure 3.** The first of 1000 equally parsimonious trees obtained from Maximum-Parsimony (MP) analysis of *RPB2* sequences of 76 isolates of *Fusarium* and *Neocosmospora* spp. Numbers on the nodes are MP bootstrap values (BS) and Maximum-Likelihood BS values above 70%. Branch lengths are proportional to distance. Ex-type and ex-epitype strains are indicated with <sup>T</sup> and <sup>ET</sup>, respectively. The names of 17 species complexes of *Fusarium* are shown in grey. Nigerian isolates obtained in this study are shown in red together with their geographical origin and source of isolation. The internal square shows MP statistics as follows: TL = tree length, CI = consistency index, RI = retention index and HI = homoplasy index.



**Figure 4.** The first of 24 equally parsimonious trees obtained from Maximum-Parsimony (MP) analysis of *BenA, CaM, RPB1, RPB2* and *TEF-1a* sequences of 42 isolates of *Fusarium* spp. Numbers on the nodes are MP bootstrap values (BS) and Maximum-Likelihood BS values above 70%. Branch lengths are proportional to distance. Ex-type strains are indicated with <sup>T</sup>. Strains corresponding to new species described here are shown in **bold**. The internal square shows MP statistics as follows: TL = tree length, CI = consistency index, RI = retention index and HI = homoplasy index.

#### Taxonomy

*Fusarium madaense* Ezekiel, Sand.-Den., Houbraken & Crous, sp. nov. MycoBank No: MB835266 Figure 5

**Diagnosis.** Different from *F. thapsinum* by the absence of napiform microconidia. Different from *F. andiyazi*, *F. thapsinum* and *F. verticillioides* by its lighter colony pig-

mentation, growth rates, microconidial septation, presence of true chlamydospores and secondary metabolite patterns.

**Type.** Nigeria, Nasarawa, Mada Station, from groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*), Sep. 2018, C.N. Ezekiel, holotype CBS H-24346, ex-holotype strain CBS 146669 = CPC 38344 = 12B(3)2.

**Description.** Colonies grown in the dark at 24°C. On MEA and PDA with an average radial growth rate of 5.9–6.5 mm/d and filling an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d. Surface white to pale rosy buff, flat, velvety to felty with abundant patches of white aerial mycelium; margin regular, filiform. Reverse pale saffron to peach, a pale bay diffusible pigment can be scarcely produced. On OA, occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d. Surface white to pale rosy buff, flat, velvety to felty with abundant patches of white aerial mycelium; margin regular. Reverse pale luteous to saffron. On SNA, reaching 24–25 mm diam. in 7 d. Surface white, velvety, with scarce aerial mycelium, margins filiform. Reverse white.

Conidiophores on aerial mycelium straight, erect, septate, smooth- and thin-walled, commonly simple or reduced to conidiogenous cells, borne laterally on hyphae or laterally branched at various levels, bearing terminal single monophialides; phialides subulate to subcylindrical, smooth- and thin-walled, (17-)25.5-39.5 µm long, (2-)2.5-3.5 µm at widest point, periclinal thickening and collarettes inconspicuous or absent; *microconidia* hyaline, clavate, smooth- and thin-walled, 0-3-septate,  $(7-)9-15(-21) \times$ (2-)2.5-4(-5) µm, arranged in long chains at the tip of monophialides. Sporodochia pale to bright orange, formed abundantly on the surface of carnation leaves and on agar surface. Conidiophores in sporodochia, 21-60 µm tall, simple or irregularly and verticillately branched, bearing terminal, single monophialides or groups up 2-3 monophialides; sporodochial phialides doliiform to subcylindrical, (10.5–)13–18(–20.5) × (2.5-)3-4(-4.5) µm, smooth- and thin-walled, with conspicuous periclinal thickening and an often short apical collarette. Sporodochial conidia lunate to falcate, tapering towards apical and basal ends, moderately curved dorsiventrally or with an almost straight ventral part; apical cell more or less equally sized than the adjacent cell, apically slightly elongated to papillate; basal cell distinctly notched, (0-)1-5(-6)-septate, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled. Aseptate conidia:  $(38-)38.5-42(-44) \times 3.5-4.5 \ \mu m$ ; one-septate conidia:  $(37.5-)40-48(-53) \times 3.5-4(-4.5) \mu m$ ; two-septate conidia:  $43 \times 3.5-4(-5.5) \mu m$ ; two-septate conidia:  $43 \times 3.5-4(-5.5) \mu m$ ; two-s 3.7  $\mu$ m; three-septate conidia: (29–)38–48.5(–61.5) × (3–)4–4.5(–5)  $\mu$ m; four-septate conidia:  $(45-)46.5-54(-59) \times (3.5-)4-4.5(-5) \mu m$ ; five-septate conidia: 47.5-55.5(-5)60) × 4–4.5  $\mu$ m; six-septate conidia: 55.5 × 4.5  $\mu$ m; overall (29–)38.5–50(–61.5) × (3-)4-4.5(-5) µm. Chlamydospores present on MEA, PDA and SNA, globose to subglobose, hyaline, smooth and thick-walled, (6-)6.5-8.5(-10) µm diam., terminal or intercalary in the aerial hyphae, solitary or in chains

#### Distribution. Nigeria.

**Etymology.** Name refers to Mada Station, a locality in Nasarawa State, Nigeria, where the species was found.

Additional isolates examined. Nigeria, Mada Station, from groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*), Sept 2018, C.N. Ezekiel, CBS 146648 = CPC 38321 = 12B(3), CBS 146656 = CPC 38330 = 12B(5); from sorghum, Jan 2019, C.N. Ezekiel, CBS 146651 = CPC 38324 = 7S(6).



**Figure 5.** *Fusarium madaense* sp. nov. (ex-type culture CBS 146669). **A–C** aspect of colonies on PDA, OA and SNA, respectively, after 14 d at 24°C in the dark **D** colony reverse on OA (up) and PDA (down) after 14 d at 24 °C in the dark **E–G**, **J** aerial conidiophores and phialides **H**, **I** sporodochia formed on the surface of carnation leaves **K**, **L** sporodochial conidiophores **M**, **N** chlamydospores **O**, **P** microconidia **Q** sporodochial conidia. Scale bars: 100 μm (**H**, **I**); 20 μm (**J**); 10 μm (all others).

Notes. Although clearly recognisable based on genetic markers, Fusarium madaense is hardly distinguishable from its closer relatives, based on morphological features only. The novel species is characterised by abundant long, slender and slightly curved macroconidia, a morphology typical of the FFSC. The overall morphology of *F. madaense* is similar to that of *F. andiyazi*, *F. thapsinum* and *F. verticillioides*; all species are characterised by clavate microconidia formed in long chains from relatively long monophialides. Moreover, the four mentioned species are known to be pathogenic on sorghum (Leslie and Summerell 2006) and have been isolated here from the same geographical regions. Nevertheless, some morphological features of F. madaense can provide an indication of its identity. These include a pale saffron colony pigmentation on OA and PDA, not developing the intense purple colour typical of *F. andiyazi* and *F. verticil*lioides, nor the yellow pigmentation of F. thapsinum; the presence of up to 3-septate clavate microconidia vs. the up to 2-septate and aseptate microconidia of F. andiyazi and F. verticillioides, respectively; and the aseptate, but also rarely napiform microconidia of F. thapsinum (Nirenberg 1976, Klittich et al. 1997, Marasas et al. 2001). In addition, F. madaense can be differentiated from F. andiyazi, its closest morphological and phylogenetic relative, by its slightly faster growth rates on PDA, somewhat wider macroconidia and the presence of true chlamydospores.

The proposal of the novel species *F. madaense* and its differentiation from *F. andiyazi*, *F. thapsinum* and *F. verticillioides* is also supported by secondary metabolite profiling of all the above-mentioned species, as found in this study. *Fusarium madaense* was the only beauvericin-producing species in our dataset. Nevertheless, it has been reported that *F. verticillioides* strains can produce trace levels of this toxin (Leslie et al. 2004, Leslie and Summerell 2006). The alpha-pyrones deoxyfusapyron and fusapyron were produced only by *F. madaense* and its closest relative *F. andiyazi*; by contrast, fusarin C was produced by *F. madaense*, *F. thapsinum* and *F. verticillioides*, but not by *F. andiyazi*.

# Conclusions

We have shown the importance of applying robust taxonomic approaches to fungal characterisation in this study. Here, diverse fungal species, including those not previously reported from Nigerian food and soil, as well as a novel *Fusarium* species, *F. madaense* sp. nov., were identified and described. Several of these species possess mycotoxigenic, as well as plant, human and animal pathogenic, potential. We further elucidated the secondary metabolite profiles of strains within the identified fungal species. A handful of small molecule compounds were found in the cultures of the strains, including several compounds not previously reported from some strains; a few could serve as species-specific chemotaxonomic markers. Overall, we provide snapshot data on the fungal biodiversity in two north-central Nigerian states. The findings of this study are valuable to guide researchers to predict mycotoxin contamination of crops/food and possible sources of fungal infections in humans and animals, as well as to find, where unavailable and implement where available, strategies towards the control of problematic fungi and the adverse effects they may pose.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Oluwaseun T. Ojuri, Oluwabunmi Ogunniran and Dr. Isaac M. Ogara for being helpful during sampling and isolation of fungi. CN Ezekiel deeply appreciates the International Foundation of Sciences (Sweden) for partly supporting this study through an Individual Grant (Number: I-3-E-6046-1).

# References

- Abdusalaam R, Atanda O, Fanelli F, Sulyok M, Cozzi G, Bavaro S, Krska R, Logrieco AF, Ezekiel CN, Salami WA (2016) Fungal isolates and metabolites in locally processed rice from five agro-ecological zones of Nigeria. Food Additives & Contaminants: Part B 9(4): 281–289. https://doi.org/10.1080/19393210.2016.1215354
- Abdus-Salaam R, Fanelli F, Atanda O, Sulyok M, Cozzi G, Bavaro S, Krska R, Logrieco AF, Ezekiel CN (2015) Fungal and bacterial metabolites associated with natural contamination of locally processed rice (Oryza sativa L.) in Nigeria. Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A 32(6): 950–959. https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2015.1027880
- Adebajo LO, Idowu AA, Adesanya OO (1994) Mycoflora and mycotoxins production in Nigerian corn and corn-based snacks. Mycopathologia 126(3): 183–192. https://doi. org/10.1007/BF01103774
- Adejumo TO, Hettwer U, Karlovsky P (2007) Occurrence of Fusarium species and trichothecenes in Nigerian maize. International Journal of Food Microbiology 116(3): 350– 357. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.02.009
- Adetunji MC, Atanda OO, Ezekiel CN, Sulyok M, Warth B, Beltrán E, Krska R, Obadina O, Bakare A, Chilaka CA (2014) Fungal and bacterial metabolites of stored maize (Zea mays L.) from five agro-ecological zones of Nigeria. Mycotoxin Research 30: 89–102. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12550-014-0194-2
- Afolabi CG, Bandyopadhyay R, Leslie JF, Ekpo EJ (2006) Effect of sorting on incidence and occurrence of fumonisins and *Fusarium verticillioides* on maize from Nigeria. Journal of Food Protection 69(8): 2019–2023. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-69.8.2019
- Akinfala TO, Houbraken J, Sulyok M, Adedeji AR, Odebode AC, Krska R, Ezekiel CN (2020) Moulds and their secondary metabolites associated with the fermentation and storage of two cocoa bean hybrids in Nigeria. International Journal of Food Microbiology 316: 108490. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108490
- Amusa NA, Okechukwu R, Akinfenwa B (2007) Reactions of cowpea to infection by Macrophomina phaseolina isolates from leguminous plants in Nigeria. African Journal of Agricultural Research 2(3): 73–75.
- Atehnkeng J, Ojiambo PS, Donner M, Ikotun T, Sikora RA, Cotty PJ, Bandyopadhyay R (2008) Distribution and toxigenicity of Aspergillus species isolated from maize kernels from three agro-ecological zones in Nigeria. International Journal of Food Microbiology 122: 74–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.11.062

- Avery SV, Singleton I, Magan N, Goldman GH (2019) The fungal threat to global food security. Fungal Biology. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2019.03.006
- Bandyopadhyay R, Atehnkeng J, Ortega-Beltran A, Akande A, Falade TDO, Cotty PJ (2019) "Ground-truthing" efficacy of biological control for aflatoxin mitigation in farmers' fields in Nigeria: From field trials to commercial usage, a 10-year study. Frontiers in Microbiology 10: 2528. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02528
- Bandyopadhyay R, Ortega-Beltran A, Akande A, Mutegi C, Atehnkeng J, Kaptoge L, Senghor AL, Adhikari BN, Cotty PJ (2016) Biological control of aflatoxins in Africa: current status and potential challenges in the face of climate change. World Mycotoxin Journal 9: 771–789. https://doi.org/10.3920/WMJ2016.2130
- Bankole S, Schollenberger M, Drochner W (2006). Mycotoxins in food systems in sub-Saharan Africa. Mycotoxin Research 22: 163169. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02959270
- Bankole SA, Mabekoje OO, Enikuomehin OA (2003) Fusarium moniliforme and fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> in stored maize from Ogun state, Nigeria. Tropical Science 43: 76–79. https://doi. org/10.1002/ts.93
- Bautista-Rosales PU, Calderon-Santoyo M, Servín-Villegas R, Ochoa-Álvarez NA, Ragazzo-Sánchez JA (2013) Action mechanisms of the yeast *Meyerozyma caribbica* for the control of the phytopathogen *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* in mangoes. Biological Control 65: 293–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2013.03.010
- Baylet R, Camain R, Chabal J, Izarn R (1968) Recent contribution to the study of mycetoma in Senegal. *Neotestudina rosatii. Pyrenochaeta romeroi, Aspergillus nidulans*. Bulletin of the French-speaking Black African Medical Society 13: 311–313.
- Chala A, Degefu T, Brurberg MB (2019) Phylogenetically diverse *Fusarium* species associated with sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) and finger millet (Eleusine coracana L. Garten) grains from Ethiopia. Diversity 11(6): 93. https://doi.org/10.3390/d11060093
- Chen Q, Hou LW, Duan WJ, Crous PW, Cai L (2017) Didymellaceae revisited. Studies in Mycology 87: 105–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2017.06.002
- Chen Q, Jiang JR, Zhang GZ, Cai L, Crous PW (2015) Resolving the *Phoma* enigma. Studies in Mycology 82: 137–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2015.10.003
- Choi J-H, Lee S, Nah J-Y, Kim H-K, Paek J-S, Lee S, Ham H, Hong SK, Yun S-H, Lee T (2018) Species composition of and fumonisin production by the *Fusarium fujikuroi* species complex isolated from Korean cereals. International Journal of Food Microbiology 267: 62–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.12.006
- Crous PW, Verkley GJM, Groenewald JZ, Houbraken J (2019) Westerdijk Laboratory Manual Series 1: Fungal Biodiversity. Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- De Oliveira Rocha L, Reis GM, da Silva VN, Braghini R, Teixeira MMG, Corrêa B (2011) Molecular characterization and fumonisin production by *Fusarium verticillioides* isolated from corn grains of different geographic origins in Brazil. International Journal of Food Microbiology 145(1): 9–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.11.001
- de Vries RP, Frisvad JC, van de Vondervoort PJI, Burgers K, Kuijpers AFA, Samson RA, Visser J (2005) *Aspergillus vadensis*, a new species of the group of black Aspergilli. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 87: 195–203. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-004-3194-y

- Destombes P, Mariat F, Rosati L, Segretain G (1977) Mycetoma in Somalia results of a survey done from 1959 to 1964. Acta Tropica 34(4): 355–373.
- Diedhiou PM, Bandyopadhyay R, Atehnkeng J, Ojiambo P (2011) *Aspergillus* colonization and aflatoxin contamination of maize and sesame kernels in two agro-ecological zones in Senegal. Journal of Phytopathology 159: 268–275. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0434.2010.01761.x
- Dignani MC, Anaissie E (2004) Human fusariosis. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 10: 67–75. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1470-9465.2004.00845.x
- Donner M, Atehnkeng J, Sikora RA, Bandyopadhyay R, Cotty PJ (2009) Distribution of Aspergillus section Flavi in soils of maize fields in three agroecological zones of Nigeria. Soil Biology & Chemistry 41: 37–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.09.013
- El-Enshasy H, Abdel-Fattah Y, Atta A, Anwar M, Omar H, Abou El Magd S, Abou Zahra R (2008) Kinetics of cell growth and cyclosporin A production by *Tolypocladium inflatum* when scaling up from shake flask to bioreactor. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 18(1): 128–134.
- European Commission (2002) Commission Decision 2002/657 of 12 August 2002 implementing Council Directive 96/23/EC concerning the performance of analytical methods and the interpretation of results. Official Journal of the European Union L221: 8–36. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/
- Ezekiel CN, Ortega-Beltran A, Oyedeji EO, Atehnkeng J, Kössler P, Tairu F, Hoeschle-Zeledon I, Karlovsky P, Cotty PJ, Bandyopadhyay R (2019) Aflatoxin in chili peppers in Nigeria: Extent of contamination and control using atoxigenic *Aspergillus flavus* genotypes as biocontrol agents. Toxins 11(7): 429. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11070429
- Ezekiel CN, Oyedele OA, Kraak B, Ayeni KI, Sulyok M, Houbraken J, Krska R (2020) Fungal diversity and mycotoxins in low moisture content ready-to-eat foods in Nigeria. Frontiers in Microbiology https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00615
- Ezekiel CN, Sulyok M, Babalola DA, Warth B, Ezekiel VC, Krska, R (2013a) Incidence and consumer awareness of toxigenic *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* and aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in peanut cake from Nigeria. Food Control 30: 596–601. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.07.048
- Ezekiel CN, Sulyok M, Frisvad JC, Somorin YM, Warth B, Houbraken J, Samson RA, Krska R, Odebode AC (2013b). Fungal and mycotoxin assessment of dried edible mushroom in Nigeria. International Journal of Food Microbiology 162: 231–236. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.01.025
- Ezekiel CN, Sulyok M, Somorin Y, Odutayo FI, Nwabekee SU, Balogun AT, Krska R (2016) Mould and mycotoxin exposure assessment of melon and bush mango seeds, two common soup thickeners consumed in Nigeria. International Journal of Food Microbiology 237: 83–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.08.019
- Ezekiel CN, Udom IE, Frisvad JC, Adetunji MC, Houbraken J, Fapohunda SO, Samson RA, Atanda OO, Agi-Otto MC, Onashile OA (2014) Assessment of aflatoxigenic Aspergillus and other fungi in millet and sesame from Plateau state, Nigeria. Mycology 5(1): 16–22. https://doi.org/10.1080/21501203.2014.889769
- Fandohan P, Gnonlonfin B, Hell K, Marasas WFO, Wingfield MJ (2005) Natural occurrence of *Fusarium* and subsequent fumonisin contamination in preharvest and stored maize in Benin, West Africa. International Journal of Food Microbiology 99: 173–183. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2004.08.012

- Fanelli F, Schmidt-Heydt M, Haidukowski M, Susca A, Geisen R, Logrieco A, Mulè G (2012) Influence of light on growth, conidiation and fumonisin production by *Fusarium verticillioides*. Fungal Biology 116(2): 241–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2011.11.007
- Fapohunda SO, Moore GG, Ganiyu OT, Beltz SB (2012) Toxigenic Aspergillus flavus and other fungi of public health concern in food and organic matter in southwest Nigeria. Mycology 3(3): 210–219.
- Fisher NL, Burguess LW, Toussoun TA, Nelson PE (1982) Carnation leaves as a substrate and for preserving cultures of *Fusarium* species. Phytopathology 72: 151–153. https://doi. org/10.1094/Phyto-72-151
- Filtenborg O, Frisvad JC, Svendsen JA (1983) Simple screening method for moulds producing intracellular mycotoxins in pure cultures. Applied Environmental Microbiology 45: 581–585. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.45.2.581-585.1983
- Frisvad JC, Larsen TO, de Vries R, Meijer M, Houbraken J, Cabañes FJ, Ehrlich K, Samson RA (2007) Secondary metabolite profiling, growth profiles and other tools for species recognition and important *Aspergillus* mycotoxins. Studies in Mycology 59: 31–37. https://doi. org/10.3114/sim.2007.59.04
- Frisvad JC, Samson RA (2004) Polyphasic taxonomy of *Penicillium* subgenus *Penicillium*. A guide to identification of food and air-borne terverticillate Penicillia and their mycotoxins. Studies in Mycology 49: 1–174.
- Frisvad JC, Hubka V, Ezekiel CN, Hong S-B, Novakova A, Chen AJ, Arzanlou M, Larsen TO, Sklenar F, Mahakamchanakul W, Samson RA, Houbraken J (2019) Taxonomy of *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* and their production of aflatoxins, ochratoxins and other mycotoxins. Studies in Mycology 93: 1–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2018.06.001
- Frisvad JC, Møller LLH, Larsen TO, Kumar R, Arnau J (2018) Safety of the fungal workhorses of industrial biotechnology: update on the mycotoxin and secondary metabolite potential of *Aspergillus niger*, *Aspergillus oryzae*, and *Trichoderma reesei*. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 102: 9481–9515. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9354-1
- Garcia RR, Min Z, Narasimhan S, Bhanot N (2015) *Fusarium* brain abscess: case report and literature review. Mycoses 58: 22–26. https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.12271
- Groenewald JZ, Nakashima C, Nishikawa J, Shin H-D, Park J-H, Jama AN, Groenewald M, Braun U, Crous PW (2013) Species concepts in *Cercospora*: spotting the weeds among the roses. Studies in Mycology 75: 115–170. https://doi.org/10.3114/sim0012
- Groenewald M, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW (2005) Distinct species exist within the *Cercospora apii* morphotype. Phytopathology 95: 951–959. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHY-TO-95-0951
- Houbraken J, Frisvad JC, Samson RA (2011) Taxonomy of *Penicillium* section *Citrina*. Studies in Mycology 70: 53–138. https://doi.org/10.3114/sim.2011.70.02
- Houbraken J, Frisvad JC, Seifert KA, Overy DP, Tuthill DM, Valdez JG, Samson RA (2012) New penicillin-producing *Penicillium* species and an overview of section *Chrysogena*. Persoonia 29: 78–100. https://doi.org/10.3767/003158512X660571
- Janevska S, Arndt B, Niehaus E-M, Burkhardt I, Rosler SM, Brock NL, Humpf H-U, Dickschat JS and Tudzynski B (2016) Gibepyrone biosynthesis in the rice pathogen *Fusarium fujikuroi* is facilitated by a small polyketide synthase gene cluster. Journal of Biological Chemistry 291(53): 27403–27420. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.753053

- Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD (2017) MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. Briefings in Bioinformatics: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx108
- Khan AN, Shair F, Malik K, Hayat Z, Khan MA, Hafeez FY and Hassan MN (2017) Molecular identification and genetic characterization of *Macrophomina phaseolina* strains causing pathogenicity on sunflower and chickpea. Frontiers in Microbiology 8: 1309. https://doi. org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01309
- Klittich CJR, Leslie JF, Nelson PE, Marasas WFO (1997) Fusarium thapsinum (Gibberella thapsina): A new species in section Liseola from sorghum. Mycologia 89(4): 643–652. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.1997.12026829
- Kovac T, Borisev I, Kovac M, Loncaric A, Kenjeric FC, Djordjevic A, Strelec I, Ezekiel CN, Sulyok M, Krska R, Sarkanj B (2020) Impact of fullerol C60(OH)24 nanoparticles on the production of emerging toxins by *Aspergillus flavus*. Scientific Reports 10: 725. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-020-57706-3
- Leslie JF, Summerell BA (2006) The *Fusarium* laboratory manual. Blackwell Publishing, Ames. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470278376
- Leslie JF, Zeller KA, Logrieco A, Mulè G, Moretti A, Ritieni A (2004) Species diversity and toxin production by strains in the *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex isolated from native prairie grasses in Kansas. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 70: 2254–2262. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.4.2254-2262.2004
- Leyva-Madrigal KY, Larralde-Corona CP, Apodaca-Sánchez MA, Quiroz-Figueroa FR, Mexia-Bolaños PA, Portillo-Valenzuela S, Ordaz-Ochoa J, Maldonado-Mendoza, IE (2014) *Fusarium* species from the *Fusarium fujikuroi* species complex involved in mixed infections of maize in Northern Sinaloa, Mexico. Journal of Phytopathology 163(6): 486–497. https://doi.org/10.1111/jph.12346
- Liu D, Li X, Li C, Wang B (2013) Sesterterpenes and 2H-pyran-2-ones (=α-pyrones) from the mangrove-derived endophytic fungus *Fusarium proliferatum* MA-84. Helvetica Chimica Acta 96: 437–444. https://doi.org/10.1002/hlca.201200195
- Makun HA, Dutton MF, Njobeh PB, Phoku JZ, Yah CS (2011) Incidence, phylogeny and mycotoxigenic potentials of fungi isolated from rice in Niger state, Nigeria. Journal of Food Safety 31: 334–349. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4565.2011.00305.x
- Makun HA, Gbodi TA, Akanya OH, Salako EA, Ogbadu GH (2009) Fungi and some mycotoxins found in mouldy sorghum in Niger state, Nigeria. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences 5(1): 5–17.
- Marasas WFO, Rheeder JP, Lamprecht SC, Zeller KA, Leslie JF (2001) Fusarium andiyazi sp. nov., a new species from sorghum. Mycologia 93: 1203–1210. https://doi.org/10.1080/0 0275514.2001.12063254
- Mason-Gamer R, Kellogg E (1996) Testing for phylogenetic conflict among molecular datasets in the tribe Triticeae (Graminae). Systematic Biology 45: 524–545. https://doi. org/10.1093/sysbio/45.4.524
- Marley PS, Marasas WFO, Hester V (2004) Occurrence of *Fusarium andiyazi* associated with sorghum in Nigeria. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection 37(3): 177–181. https://doi.org/10.1080/03235400410001701667

- Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T (2012) The CIPRES science gateway: enabling high-impact science for phylogenetics researchers with limited resources. In: Proceedings of the 1st Conference of the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment: Bridging from the extreme to the campus and beyond, Association for Computing Machinery, Chicago, USA, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1145/2335755.2335836
- Moussa TAA, Al-Zahrani HS, Kadasa NMS, Ahmed SA, de Hoog GS, Al-Hatmi AMS (2017) Two new species of the *Fusarium fujikuroi* species complex isolated from the natural environment. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 110(6): 819–832. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-017-0855-1
- Ncube E, Flett BC, Waalwijk C, Viljoen A (2011) Fusarium spp. and levels of fumonisins in maize produced by subsistence farmers in South Africa. South African Journal of Science 107(1/2): 1–7. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajs.v107i1/2.367
- Nielsen KF, Mogensen JM, Johansen M, Larsen TO, Frisvad JC (2009) Review of secondary metabolites and mycotoxins from the *Aspergillus niger* group. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry 395: 1225–1242. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-009-3081-5
- Nirenberg H (1976) Untersuchungen über die morphologische und biologische Differenzierung in der *Fusarium*-Section *Liseola*. Mitteilungen der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft. 169: 1–117.
- O'Donnell K, Kistler HC, Cigelnik E, Ploetz RC (1998) Multiple evolutionary origins of the fungus causing Panama disease of banana: concordant evidence from nuclear and mitochondrial gene genealogies. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 95: 2044–2049. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.5.2044
- O'Donnell K, Sutton DA, Fothergill A, McCarthy D, Rinaldi MG, Brandt ME, Zhang N, Geiser DM (2008) Molecular phylogenetic diversity, multilocus haplotype nomenclature, and in vitro antifungal resistance within the *Fusarium solani* species complex. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 46: 2477–2490. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02371-07
- O'Donnell K, Sutton DA, Rinaldi MG, Gueidan C, Crous PW, Geiser DM (2009) Novel multilocus sequence typing scheme reveals high genetic diversity of human pathogenic members of the *Fusarium incarnatum F. equiseti* and *F. chlamydosporum* species complexes within the United States. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 47: 3851–3861. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01616-09
- O'Donnell K, Sutton DA, Rinaldi MG, Sarver BA, Balajee SA, Schroers HJ, Summerbell RC, Robert VA, Crous PW, Zhang N, Aoki T, Jung K, Park J, Lee YH, Kang S, Park B, Geiser DM (2010) Internet-accessible DNA sequence database for identifying fusaria from human and animal infections. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 48: 3708–3718. https://doi. org/10.1128/JCM.00989-10
- O'Donnell K, Sutton DA, Wiederhold N, Robert VARG, Crous PW, Geiser DM (2016) Veterinary fusarioses within the United States. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 54: 2813–2819. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01607-16
- O'Donnell K, Ward TJ, Robert VARG, Crous PW, Geiser DM, Kang S (2015) DNA sequencebased identification of Fusarium: current status and future directions. Phytoparasitica 43: 583–595. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12600-015-0484-z
- Oladimeji A, Balogun AS, Shittu TB (2012) Screening of cowpea genotypes for resistance of *Macrophomina phaseolina* infection using two methods of inoculation. Asian Journal of Plant Pathology 6: 13–18. https://doi.org/10.3923/ajppaj.2012.13.18

- Oyedele OA, Ezekiel CN, Sulyok M, Adetunji MC, Warth B, Atanda OO, Krska R (2017) Mycotoxin risk assessment for consumers of groundnut in domestic markets in Nigeria. International Journal of Food Microbiology 251: 24–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.03.020
- Pena GA, Cavaglieri LR, Chulze SN (2018) *Fusarium* species and moniliformin occurrence in sorghum grains used as ingredient for animal feed in Argentina. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 99(1): 47–54. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.9140
- Perrone G, Stea G, Epifani F, Varga J, Frisvad JC, Samson RA (2011) Aspergillus niger contains the cryptic phylogenetic species A. awamori. Fungal Biological 115: 1138–1150. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2011.07.008
- Pitt JI, Hocking AD (2009) Fungi and Food Spoilage. Springer, London. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-0-387-92207-2
- Prange A, Modrow H, Hormes J, Krämer J, Köhler P (2005) Influence of mycotoxin producing fungi (*Fusarium, Aspergillus, Penicillium*) on gluten proteins during suboptimal storage of wheat after harvest and competitive interactions between field and storage fungi. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 53(17): 6930–6938. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf050821t
- Probst C, Bandyopadhyay R, Cotty PJ (2014) Diversity of aflatoxin-producing fungi and their impact on food safety in sub-Saharan Africa. International Journal of Food Microbiology 174: 113–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.12.010
- Rocha LO, Barroso VM, Andrade LJ, Pereira GHA, Ferreira-Castro FL, Duarte AP, Michelotto MD, Correa B (2016) FUM gene expression profile and fumonisin production by *Fusarium verticillioides* inoculated in Bt and non-Bt maize. Frontiers in Microbiology 6: 1503. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01503
- Sallam LAR, El-Refai AH, Hamdy AA, El-Minofi HA, Abdel-Salam IS (2003) Role of some fermentation parameters on cyclosporine A production by a new isolate of *Aspergillus terreus*. Journal of General and Applied Microbiology 49: 321–328. https://doi.org/10.2323/jgam.49.321
- Samson RA, Hoekstra ES, Frisvad JS, Filtenborg O (1995) Methods for the detection and isolation of food-borne fungi. In: Samson RA, Hoekstra ES, Frisvad JC, Filtenborg O (Eds) Introduction to Foodborne Fungi. Centraal Bureau voor Schimmel cultures, The Netherlands, 235–242.
- Samson RA, Houbraken J, Thrane U, Frisvad JC, Andersen B (2019) Food and indoor fungi. CBS laboratory manual Series 2, second edition. Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht.
- Samson RA, Houbraken J, Spierenburg H, Seifert KA, Peterson SW, Varga J, Frisvad JC (2011) Phylogeny and nomenclature of the genus *Talaromyces* and taxa accommodated in *Penicillium* subgenus *Biverticillium*. Studies in Mycology 70: 159–189. https://doi.org/10.3114/ sim.2011.70.04
- Samson RA, Noonim P, Meijer M, Houbraken J, Frisvad JC, Varga J (2007) Diagnostic tools to identify black aspergilli. Studies in Mycology 59: 129–145. https://doi.org/10.3114/ sim.2007.59.13
- Samson RA, Visagie CM, Houbraken J, Hong S–B, Hubka V, Klaassen CHW, Perrone G, Seifert KA, Susca A, Tanney JB, Varga J, Kocsube S, Szigeti G, Yaguchi T, Frisvad JC (2014) Phylogeny, identification and nomenclature of the genus *Aspergillus*. Studies in Mycology 78: 141–173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2014.07.004

- Sandoval-Denis M, Crous PW (2018) Removing chaos from confusion: assigning names to common human and animal pathogens in *Neocosmospora*. Persoonia 41: 109–129. https:// doi.org/10.3767/persoonia.2018.41.06
- Sandoval-Denis M, Guarnaccia V, Polizzi G, Crous PW (2018) Symptomatic Citrus trees reveal a new pathogenic lineage in *Fusarium* and two new *Neocosmospora* species. Persoonia 40: 1–25. https://doi.org/10.3767/persoonia.2018.40.01
- Sarr MP, Ndiaya M, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW (2014) Genetic diversity in *Macrophomina phaseolina*, the causal agent of charcoal rot. Phytopathologia Mediterranea 53: 250–268.
- Sawai K, Okuno T, Tereda Y, Harada Y, Wawamura K, Sasaki H, Takao S (1981) Isolation and properties of two antifungal substances from *Fusarium solani*. Agricultural and Biological Chemistry 45: 1223–1228. https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb1961.45.1223
- Segretain G, Destombes P (1961) Description of a new agent for maduromycosis, *Neotestudina rosatii*, n. gen., n. sp., isolated in Africa. CR Hebd Seances Acad Sci 253: 2577–2579.
- Smedsgaard J (1997) Micro-scale extraction procedure for standardized screening of fungal metabolite production in cultures. Journal of Chromatography A 760: 264–270. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673(96)00803-5
- Stajich JE, Berbee ML, Blackwell M, Hibbert DS, James TY, Spatafora JW, Taylor JW (2009) Primer – The Fungi. Current Biology 19: R840–R845. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cub.2009.07.004
- Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30: 1312–1313. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033
- Sulyok M, Stadler D, Steiner D, Krska R (2020) Validation of an LC-MS/MS-based diluteand-shoot approach for the quantification of > 500 mycotoxins and other secondary metabolites in food crops: challenges and solutions. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-020-02489-9
- Swofford DL (2003) PAUP\*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\*and other methods), v. 4.0b10. Computer programme. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA.
- Taniwaki MH, Pitt JI, Magan N (2018) Aspergillus species and mycotoxins: occurrence and importance in major food commodities. Current Opinion in Food Science 23: 38–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2018.05.008
- Uka V, Moore GG, Arroyo-Manzanares N, Nebija D, De Saeger S, Di Mavungu JD (2019) Secondary metabolite dereplication and phylogenetic analysis identify various emerging mycotoxins and reveal the high intra-species diversity in *Aspergillus flavus*. Frontiers in Microbiology 10: 667. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00667
- Varga J, Frisvad JC, Kocsubé S, Brankovics B, Tóth B, Szigeti G, Samson RA (2011) New and revisited species in *Aspergillus* section *Nigri*. Studies in Mycology 69: 1–17. https://doi. org/10.3114/sim.2011.69.01
- Vesth TC, Nybo JL, Theobald S, Frisvad JC, Larsen TO, Nielsen KF, Hoof JB, Bradnl J, Salamov A, Riley R, Gladden JM, Phatale P, Nielsen MT, Lyhne EK, Kogle ME, Strasser K, McDonnell E, Barry K, Clum A, Chen C, LaButti K, Haridas S, Nolan M, Sandor L, Kuo A, Lipzen A, Hainaut M, Drula E, Tsang A, Magnuson JK, Henrissat B, Wiebenga A, Simmons BA, Makela MR, de Vries RP, Grigoriev IV, Baker SE, Andersen MR (2018) Inves-

tigation of inter- and intraspecies variation through genome sequencing of *Aspergillus* section *Nigri*. Nature Genetics 50: 1688–1695. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0246-1

- Wang QX, Li SF, Zhao F, Dai HQ, Bao L, Ding R, Gao H, Zhang LX, Wen HA, Liu HW (2011) Chemical constituents from endophytic fungus *Fusarium oxysporum*. Fitoterapia 82: 777–781. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2011.04.002
- Williams SB, Baributsa D, Woloshuk C (2014) Assessing purdue improved crop storage (PICS) bags to mitigate fungal growth and aflatoxin contamination. Journal of Stored Products Research 59: 190–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2014.08.003
- Woudenberg JHC, Aveskamp MM, De Gruyter J, Spiers AG, Crous PW (2009) Multiple Didymella teleomorphs are linked to the Phoma clematidina morphotype. Persoonia 22: 56–62. https://doi.org/10.3767/003158509X427808
- Yilmaz N, Visagie CM, Houbraken J, Frisvad JC, Samson RA (2014) Polyphasic taxonomy of the genus *Talaromyces*. Studies in Mycology 78: 175–341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. simyco.2014.08.001

# Supplementary material I

#### Tables S1, S2

Authors: Chibundu N. Ezekiel, Bart Kraak, Marcelo Sandoval-Denis, Michael Sulyok, Oluwawapelumi A. Oyedele, Kolawole I. Ayeni, Oluwadamilola M. Makinde, Oluwatosin M. Akinyemi, Rudolf Krska, Pedro W. Crous, Jos Houbraken

Data type: COL

- Explanation note: **Table S1.** Strain details and GenBank accession numbers of molecularly identified isolates included in this study. **Table S2.** Strain data and accession numbers of additional strains included in phylogenetic analyses of *Fusarium* and related taxa.
- Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.67.52716.suppl1