

# Taxonomic novelties and global biogeography of *Montagnula* (Ascomycota, Didymosphaeriaceae)

Dhanushka N. Wanasinghe<sup>1</sup>, Thilina S. Nimalrathna<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>, Li Qin Xian<sup>1</sup>, Turki Kh. Faraj<sup>6</sup>, Jianchu Xu<sup>1,7</sup>, Peter E. Mortimer<sup>1</sup>

1 Honghe Center for Mountain Futures, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Honghe County 654400, Yunnan, China

2 CAS Key Laboratory of Tropical Forest Ecology, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Menglun, Mengla, Yunnan, China

3 Southeast Asia Biodiversity Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences & Center for Integrative Conservation, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Mengla, Yunnan 666303, China

4 Yunnan International Joint Laboratory of Southeast Asia Biodiversity Conservation & Yunnan Key Laboratory for Conservation of Tropical Rainforests and Asian Elephants, Menglun, Mengla, Yunnan 666303, China

5 International College, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

6 Department of Soil Science, College of Food and Agriculture Sciences, King Saud University, P.O. Box 145111, Riyadh 11362, Saudi Arabia

7 CIFOR-ICRAF China Country Program, Kunming, Yunnan, China

Corresponding authors: Jianchu Xu (jxu@mail.kib.ac.cn); Peter E. Mortimer (peter@mail.kib.ac.cn)



Academic editor: Nalin Wijayawardene

Received: 25 September 2023

Accepted: 17 December 2023

Published: 19 January 2024

**Citation:** Wanasinghe DN, Nimalrathna TS, Qin Xian L, Faraj TK, Xu J, Mortimer PE (2024) Taxonomic novelties and global biogeography of *Montagnula* (Ascomycota, Didymosphaeriaceae). MycoKeys 101: 191–232. <https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.101.113259>

**Copyright:** © Dhanushka N. Wanasinghe et al.  
This is an open access article distributed under terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (Attribution 4.0 International – CC BY 4.0).

## Abstract

Whilst conducting surveys of lignicolous microfungi in Yunnan Province, we collected a large number of taxa that resemble *Montagnula* (Didymosphaeriaceae, Pleosporales). Our phylogenetic study on *Montagnula* involved analysing sequence data from ribosomal RNA genes (nc18S, nc28S, ITS) and protein-coding genes (rpb2, tef1-q). We present a biphasic approach (morphological and molecular phylogenetic evidence) that supports the recognition of four new species in *Montagnula* viz., *M. lijiangensis*, *M. menglaensis*, *M. shangrilana* and *M. thevetiae*. The global diversity of *Montagnula* is also inferred from metabarcoding data and published records based on field observations. Metabarcoding data from GlobalFungi and field observations provided insights into the global diversity and distribution patterns of *Montagnula*. Studies conducted in Asia, Australia, Europe, and North America revealed a concentration of *Montagnula* species, suggesting regional variations in ecological preferences and distribution. *Montagnula* species were found on various substrates, with sediments yielding a high number of sequences. Poaceae emerged as a significant contributor, indicating a potential association between *Montagnula* species and grasses. Culture-based investigations from previously published data revealed *Montagnula* species associations with 105 plant genera (in 45 plant families), across 55 countries, highlighting their wide ecological range and adaptability. This study enhances our understanding of the taxonomy, distribution, and ecological preferences of *Montagnula* species. It emphasizes their role in the decomposition of organic matter in grasslands and savannah systems and suggests further investigation into their functional roles in ecosystem processes. The global distribution patterns and ecological interactions of *Montagnula* species underscore the need for continued research and conservation efforts.

**Key words:** Global distribution, microfungi, molecular phylogeny, taxonomy, Yunnan

## Introduction

Fungi are the second largest group of eukaryotes, performing vital ecological functions such as decomposition, mutualism, and pathogenesis to plants and animals (Tdersoo et al. 2014). Ascomycota, which forms the largest phylum of Fungi, and includes the genus *Montagnula*, is an incredibly diverse group, with an estimated global species richness of ~154,500 species (Bánki et al. 2023). Despite their ecological and economic importance, many Ascomycota species remain undescribed, and their distribution and diversity have yet to be properly determined (Maharachchikumbura et al. 2021a, b; Wijayawardene et al. 2022). This is somewhat due to the fact that many Ascomycota species are microscopic and inconspicuous, making them difficult to find and subsequently study, or sometimes these smaller species can be overlooked with studies focussing on more charismatic species of macrofungi (Wanasinghe et al. 2022a). The investigation of taxonomic and phylogenetic systematics in Ascomycota is bridging crucial knowledge gaps and enhancing our understanding of this particular group of fungi. *Montagnula* (typified with *M. infernalis*), is an example of a relatively understudied genus within Ascomycota, and many species remain undescribed. Understanding the taxonomic, phylogenetic and host relationships between *Montagnula* species will help us better understand how they have diversified and adapted to different habitats in various ecological zones. These data are useful to make predictions about the ecology and biology of the genus and to guide future research into their interactions with other organisms and their roles in ecosystem processes. Understanding the taxonomy and phylogeny of *Montagnula* is also important for conservation purposes. With ongoing habitat destruction and climate change, it is more important than ever to understand the current diversity and distribution of fungi around the world (Wanasinghe et al. 2022a).

Therefore, our research group at the Center for Mountain Futures (CMF), has been conducting investigations into the microfungal diversity and biogeography in Yunnan Province, Southwest China. Specifically, we are focusing on various substrates such as leaf and woody litter, aiming to clarify the taxonomy of fungi on these substrates, using morphology in conjunction with multigene phylogeny. As a result, we have successfully isolated numerous anamorphic and teleomorphic Ascomycota species in Yunnan, and we have published our findings based on different themes, including their relationship with hosts, substrates, and localities (Thiyagaraja et al. 2019, 2020, 2021; Abeywickrama et al. 2020; Wanasinghe et al. 2020, 2021, 2022b, 2023; Yasanthika et al. 2020; Bundhun et al. 2021; Dissanayake et al. 2021; Gao et al. 2021; Monkai et al. 2021; Mortimer et al. 2021; Ren et al. 2021a, b, 2022a, b; Aluthmuhandiram et al. 2022; Maharachchikumbura et al. 2022; Wanasinghe and Mortimer 2022). The objectives of this study are (1) to identify the lignicolous *Montagnula* species collected from Yunnan using both morphological and phylogenetic approaches, and (2) to utilize metabarcoding data and published records based on field observations to infer the global diversity and biogeography of *Montagnula*. The analyses conducted in this study revealed four new species and four existing species of *Montagnula*, in Yunnan. The discovery of several previously undescribed Ascomycota species in the genus *Montagnula* in Yunnan Province is a significant advancement in our understanding of the diversity and distribu-

tion of this group of fungi. Furthermore, the utilization of metabarcoding data and published records based on field observations to infer the global diversity of *Montagnula* demonstrates the potential of these approaches in elucidating the biogeography of fungi on a large scale. By studying and documenting the diversity of *Montagnula* species, we can enhance our appreciation for the importance of conserving these fungi and their habitats, and take appropriate measures to mitigate the threats they face.

## Materials and methods

### Sample collecting

Fresh fungal materials were collected from dead woody twigs from Honghe, Kunming, Mengla, Shangri-La and Yulong Counties, all within Yunnan Province, China, during the dry season (January, March, April) and wet season (August, September). To preserve their integrity, the specimens were transported to the laboratory in Zip lock plastic bags during the dry season and in paper bags during the wet season.

### Morphological observations

The morphology of external and internal macro-/micro-structures were observed as described in Wanasinghe et al. (2017, 2018a, 2020). Hand sections of the ascomata were mounted in distilled water and the following characteristics were evaluated and measured: ascomata diameter, height, color and shape; width of peridium; and height and diameter of ostioles. Length and width (at the widest point) of ascii and ascospores. Images were captured with a Canon EOS 600D digital camera fitted to a Nikon ECLIPSE Ni compound microscope. Macroscopic images of colonies were documented using an iPhone XS Max (Apple Inc., Cupertino, CA, USA) with daylight. Measurements were made with the Tarosoft (R) Image Frame Work program, and images used for figures were processed with Adobe Photoshop CS5 Extended version 10.0 software (Adobe Systems, San José, CA, USA).

### Isolation

Single spore isolation was conducted by following the methods described in Wanasinghe et al. (2018b). Germinated spores were individually transferred to potato dextrose agar (PDA: 39 g/L distilled water, Difco potato dextrose) plates and grown at 20 °C in the daylight.

### Deposition of specimens, cultures and registering names

The living cultures were deposited at the Kunming Institute of Botany Culture Collection (KUNCC), Kunming, China. Dry herbarium materials were deposited in the herbarium of Cryptogams Kunming Institute of Botany, Academia Sinica (KUN-HKAS). MycoBank numbers have been obtained as outlined in MycoBank (<http://www.MycoBank.org> accessed on 21 September 2023) for the novel taxa.

## DNA extraction, PCR amplifications and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from the axenic mycelium as described by Phookamsak et al. (2017). Mycelia for DNA extraction from each isolate were grown on PDA for 3–4 weeks at 20 °C and total genomic DNA was extracted from approximately  $150 \pm 50$  mg axenic mycelium scraped from the edges of the growing culture. Mycelium was ground to a fine powder with liquid nitrogen and DNA extracted using the Biospin Fungus Genomic DNA Extraction Kit-BSC14S1 (BioFlux, P.R. China) following the instructions of the manufacturer. When fungi failed to grow in culture, DNA extraction was carried out directly from fruiting bodies, adhering to the protocol outlined by Wanasinghe et al. (2018b). DNA to be used as templates for Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) were stored at 4 °C for use in regular work and duplicated at -20 °C for long-term storage.

We used primers ITS5/ITS4 (White et al. 1990), LR0R/LR5 (Vilgalys and Hester 1990; Rehner and Samuels 1994), NS1/NS4 (White et al. 1990), EF1-983F/EF1-2218R (Liu et al. 1999; Rehner and Buckley 2005), and fRPB2-5f/fRPB2-7cR (Sung et al. 2007) to amplify sequence data for a total of five markers: the internal transcribed spacers (ITS), partial 28S large subunit rDNA (LSU), partial 18S small sub-unit rDNA (SSU), translation elongation factor 1- $\alpha$  (*tef1- $\alpha$* ), and RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (*rpb2*). PCR amplifications were performed following the methods described in Wanasinghe et al. (2021). We sequenced complementary strands with the same primers used for PCR amplifications and sequencing was done from a commercial sequencing provider (BGI, Ltd Shenzhen, P.R. China). The nucleotide sequence data obtained were deposited in GenBank (Table 2).

## Sequencing assembly and alignments

Sequences generated from different primers of the five genes were analysed with other sequences retrieved from GenBank (Table 2). Sequences with high similarity indices were determined from a BLAST search to find the closest matches with taxa in Didymosphaeriaceae, using recently published data (Du et al. 2021; Ren et al. 2022a; Sun et al. 2023). The multiple alignments of all consensus sequences, as well as the reference sequences were automatically generated with MAFFT v. 7 (Katoh et al. 2019), and manually corrected where necessary using BioEdit v. 7.0.5.2 (Hall 1999).

## Phylogenetic inference

The single-locus datasets were examined for topological incongruence among loci for members of the analyses. The alignments were concatenated into a multi-locus alignment that was analyzed with maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian (BI) phylogenetic methods in the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller et al. 2010). ML tree was obtained using RAxML-HPC2 on XSEDE v. 8.2.10 (Stamatakis 2014) with applying GTR+G+I model. Support values were obtained with 1,000 bp replicates (Felsenstein 1985). ML bootstrap values equal or greater than 75% are given above each node. The best-fit model was selected with respect to Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) scores using the IQ-TREE web application at <http://iqtree.cibiv.univie.ac.at> (Trifinopoulos et al. 2016). For model selection, we restricted the pool of available models to JC, F81, HKY, SYM and GTR (Ronquist et al. 2011). BI

were performed with two parallel runs of 2 M generations, using four chains in each, and retaining one tree every 100 generations. The dataset was partitioned by gene region, and a GTR + G + I model was applied to each partition, ending the run automatically when standard deviation of split frequencies dropped below 0.01 with a burn-in fraction of 0.25. A fifty percent majority rule consensus tree was obtained after discarding the first 25% of trees, and posterior probabilities were used as a measure of nodal support. The posterior probability in BI (BYPP) greater than 0.95 are given above each node. Phylogenograms were visualized with FigTree v1.4.0 program (Rambaut 2012) and reorganized in Microsoft power point (2019).

### **The biogeographical distribution of *Montagnula***

In our initial approach, we obtained detailed geographical distribution information for the *Montagnula* genus. This data was extracted from the GlobalFungi database (<https://globalfungi.com>, accessed on 04 December 2023), as outlined by Větrovský et al. (2020). The database provided information on the countries and precise geographical coordinates of recorded *Montagnula* occurrences. To visualize these occurrences, we employed a range of packages in R version 4.2.1 (R Core Team 2022), including 'sf' (Pebesma and Bivand 2023), 'raster' (Hijmans 2023), 'rgdal' (Bivand et al. 2022), and 'ggplot2' (Wickham 2011). In our map, each marker signifies an individual occurrence of *Montagnula*. These occurrences are visually distinguished by a color scheme, with each color denoting the specific biome from which the samples were collected, as illustrated in Fig. 2a. Additionally, we have developed two donut charts, showcased in Fig. 2b, c, which effectively illustrate the distribution of *Montagnula* sequences. These charts present the sequence abundance as a percentage of the total, segmented across various biomes and continents, providing a clear visual breakdown of their distribution. Furthermore, we have gathered Environmental DNA (eDNA) data from diverse sources in metabarcoding studies focusing on fungi, as found in the GlobalFungi database (Fig. 3). This dataset included specifics about eDNA sources, locations of the studies, and the sequence abundance of *Montagnula* sequences. It is important to note that the sequence abundance in metabarcoding studies might not always accurately represent the actual abundance of species in a habitat. Nonetheless, these data can provide valuable insights into the potential rarity or prevalence of the group in the eDNA source. We analyzed the sequence abundance in diverse eDNA samples from different continents. Before visualization, the abundance values were normalized via a logarithmic transformation to ensure a standardized and comparable presentation of *Montagnula* sequence abundance. Post-transformation abundance data were visualized using the 'ggplot2' package, aiding in highlighting the focus areas of metabarcoding and identifying the environmental sample types from which *Montagnula* sequences were derived across various continents (Figs 2, 3).

### **The host relations of *Montagnula***

To illustrate the host specificity of *Montagnula* species, we utilized detailed information regarding host species from the literature (Table 1). This enabled us to create informative bar plots displaying the host preferences of *Montagnula* species (Fig. 4). This information was visualized using the 'ggplot2' package in R.

**Table 1.** Accepted species in *Montagnula* including their host and geographic location.

Species	Host species	Host family	Country	Reference
<i>Montagnula acaciae</i>	<i>Acacia auriculiformis</i>	Fabaceae	Thailand	Tennakoon et al. (2022)*
<i>Montagnula aloes</i>	<i>Aloe</i> sp.	Asphodelaceae	South Africa	Crous et al. (2012)*
<i>Montagnula appendiculata</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	Poaceae	China	Aptroot (2004)*
<i>Montagnula aquatica</i>	Submerged wood	NA	Thailand	Sun et al. (2023)*
	Dead woody litter	NA	China	This study*
<i>Montagnula aquilariae</i>	<i>Aquilaria sinensis</i>	Thymelaeaceae	China	Hyde et al. (2023)*
	Dead woody litter	NA	China	This study*
<i>Montagnula baatanensis</i>	<i>Agave</i> sp.	Asparagaceae	USA	Crivelli (1983)
<i>Montagnula bellevaliae</i>	<i>Bellevalia romana</i>	Asparagaceae	Italy	Hongsanan et al. (2015)*
<i>Montagnula camporesii</i>	<i>Dipsacus</i> sp.	Caprifoliaceae	Italy	Hyde et al. (2020)*
<i>Montagnula camarae</i>	<i>Cytisus scoparius</i>	Fabaceae	Portugal	Checa (2004)
<i>Montagnula chiangraiensis</i>	<i>Chromolaena odorata</i>	Asteraceae	Thailand	Mapook et al. (2020)*
<i>Montagnula chromolaenae</i>	<i>Chromolaena odorata</i>	Asteraceae	Thailand	Mapook et al. (2020)*
<i>Montagnula chromolaenicola</i>	<i>Chromolaena odorata</i>	Asteraceae	Thailand	Mapook et al. (2020)*
	<i>Lagerstroemia</i> sp.	Lythraceae	China	This study*
<i>Montagnula cirsii</i>	<i>Cirsium</i> sp.	Asteraceae	Italy	Hyde et al. (2016)*
<i>Montagnula cylindrospora</i>	Human skin##	NA	USA	Crous et al. (2020)*
<i>Montagnula dasylirionis</i>	<i>Dasylirion</i> sp.	Asparagaceae	USA	Ramaley and Barr (1995)
<i>Montagnula donacina</i>	<i>Acacia reficiens</i>	Fabaceae	Namibia	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Acacia</i> sp.	Fabaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Adhatoda vasica</i>	Acanthaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Ailanthus altissima</i>	Simaroubaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Althaea rosea</i>	Malvaceae	China	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Annona squamosa</i>	Annonaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Arundo donax</i>	Poaceae	Portugal	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Bambusoideae</i>	Poaceae	Brazil	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Bambusoideae</i>	Poaceae	Papua New Guinea	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Cajanus cajan</i>	Fabaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Calamus australis</i>	Arecaceae	Australia	Hyde et al. (1999)
	<i>Careya arborea</i>	Lecythidaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Citrus aurantiifolia</i>	Rutaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Clerodendrum infortunatum</i>	Lamiaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Clerodendrum multiflorum</i>	Lamiaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Coffea arabica</i>	Rubiaceae	Paraguay	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Coffea robusta</i>	Rubiaceae	Central African Republic	Aptroot (1995)
<i>Craterellus odoratus##</i>	<i>Craterellus odoratus##</i>	Cantharellaceae	China	Zhao et al. (2018)*
	<i>Duranta repens</i>	Verbenaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Ficus glomerata</i>	Moraceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Funtumia africana</i>	Apocynaceae	Sierra Leone	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Hibiscus</i> sp.	Malvaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Ipomoea carnea</i>	Convolvulaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Mallotus philippinensis</i>	Euphorbiaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Morus alba</i>	Moraceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Litchi litchi</i>	Sapindaceae	Myanmar	Thaung (2008)

Species	Host species	Host family	Country	Reference
<i>Montagnula donacina</i>	<i>Nerium odorum</i>	Apocynaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Paeonia suffruticosa</i>	Paeoniaceae	China	Li et al. (2023) <sup>#</sup>
	<i>Phyllostachys bambusoides</i>	Poaceae	Japan	Wang et al. (2004)
	<i>Pistacia</i> sp.	Anacardiaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Platanus</i> sp.	Platanaceae	USA	Wang et al. (2004)
	<i>Premna cumingiana</i>	Lamiaceae	Philippines	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Pseudosasa japonica</i>	Poaceae	France	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Saccharum officinarum</i>	Poaceae	Brazil	Aptroot (1995)
	Unknown stem	NA	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Tectona grandis</i>	Lamiaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Terminalia tomentosa</i>	Combretaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Trachycarpus fortunei</i>	Arecaceae	China	Hyde et al. (1999)
	Unknown bark	NA	India	Aptroot (1995)
	Unknown branches	NA	Sierra Leone	Aptroot (1995)
	Unknown plant	NA	Colombia	Aptroot (1995)
	Dead wood	NA	China	Sun et al. (2023) <sup>#</sup>
	Dead wood	NA	Thailand	Ren et al. (2022a) <sup>#</sup>
	Dead wood	NA	China	This study <sup>#</sup>
	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	Vitaceae	Australia	Pitt et al. (2014) <sup>#</sup>
<i>Montagnula Wikstroemii</i>	<i>Wikstroemia</i> sp.	Thymelaeaceae	USA	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Zea mays</i>	Poaceae	Georgia	Aptroot (1995)
<i>Montagnula dura</i>	<i>Aconitum septentrionale</i>	Ranunculaceae	Sweden	Eriksson (1992)
	<i>Lonicera etrusca</i>	Caprifoliaceae	Spain	Checa (2004)
<i>Montagnula gilletiana</i>	<i>Fraxinus ornus</i>	Oleaceae	Bulgaria	Fakirova (2004)
	<i>Retama sphaerocarpa</i>	Fabaceae	Spain	Checa (2004)
	<i>Ulex europaeus</i>	Fabaceae	Spain	Checa (2004)
<i>Montagnula graminicola</i>	Poaceae	Poaceae	Italy	Liu et al. (2015) <sup>#</sup>
<i>Montagnula guiyangensis</i>	<i>Helwingia himalaica</i>	Helwingiaceae	China	Sun et al. (2023) <sup>#</sup>
<i>Montagnula hirtula</i>	<i>Cerastium latifolium</i>	Caryophyllaceae	Austria	Leuchtmann (1984)
	<i>Cerastium</i> sp.	Caryophyllaceae	Italy	Leuchtmann (1984)
	<i>Epilobium parviflorum</i>	Onagraceae	Switzerland	Leuchtmann (1984)
	<i>Rubus idaeus</i>	Rosaceae	Finland	Leuchtmann (1984)
	<i>Rubus</i> sp.	Rosaceae	Sweden	Eriksson (1992)
	<i>Agave americana</i>	Asparagaceae	Portugal	Checa (2004)
<i>Montagnula infernalis</i>	<i>Agave americana</i>	Asparagaceae	Spain	Checa (2004)
	<i>Fourcroya</i> sp.	Asparagaceae	Portugal	Ariyawansa et al. (2014)
	<i>Furcraea gigantea</i>	Asparagaceae	Portugal	Checa (2004)
	<i>Furcraea gigantea</i>	Asparagaceae	Spain	Checa (2004)
	<i>Furcraea longaeva</i>	Asparagaceae	Portugal	Checa (2004)
	<i>Furcraea longaeva</i>	Asparagaceae	Spain	Checa (2004)
	<i>Furcraea macrophylla</i>	Asparagaceae	Bahamas	Barr (1990)
<i>Montagnula jonesii</i>	<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>	Fagaceae	Italy	Tennakoon et al. (2016) <sup>#</sup>
	<i>Ficus benjamina</i>	Moraceae	Thailand	Tennakoon et al. (2022) <sup>#</sup>
<i>Montagnula krabiensis</i>	<i>Pandanus</i> sp.	Pandanaceae	Thailand	Tibpromma et al. (2018) <sup>#</sup>
<i>Montagnula lijiangensis</i>	<i>Quercus</i> sp.	Fagaceae	China	This study <sup>#</sup>
<i>Montagnula longipes</i>	<i>Agave americana</i>	Asparagaceae	Algeria	Aptroot (1995)
<i>Montagnula melanorhabdos</i>	<i>Agave</i> sp.	Asparagaceae	Turkey	Aptroot (2006)

Species	Host species	Host family	Country	Reference
<i>Montagnula menglaensis</i>	<i>Indocalamus tessellatus</i>	Poaceae	China	This study <sup>#</sup>
<i>Montagnula mohavensis</i>	<i>Yucca mohavensis</i>	Asparagaceae	USA	Ramaley and Barr (1995)
<i>Montagnula obtusa</i>	<i>Ilex</i> sp.	Aquifoliaceae	USA	French (1989)
	<i>Juglans</i> sp.	Juglandaceae	USA	French (1989)
	<i>Pinus pinaster</i>	Pinaceae	Portugal	Checa (2004)
	<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>	Rosaceae	Sweden	Eriksson (1992)
	<i>Phalaris</i>	Poaceae	Switzerland	Crivelli (1983)
<i>Montagnula opulenta</i>	<i>Ammophila arenaria</i>	Poaceae	France	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Ammophila arenaria</i>	Poaceae	Germany	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Ammophila arenaria</i>	Poaceae	Sweden	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Festuca brachyphylla</i>	Poaceae	Canada	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Opuntia ficus-indica</i>	Cactaceae	Canary Islands	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Opuntia ficus-indica</i>	Cactaceae	France	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Opuntia ficus-indica</i>	Cactaceae	Italy	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Opuntia ficus-indica</i>	Cactaceae	Malta	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Opuntia ficus-indica</i>	Cactaceae	Tunisia	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Opuntia</i> sp.	Cactaceae	Cyprus	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Opuntia</i> sp.	Cactaceae	Israel	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Opuntia</i> sp.	Cactaceae	Italy	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Opuntia</i> sp.	Cactaceae	Tunisia	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Opuntia tuna</i>	Cactaceae	USA	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Poa abbreviata</i>	Poaceae	Canada	Aptroot (1995)
<i>Montagnula opuntiae</i>	<i>Puccinellia angustata</i>	Poaceae	Greenland	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Stipa himalaica</i>	Poaceae	India	Aptroot (1995)
<i>Montagnula palmacea</i>	<i>Opuntia lindheimeri</i>	Cactaceae	USA	Huhndorf (1992)
<i>Montagnula perforans</i>	<i>Chamaerops humilis</i>	Arecaceae	France	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Cocos capitata</i>	Arecaceae	Spain	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Daviesia nudiflora</i>	Fabaceae	Australia	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Phoenix dactylifera</i>	Arecaceae	Egypt	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Phoenix dactylifera</i>	Arecaceae	Greece	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Phoenix dactylifera</i>	Arecaceae	Iraq	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Phoenix dactylifera</i>	Arecaceae	Italy	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Phoenix dactylifera</i>	Arecaceae	Pakistan	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Phoenix dactylifera</i>	Arecaceae	Saudi Arabia	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Phoenix dactylifera</i>	Arecaceae	Tunisia	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Phoenix sylvestris</i>	Arecaceae	Pakistan	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Pitcairnia chrysanthia</i>	Bromeliaceae	Chile	Aptroot (1995)
	Unknown leaves	NA	USA	Aptroot (1995)
<i>Montagnula phragmospora</i>	Unknown petiole	NA	USA	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Calamagrostis arenaria</i>	Poaceae	France	Aptroot (2006)
<i>Montagnula phragmospora</i>	<i>Agave americana</i>	Asparagaceae	Portugal	Checa (2004)
	<i>Agave americana</i>	Asparagaceae	Spain	Checa (2004)
	<i>Agave hookeri</i>	Asparagaceae	Portugal	Checa (2004)
	<i>Agave hookeri</i>	Asparagaceae	Spain	Checa (2004)
	<i>Agave</i> sp.	Asparagaceae	France	Barr (1990)
	<i>Agave</i> sp.	Asparagaceae	Portugal	Checa (2004)
	<i>Agave</i> sp.	Asparagaceae	Spain	Checa (2004)

Species	Host species	Host family	Country	Reference
<i>Montagnula phragmospora</i>	<i>Yucca brevifolia</i>	Asparagaceae	California	Barr (1990)
	<i>Yucca</i> sp.	Asparagaceae	Portugal	Checa (2004)
	<i>Yucca</i> sp.	Asparagaceae	Spain	Checa (2004)
<i>Montagnula puerensis</i>	Dead wood	NA	China	Du et al. (2021) <sup>#</sup>
<i>Montagnula rhodophaea</i>	<i>Arundo donax</i>	Poaceae	Italy	Leuchtmann (1984)
	<i>Phragmites communis</i>	Poaceae	Switzerland	Leuchtmann (1984)
<i>Montagnula saikhuensis</i>	<i>Citrus</i> sp.	Rutaceae	Thailand	Wanasinghe et al. (2016) <sup>#</sup>
<i>Montagnula scabiosae</i>	<i>Scabiosa</i> sp.	Caprifoliaceae	Italy	Hongsanan et al. (2015) <sup>#</sup>
<i>Montagnula shangrilana</i>	<i>Rhododendron</i> sp.	Ericaceae	China	This study <sup>#</sup>
<i>Montagnula</i> sp.	<i>Carex fuliginosa</i>	Cyperaceae	Austria	Scheuer (1988)
<i>Montagnula Spartii</i>	<i>Aeluropus littoralis</i>	Poaceae	Russia	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Ammophila arenaria</i>	Poaceae	Belgium	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Ammophila arenaria</i>	Poaceae	Denmark	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Ammophila arenaria</i>	Poaceae	Sweden	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Ammophila arenaria</i>	Poaceae	United Kingdom	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Calamagrostis epigeios</i>	Poaceae	Russia	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Calycotome spinosa</i>	Fabaceae	France	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Calycotome spinosa</i>	Fabaceae	Spain	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Calycotome villosa</i>	Fabaceae	Italy	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Carex rostrata</i>	Cyperaceae	Sweden	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Chamaerops humilis</i>	Arecaceae	Spain	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Leymus arenarius</i>	Poaceae	Russia	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Ephedra ciliata</i>	Ephedraceae	Unknown country in Asia	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Ephedra</i> sp.	Ephedraceae	Iran	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Festuca arenaria</i>	Poaceae	France	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Festuca sulcata</i>	Poaceae	Iran	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Genista aspalathoides</i>	Fabaceae	Italy	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Gramineae</i>	Gramineae	Austria	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Koeleria cristata</i>	Poaceae	Germany	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Koeleria glauca</i>	Poaceae	Denmark	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Linum austriacum</i>	Linaceae	Germany	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Luzula spadicea</i>	Juncaceae	Switzerland	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Lygeum spartum</i>	Poaceae	Spain	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Melica ciliata</i>	Poaceae	France	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Nardus stricta</i>	Poaceae	Austria	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Puccinellia peisonis</i>	Poaceae	Austria	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Sarothamnus scoparius</i>	Fabaceae	Poland	Mulenko et al. (2008)
	<i>Sarothamnus scoparius</i>	Fabaceae	Switzerland	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Sesleria caerulea</i>	Poaceae	Italy	Aptroot (1995)
<i>Montagnula Spartii</i>	<i>Spartium junceum</i>	Fabaceae	Albania	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Spartium junceum</i>	Fabaceae	France	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Spartium junceum</i>	Fabaceae	Greece	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Spartium junceum</i>	Fabaceae	Turkey	Aptroot (1995)
	<i>Ulex</i> sp.	Fabaceae	Spain	Aptroot (1995)
<i>Montagnula spinosella</i>	<i>Abelia triflora</i>	Caprifoliaceae	Spain	Checa (2004)
	<i>Carex aterrima</i>	Cyperaceae	Austria	Scheuer (1988)

Species	Host species	Host family	Country	Reference
<i>Montagnula spinosella</i>	<i>Carex misandra</i>	Cyperaceae	Norway	Holm and Holm (1993, 1994)
	<i>Colpodium vahlianum</i>	Poaceae	Norway	Holm and Holm (1993, 1994)
	<i>Deschampsia caespitosa</i>	Poaceae	Norway	Holm and Holm (1993, 1994)
	<i>Juncus maritimus</i>	Juncaceae	Spain	Holm and Holm (1993), Checa (2004)
	<i>Luzula confusa</i>	Juncaceae	Norway	Holm and Holm (1993, 1994)
<i>Montagnula stromatosa</i>	<i>Phoenix hanceana</i>	Arecaceae	China	Lu et al. (2000)
	<i>Phoenix</i> sp.	Arecaceae	China	Zhuang (2001)
	<i>Trachycarpus fortunei</i>	Arecaceae	China	Hyde et al. (1999)
	<i>Trachycarpus fortunei</i>	Arecaceae	United Kingdom	Hyde et al. (1999)
<i>Montagnula subsuperficialis</i>	<i>Panicum grumosum</i>	Poaceae	Argentina	Shoemaker (1989)
<i>Montagnula thailandica</i>	<i>Chromolaena odorata</i>	Asteraceae	Thailand	Mapook et al. (2020)*
	<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i>	Euphorbiaceae	Thailand	Senwanna et al. (2021)*
	<i>Coffea arabica</i> var. <i>catimor</i>	Rubiaceae	China	Lu et al. (2022)*
	Unidentified twig	NA	Thailand	Boonmee et al. (2021)*
<i>Montagnula thevetiae</i>	<i>Thevetia peruviana</i>	Apocynaceae	China	This study*
<i>Montagnula thuemeniana</i>	<i>Yucca</i> sp.	Asparagaceae	USA	Barr (1990)
<i>Montagnula trisetii</i>	<i>Trisetum distichophyllum</i>	Poaceae	Switzerland	Crivelli (1983)
<i>Montagnula vakrabeejae</i>	Unidentified twig	NA	Andaman	Niranjan and Sarma (2018)
<i>Montagnula verniciae</i>	<i>Vernicia fordii</i>	Euphorbiaceae	China	Li et al. (2023)*
<i>Montagnula yuccigena</i>	<i>Yucca baccata</i>	Asparagaceae	Mexico	Ramaley and Barr (1995)


\*# Denotes molecular data available in GenBank. \*\* Denotes none plant based. NA represents not applicable.

Table 2. GenBank accession numbers of sequences used for the phylogenetic analyses.


Taxon	Strain number	GenBank accession numbers					Reference
		ITS	LSU	SSU	tef1- $\alpha$	rpb2	
<i>Montagnula acaciae</i>	MFLUCC 18-1636	ON117280	ON117298	ON117267	ON158093	NA	Tennakoon et al. (2022)
	NCYUCC 19-0087 <sup>T</sup>	ON117281	ON117299	ON117268	ON158094	NA	Tennakoon et al. (2022)
<i>Montagnula aloes</i>	CPC 19671	JX069863	JX069847	NA	NA	NA	Crous et al. (2012)
	CBS 132531 <sup>T</sup>	NR_111757	NG_042676	NA	NA	NA	Crous et al. (2012)
<i>Montagnula appendiculata</i>	CBS 109027 <sup>T</sup>	DQ435529	AY772016	NA	NA	NA	Wanasinghe et al. (2016)
<i>Montagnula aquatica</i>	MFLU 22-0171 <sup>T</sup>	OP605992	OP605986	OP600504	NA	NA	Sun et al. (2023)
<i>Montagnula aquatica</i>	KUNCC 23-14425	OR583097	OR583116	OR583135	OR588088	OR588107	This study
	KUNCC 23-14557	OR583099	OR583118	OR583137	OR588090	OR588109	This study
<i>Montagnula aquilariae</i>	KUNCC 22-10815 <sup>T</sup>	OP452927	OP482265	OP482268	OP426318	NA	Hyde et al. (2023)
	KUNCC 22-10816	OP554219	OP482266	OP482269	OP426319	NA	Hyde et al. (2023)
	KUNCC 22-10815 <sup>T</sup>	OP452927	OP482265	OP482268	OP426318	NA	Hyde et al. (2023)
	KUNCC 22-10816	OP554219	OP482266	OP482269	OP426319	NA	Hyde et al. (2023)
<i>Montagnula aquilariae</i>	KUNCC 23-14430	OR583100	OR583119	OR583138	OR588091	OR588110	This study
	KUNCC 23-14431	OR583101	OR583120	OR583139	OR588092	OR588111	This study
	KUNCC 23-14432	OR583102	OR583121	OR583140	OR588093	OR588112	This study
<i>Montagnula bellevaliae</i>	MFLUCC 14-0924 <sup>T</sup>	KT443906	KT443902	KT443904	NA	NA	Hongsanan et al. (2015)
<i>Montagnula camporesii</i>	MFLUCC 16-1369 <sup>T</sup>	MN401746	NG_070946	NG_068418	MN397908	MN397909	Hyde et al. (2020)
<i>Montagnula chiangraiensis</i>	MFLUCC 17-1420 <sup>T</sup>	NR_168864	NG_068707	NG_070155	NA	NA	Mapook et al. (2020)
<i>Montagnula chromolaenae</i>	MFLUCC 17-1435 <sup>T</sup>	NR_168865	NG_068708	NG_070156	NA	NA	Mapook et al. (2020)

Taxon	Strain number	GenBank accession numbers					Reference
		ITS	LSU	SSU	tef1-α	rpb2	
<i>Montagnula chromolaenicola</i>	MFLUCC 17-1469 <sup>T</sup>	NR_168866	NG_070948	NG_070157	MT235773	MT235809	Mapook et al. (2020)
<i>Montagnula chromolaenicola</i>	KUNCC 23-14426	OR583098	OR583117	OR583136	OR588089	OR588108	This study
	KUNCC 23-14427	OR583103	OR583122	OR583141	OR588094	OR588113	This study
	KUNCC 23-14558	OR583104	OR583123	OR583142	OR588095	OR588114	This study
<i>Montagnula cirsii</i>	MFLUCC 13-0680	KX274242	KX274249	KX274255	KX284707	NA	Hyde et al. (2016)
<i>Montagnula cylindrospora</i>	CBS 146572 <sup>T</sup>	LT796834	LN907351	NA	LT797074	LT796994	Crous et al. (2020)
<i>Montagnula donacina</i>	HFG07004	MF967419	MF183940	NA	NA	NA	Zhao et al. (2017)
	HVVV01	KJ628375	KJ628377	KJ628376	NA	NA	Pitt et al. (2014)
	HKAS 124552	OP605991	OP605987	NA	NA	NA	Sun et al. (2023)
	KUMCC 21-0653	OP058961	OP059052	OP059003	OP135938	NA	Ren et al. (2021)
	KUMCC 21-0579	OP058963	OP059054	OP059005	OP135940	NA	Ren et al. (2021)
	KUMCC 21-0631	OP058962	OP059053	OP059004	OP135939	NA	Ren et al. (2021)
	UESTCC 23.0030	OR253120	OR253279	OR253194	NA	NA	Unpublished
<i>Montagnula donacina</i>	KUNCC 23-14428	OR583105	OR583124	OR583143	OR588096	OR588115	This study
	KUNCC 23-14429	OR583106	OR583125	OR583144	OR588097	OR588116	This study
<i>Montagnula graminicola</i>	MFLUCC 13-0352 <sup>T</sup>	KM658314	KM658315	KM658316	NA	NA	Liu et al. (2015)
<i>Montagnula guiyangensis</i>	HKAS 124556 <sup>T</sup>	OP605989	OP600484	OP600500	NA	NA	Sun et al. (2023)
	GUCC 22-0817	OP605990	OP600485	OP600501	NA	NA	Sun et al. (2023)
<i>Montagnula jonesii</i>	MFLUCC 16-1448 <sup>T</sup>	KY313619	KY273276	KY313618	KY313620	NA	Tennakoon et al. (2016)
	MFLU 18-0084	ON117282	ON117300	ON117269	ON158095	NA	Tennakoon et al. (2022)
<i>Montagnula krabiensis</i>	MFLUCC 16-0250 <sup>T</sup>	NR168179	NG068826	NG068385	MH412776	NA	Tibpromma et al. (2018)
<i>Montagnula lijiangensis</i>	HKAS 126540	OR583107	OR583126	OR583145	OR588098	OR588117	This study
	HKAS 126541 <sup>T</sup>	OR583108	OR583127	OR583146	OR588099	OR588118	This study
<i>Montagnula menglaensis</i>	KUNCC 23-14422	OR583109	OR583128	OR583147	OR588100	OR588119	This study
	KUNCC 23-14423	OR583110	OR583129	OR583148	OR588101	OR588120	This study
	KUNCC 23-14424 <sup>T</sup>	OR583111	OR583130	OR583149	OR588102	OR588121	This study
<i>Montagnula puerensis</i>	KUMCC 20-0225 <sup>T</sup>	MW567739	MW575866	MW575864	MW575859	NA	Du et al. (2021)
	KUMCC 20-0331	MW567740	MW575867	MW575865	MW575860	NA	Du et al. (2021)
<i>Montagnula saikhuensis</i>	MFLUCC 16-0315 <sup>T</sup>	KU743209	KU743210	KU743211	NA	NA	Wanasinghe et al. (2016)
<i>Montagnula scabiosae</i>	MFLUCC 14-0954 <sup>T</sup>	KT443907	KT443903	KT443905	NA	NA	Hongsanan et al. (2015)
<i>Montagnula shangrilana</i>	KUNCC 23-14433	OR583112	OR583131	OR583150	OR588103	OR588122	This study
	KUNCC 23-14434 <sup>T</sup>	OR583113	OR583132	OR583151	OR588104	OR588123	This study
<i>Montagnula thailandica</i>	MFLUCC 17-0363	OL782142	OL782059	OL780525	OL875102	OL828754	Senwanna et al. (2021)
	MFLUCC 17-1508 <sup>T</sup>	MT214352	NG070949	NG070158	MT235774	MT235810	Mapook et al. (2020)
	MFLUCC 21-0075	OP297807	OP297777	OP297791	OP321576	NA	Lu et al. (2022)
	ZHKUCC 22-0206	OP297808	OP297778	OP297792	OP321577	NA	Lu et al. (2022)
	ZHKUCC 22-0207	MZ538515	MZ538549	NA	MZ567092	NA	Boonmee et al. (2021)
<i>Montagnula thevetiae</i>	HKAS 126963	OR583114	OR583133	OR583152	OR588105	OR588124	This study
	HKAS 126964 <sup>T</sup>	OR583115	OR583134	OR583153	OR588106	OR588125	This study
<i>Neokalmusia jonahhulmei</i>	KUMCC 21-0818 <sup>T</sup>	ON007043	ON007039	ON007048	ON009133	ON009137	Wanasinghe and Mortimer (2022)
<i>Neokalmusia jonahhulmei</i>	KUMCC 21-0819	ON007044	ON007040	ON007049	ON009134	ON009138	Wanasinghe and Mortimer (2022)

Ex-type strains are indicated with superscript "T", and newly generated sequence is shown in bold. NA represents sequences that are unavailable in GenBank. CBS: Culture Collection of the Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Netherlands; CPC: Personal collection of P.W. Crous, Netherlands; HFG: Personal collection of Zhen-Zhu Zhao; GUCC: Guizhou University Culture Collection (GUCC), Guiyang, China; HKAS/KUNCC: Kunming Institute of Botany Culture Collection, China; HVV: Personal collection of Wayne Pitt from *Vitis vinifera*; MFLUCC/MFLU: Mae Fah Luang University Culture Collection, Chiang Rai, Thailand; NCYUCC: National Chiayi University Culture Collection, Taiwan, China; UESTCC: University of Electronic Science and Technology Culture Collection; ZHKUCC: Zhongkai University of Agriculture and Engineering Culture Collection.



**Figure 1.** Phylogenetic analysis of SSU, LSU, ITS, tef1-a, and *rpb2* of the *Montagnula*. Species names given in bold are ex-type, ex-epitype and ex-paratype strains. Species names highlighted in blue are generated from this study. Branch support of nodes  $\geq 75\%$  ML BS and  $\geq 0.95$  PP is indicated above the branches. The genus *Montagnula* is depicted within a pale gray box, with new species highlighted in white, and the outgroup indicated by a blue box.




**Figure 2.** Geographical distribution of *Montagnula* species with known ITS sequence data. **a** the map summarizes data from the GlobalFungi database (shown by circles). Each circle symbolizes a unique sample, with each color representing the specific biome from which it has been collected **b** the distribution of *Montagnula* sequences as a percentage of total abundance across different biomes **c** the distribution of *Montagnula* sequences as a percentage of total abundance across different continents. See Suppl. material 1 for primary data.


## Results

### Phylogenetic analyses

In order to examine the evolutionary relationships of our new strains within *Montagnula*, phylogenetic analyses were performed based on the combined SSU, LSU, ITS, *tef1- $\alpha$* , and *rpb2* DNA sequences of 56 representatives of the genus and two strains from *Neokalmusia jonahhulmei* (KUMCC 21-0818, KUMCC 21-0819) as the outgroup taxon. The full dataset consisted of 4,268 characters including gaps (18S = 1,023 characters, 28S = 896, ITS = 508, *tef1- $\alpha$*  = 885, *rpb2* = 956). The RAxML analysis of the combined dataset yielded a best-scoring tree with a final ML optimization likelihood value of -14,343.052271. The matrix had 1004 distinct



**Figure 3.** The distribution of *Montagnula* occurrences across oceans, continents and various substrates, as documented in the existing literature. On the x-axis, the logarithmic abundance of each record for different sources is displayed.



**Figure 4.** The species richness of recorded *Montagnula* species across different plant families (Table 1).

alignment patterns, with 23.88% undetermined characters or gaps. Parameters for the GTR + I + G model of the combined amplicons were as follows: Estimated base frequencies; A = 0.244145, C = 0.256118, G = 0.269851, T = 0.229886; substitution rates AC = 1.815063, AG = 3.954334, AT = 1.414215, CG = 1.362941, CT = 10.779403, GT = 1.000; proportion of invariable sites I = 0.559204; and gamma distribution shape parameter  $\alpha$  = 0.542439. The Bayesian analysis ran 1,675,000 generations before the average standard deviation for split frequencies reached below 0.01 (0.009994). The analyses generated 16,751 trees, from which we sampled 12,564 trees after discarding the first 25% as burn-in. The alignment contained a total of 1,005 unique site patterns. The BI and ML trees were not in conflict; the ML tree is shown in Fig. 1. Where applicable, the phylogenetic results obtained (Fig. 1) are discussed in the descriptive notes below.

We conducted a thorough study of a compilation of data derived from multiple metabarcoding studies, which documented the occurrence of *Montagnula* species worldwide, excluding Antarctica. Among the continents, the highest number of studies were recorded in Asia, Australia, Europe, and North America (Fig. 2). These studies encompassed a diverse range of 11 distinct sources, revealing that sediments and “other” sources yielded the highest number of sequences (Fig. 3). Across different continents, the sequences obtained from various sources exhibited moderate similarity. However, in regions such as Asia, Australia, Europe, and North America, studies revealed *Montagnula* species from a diverse array of sources, in contrast to other studies, which identified species from a more limited selection of sources. Furthermore, in culture-based investigations, the primary focus was on extracting *Montagnula* species from plant substrates originating from 45 distinct plant families (Fig. 4). Among these families, Poaceae yielded the most substantial number of isolated species, followed by Asparagaceae and Fabaceae. Additionally, two records were also detected in mushrooms and human skin samples.

## Taxonomy

**Pleosporales Luttr. ex M.E. Barr, Prodromus to class Loculoascomycetes: 67 (1987)**  
**Didymosphaeriaceae Munk, Dansk botanisk Arkiv 15 (2): 128 (1953)**

***Montagnula* Berl., Icones Fungorum. Pyrenomycetes 2: 68 (1896)**

**Notes.** This study presents an updated and comprehensive phylogenetic classification of the genus *Montagnula*, incorporating SSU, LSU, ITS, *tef1-a*, and *rpb2* DNA sequence analyses. By combining morphological and phylogenetic considerations, we have identified four new species, *M. lijiangensis*, *M. menglaensis*, *M. shangrilana* and *M. thevetiae* within the genus. Additionally, this research accounts for the existing species *viz.*, *M. aquatica*, *M. aquilariae*, *M. chromolaenicola* and *M. donacina*. The note sections of this publication provide detailed information on these taxonomic accounts, including additional discussion and supporting evidence. Each newly identified species adds to the known biodiversity within the genus, expanding our knowledge of the ecological and morphological characteristics exhibited by *Montagnula* taxa.

***Montagnula aquatica* Y.R. Sun, Yong Wang bis & K.D. Hyde, Plants 12 (4, no. 738): 2 (2023)**  
MycoBank No: 900129

**Descriptions and illustrations.** See Sun et al. (2023).

**Habitat and distribution.** This species is found in freshwater habitats of Chiang Rai, Thailand, terrestrial habitats of Yunnan, China, inhabiting dead wood of deciduous hosts (Sun et al. 2023, this study).

**Material examined.** CHINA, Yunnan Province, Honghe Hani and Yi Autonomous Prefecture, Honghe County, Dayangjiexiang (23.389965°N, 102.225552°E, 1194 m), on dead woody litter of an unidentified plant, 13 March 2023, D.N. Wanasinghe, DWHH23-51 (HKAS 130322), new country and habitat record, living culture KUNCC 23-14425. *ibid.* 23.388966°N, 102.224786°E, 1215 m, DWHH23-51-2 (HKAS 130323), living culture KUNCC 23-14557.

**Notes.** Based on our phylogenetic analyses, we have determined that the newly collected strains (i.e. KUNCC 23-14425 and KUNCC 23-14557) are monophyletic with the ex-type strain of *Montagnula aquatica* (MFLU 22-0171). Further morphological investigations comparing our isolate with the type species have revealed similarities in the size range of the ascomata, asci, and ascospores, as well as the ascospore septation (Sun et al. 2023). Therefore, we document KUNCC 23-14425 and KUNCC 23-14557 as new records of *Montagnula aquatica* in China, accompanied by protein sequence data (*tef1-α* and *rpb2*) for this species. It is worth noting that the holotype of *Montagnula aquatica* was previously reported on submerged decaying wood in a freshwater habitat in Thailand, while our collection was made from a terrestrial habitat in China. This observation suggests that this fungus exhibits adaptability to a wide range of habitats, although its exploration in diverse geographic locations remains limited. The inclusion of *Montagnula aquatica* as a new record in China expands our understanding of the distribution and ecological preferences of this species in both terrestrial and aquatic habitats. Additionally, the protein sequence data obtained for this strain contributes valuable information to the existing knowledge on *Montagnula aquatica*. Further studies exploring the ecological aspects of this fungus in different geographic locations will provide deeper insights into its adaptability and potential ecological roles.

***Montagnula aquilariae* T.Y. Du & Tibpromma, Mycosphere 14 (1): 705 (2023)**  
MycoBank No: 846332  
Fig. 5

**Description.** **Saprobic** on dead woody litter of an unknown deciduous host. **Teleomorph Ascomata** 450–600 µm high × 480–550 µm diam., immersed to semi-erumpent, gregarious or rarely clustered, globose to subglobose, ostiolate. **Ostiole** 120–220 × 70–110 µm ( $\bar{x} = 139 \times 89$  µm, n = 5), papillate, central, straight, dark brown to black, filled with hyaline cells, periphyses are lacking. **Peridium** 20–40 µm thick on the sides and can reach up to 60 µm near the apex, with an outer layer consisting of heavily pigmented cells that have thick walls and exhibit a ***textura angularis*** to ***textura globulosa*** texture at the apex, ***textura angularis*** texture at the sides and base; the innermost layer consists of narrow, hyaline compressed rows of cells that merge with pseudoparaphyses. **Hamath-**



**Figure 5.** *Montagnula aquilariae* (HKAS 126542) **a, b** ascocarps on natural wood surface **c** vertical section through an ascocarp **d** ostiolar neck **e** peridium cells at the apex **f** peridium cells at the side **g** pseudoparaphyses **h–l** asci **m–r** ascospores (see verruculose feature of the ascospore in **r**) **s, t** culture characters on PDA (**s** = above, **t** = reverse). Scale bars: 100 µm (**c, d**); 50 µm (**e**); 10 µm (**e–g, m–r**); 20 µm (**h–l**).

**ecium** of 2–4 µm broad, dense, narrow, branched, cellular pseudoparaphyses. **Asci** 100–120 × 16–22 µm ( $\bar{x} = 110.8 \times 18.4$  µm,  $n = 20$ ), bitunicate, fissitunicate, cylindrical-clavate to clavate, pedicel 30–50 µm long, 8-spored, biseriate, with a minute ocular chamber best seen in immature ascus. **Ascospores** 20–25 × 8.5–11 µm ( $\bar{x} = 21.8 \times 9.6$  µm,  $n = 30$ ), ellipsoidal to narrowly oblong, straight or somewhat curved, ends conically rounded, golden-brown to dark brown,

1-septate, constricted at the septum, large guttules in each cell, verruculose, with a thin mucilaginous sheath. **Anamorph** Undetermined.

**Habitat and distribution.** This species is found in terrestrial habitats of Yunnan, China, specifically inhabiting dead woody twigs of deciduous hosts, including *Aquilaria sinensis* (Hyde et al. 2023, this study).

**Material examined.** CHINA, Yunnan Province, Kunming City, Kunming Institute of Botany (25.141723°N, 102.750013°E, 1970 m), on dead woody litter of an unidentified plant, 24 April 2022, L. Qinxian, KIB22-17-1 (HKAS 126542), living culture KUNCC 23-14430; *ibid.* 25.141487°N, 102.748863°E, 1982 m, K2B22-17-3 (HKAS 126543), living culture KUNCC 23-14431; *ibid.* K2B22-17-4 (HKAS 126544), living culture KUNCC 23-14432.

**Notes.** *Montagnula aquilariae* was recently introduced by Hyde et al. (2023) based on samples obtained from *Aquilaria sinensis* in Xishuangbanna, Yunnan Province. In our new collections, three strains (KUNCC 23-14430, KUNCC 23-14431, KUNCC 23-14432) exhibited a monophyletic relationship with the previously known strains of *Montagnula aquilariae* (KUNCC 22-10815 [ex-type] and KUNCC 22-10816). Through further morphological, ecological, and nucleotide (SSU, LSU, ITS, tef1- $\alpha$ ) comparisons, we have confirmed that these new strains indeed belong to *Montagnula aquilariae*. Our research also provides additional insights into the characteristics of *Montagnula aquilariae*. Specifically, we report the verruculose feature of the ascospores and present *rpb2* sequence data for this fungus, advancing our knowledge of its morphological and genetic attributes.

***Montagnula chromolaenicola* Mapook & K.D. Hyde, Fungal Diversity 101: 35 (2020)**

MycoBank No: 557298

**Descriptions and illustrations.** See Mapook et al. (2020).

**Habitat and distribution.** This species was observed in terrestrial habitats in Mae Hong Son, Thailand, specifically on dead stems of *Chromolaena odorata* (Mapook et al. 2020). Additionally, it has also been found in terrestrial habitats in Yunnan, China, where it inhabits dead wood of deciduous hosts (this study).

**Material examined.** CHINA, Yunnan Province, Honghe County, Honghe Hani and Yi Autonomous Prefecture, Dayangjiexiang (23.389965°N, 102.225552°E, 1201 m), on a dead woody climber of an unidentified host, 13 March 2023, D.N. Wanasinghe, DWHH23-17A (HKAS 130321), living culture KUNCC 23-14426. *ibid.* 23.389295°N, 102.224780°E, 1200 m, on dead twigs of *Lagerstroemia* sp. DWHH23-33-2 (HKAS 126543), living culture KUNCC 23-14427; *ibid.* DWHH23-33-3 (HKAS 130320), living culture KUNCC 23-14558.

**Notes.** Through our phylogenetic analyses, we have determined that the newly isolated strains HH33 and HH17A exhibit a monophyletic relationship with the ex-type strain of *Montagnula chromolaenicola* (MFLUCC 17-1469). Upon conducting further investigations and morphological comparison of our collection with the type species, we have discovered several similarities. These include the size range of the ascomata, ascii, and ascospores, as well as the ascospore septation (Mapook et al. 2020). Consequently, we hereby document our new collections (i.e. HKAS 130321, HKAS 126543 and HKAS 130320) as

new records of *Montagnula chromolaenicola* in China. In a recent study by Sun et al. (2023), *Montagnula chromolaenicola*, *M. puerensis*, *M. saikhuensis*, and *M. thailandica* were synonymized under the name *M. donacina* due to the absence of obvious branches in their phylogenetic tree and the close morphological resemblance between these species. However, it is important to note that most of these strains lack informative sequence data for *tef1-a* or *rpb2*. Our observations, on the other hand, have revealed that the inclusion of protein data in this group leads to the formation of distinct branches and independent lineages. Therefore, we propose retaining the older names for these species, except for *Montagnula thailandica*, until further research resolves this group using all available sequence data.

***Montagnula donacina* (Niessl) Wanas., E.B.G. Jones & K.D. Hyde, Index Fungorum 319: 1 (2017)**  
MycoBank No: 552762

**Descriptions and illustrations.** See Pitt et al. (2014).

**Habitat and distribution.** This species has been reported worldwide on various hosts within terrestrial habitats (see Table 2). Specifically, it has been documented in Australia (*Calamus australis*, *Vitis vinifera*), Brazil (*Bambusoideae*, *Saccharum officinarum*), Central African Republic (*Coffea robusta*), China (*Althaea rosea*, *Craterellus odoratus*, *Trachycarpus fortunei*), Colombia (unknown plant), France (*Pseudosasa japonica*), Georgia (*Zea mays*), India (*Acacia* sp., *Adhatoda vasica*, *Ailanthus altissima*, *Annona squamosa*, *Cajanus cajan*, *Careya arborea*, *Citrus aurantiifolia*, *Clerodendrum infortunatum*, *C. multiflorum*, *Duranta repens*, *Ficus glomerata*, *Hibiscus* sp., *Ipomoea carnea*, *Mallotus philippinensis*, *Morus alba*, *Nerium odoratum*, *Pistacia indica*, *Tectona grandis*, *Terminalia tomentosa*), Japan (*Phyllostachys bambusoides*), Myanmar (*Nephelium litchi*), Namibia (*Acacia reficiens*), Papua New Guinea (*Bambusoideae*), Paraguay (*Coffea arabica*), Philippines (*Premna cumingiana*), Portugal (*Arundo donax*), Sierra Leone (*Funtumia africana*), Thailand (dead wood) and the USA (*Platanus* sp., *Wikstroemia* sp.).

**Material examined.** CHINA, Yunnan Province, Honghe (23.424892°N, 102.231417°E, 600 m), on dead woody litter of an unidentified plant, 14 August 2022, D.N. Wanasinghe, DWHH22-23-1 (HKAS 126545), living culture KUNCC 23-14428. *ibid.* DWHH22-23-2 (HKAS 126546), living culture KUNCC 23-14429.

**Notes.** Wanasinghe et al. (2016) regarded *Munkovalsaria* as a synonym of *Montagnula* and established *Montagnula donacina* (=*Munkovalsaria donacina*). So far, *Montagnula donacina* stands as the most extensively distributed species within the genus. Despite its global presence, there is a scarcity of molecular data available for *Montagnula donacina*. A preliminary analysis revealed only 20 sequence data entries when searching for “*Montagnula donacina*” in the NCBI database, originating from only seven strains: HFG07004, HKAS 124552, HVV01, KUMCC 21-0579, KUMCC 21-0631, KUMCC 21-0653, and UESTCC:23.0030. Our phylogenetic analysis demonstrated a close relationship between two strains designated as *Montagnula donacina* (HVV01 and HFG07004) and the type strain of *Montagnula chromolaenicola* (MFLUCC

17-1469). Additionally, we observed that the strains of *Montagnula thailandica* formed a monophyletic group alongside the remaining *Montagnula donacina* strains (HKAS 124552, KUMCC 21-0579, KUMCC 21-0631, KUMCC 21-0653, and UESTCC:23.0030). Furthermore, two newly generated sequences, KUNCC 23-14428 and KUNCC 23-14429, were also clustered with the strains of *Montagnula donacina*. We hereby introduce these two strains as belonging to *Montagnula donacina* and provide *rpb2* sequence data for this species for the first time.

***Montagnula lijiangensis* Wanas., sp. nov.**

Mycobank No: 850093

Fig. 6

**Etymology.** The specific epithet “*lijiangensis*” refers to Lijiang, Yunnan Province, where the holotype was collected.


**Holotype.** HKAS 126541.

**Description.** **Saprobic** on dead woody litter of *Quercus* sp. **Teleomorph Ascomata** 500–700 µm high × 500–600 µm diam., immersed, gregarious or rarely clustered, globose to subglobose, ostiolate. **Ostiole** 100–140 × 80–120 µm ( $\bar{x} = 125 \times 96$  µm, n = 5), apapillate, central, straight, filled with hyaline cells. **Peridium** 20–30 µm thin on the sides and can reach up to 70 µm near the apex, with an outer layer consisting of heavily pigmented cells that have thick walls and exhibit a ***textura angularis*** texture at the apex, ***textura angularis*** texture at the sides and base; the innermost layer consists of narrow, hyaline compressed rows of cells. **Hamathecium** of 3–7.5 µm broad, dense, narrow, branched, cellular pseudoparaphyses that are swollen at the base. **Asci** 130–160 × 20–26 µm ( $\bar{x} = 152.8 \times 23.9$  µm, n = 20), bitunicate, fissitunicate, cylindrical-clavate to clavate, pedicel 30–60 µm long, 8-spored, uni to biseriate, with a minute ocular chamber best seen in immature ascus. **Ascospores** 22–26 × 10–14 µm ( $\bar{x} = 24.8 \times 11.8$  µm, n = 30), ellipsoidal to narrowly oblong, mostly straight, with conically rounded ends at the immature stage that become rounded when mature, golden-brown to dark brown, 1-septate and constricted at the septum, with large guttules in each cell, verruculose, surrounded by a thick mucilaginous sheath. **Anamorph** Undetermined.

**Habitat and distribution.** This species is found in terrestrial habitats of Yunnan, China, inhabiting dead woody twigs of deciduous hosts (this study).

**Material examined.** CHINA, Yunnan Province, Lijiang, Yulong County (26.86389°N, 99.824738°E, 2725 m), on dead woody litter of *Quercus* sp. (Fagaceae), 17 August 2021, L. Qinxian, STX09-03-1 (**holotype**, HKAS 126541, *ibid.* 26.863484°N, 99.824548°E, 2706 m, STX09-03-3 (HKAS 126540).

**Notes.** The analysis of two newly generated sequences revealed a monophyletic clade in our phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 1), demonstrating a close phylogenetic relationship to *Montagnula aquilariae*. This relationship is further supported by morphological features such as ascii and ascospores. However, a comparison of nucleotide differences (without gaps) between these two clades (KUNCC 22-10815 and KUNCC 23-14430 vs HKAS 126541) showed 12/508 (2.3%) differences in the ITS region, 15/885 (1.7%) differences in the *tef1-a* region, and 19/956 (2%) differences in the *rpb2* region.



**Figure 6.** *Montagnula lijiangensis* (HKAS 126541, holotype) **a, b** ascocarps on natural wood surface **c** vertical section through an ascus **d** ostiolar neck and peridium cells at the apex **e** pseudoparaphyses **f–i** ascospores **j–o** ascospores (see verruculose feature of the ascospore in **k**). Scale bars: 100 µm (**c**); 20 µm (**d, f–i**); 10 µm (**e–o**).

***Montagnula menglaensis* Wanas., sp. nov.**


Mycobank No: 850094

Fig. 7

**Etymology.** The specific epithet “menglaensis” refers to Mengla County, Yunnan Province, where the holotype was collected.

**Holotype.** HKAS 130318.

**Description.** **Saprobic** on dead culms of *Indocalamus tessellatus* (Munro) Keng f. **Teleomorph Ascomata** 200–300 µm high × 240–320 µm diam.,



**Figure 7.** *Montagnula menglaensis* (HKAS 130318, holotype) **a–c** ascomata on natural wood surface **d, e** vertical section through ascomata **f, g** pseudoparaphyses **h** peridium **i–k** ascospores (see verruculose feature of the ascospore in **n**) **o, p** culture characters on PDA (**o** = above, **p** = reverse) **q, r** conidiomata **s** pycnidial wall **t** conidia. Scale bars: 100 µm (**d, e**); 10 µm (**f–h, l–n, s, t**); 20 µm (**i–k**).

immersed, gregarious or rarely clustered, globose to subglobose. **Peridium** 10–25 µm thin with an outer layer consisting of heavily pigmented cells that have thick walls and exhibit a **textura angularis** texture at the sides and base; the innermost layer consists of narrow, hyaline compressed rows of cells. **Hamathecium** of 3–7.5 µm broad, dense, branched, cellular pseudoparaphyses that are swollen at some septa. **Asci** 60–80 × 9–11 µm ( $\bar{x} = 71 \times 9.8$  µm, n = 15), bitunicate, fissitunicate, cylindrical-clavate, pedicel 15–30 µm long, 8-spored, uni to biseriate, with a minute ocular chamber best seen in immature ascus. **Ascospores** 10.5–14 × 4.5–5.5 µm ( $\bar{x} = 12.6 \times 5.1$  µm, n = 20), ellipsoidal, mostly straight, with conically rounded ends, golden-brown to dark brown, 1-septate and constricted at the septum, upper cell wider than the lower cell, with large guttules in each cell, verruculose, and surrounded by a thin mucilaginous sheath which is thicker at both ends. **Anamorph** Coelomycetous on PDA. **Conidiomata** pycnidial, gregarious, immersed to superficial, globose to subglobose, dark brown to black. **Pycnidial wall** thin, composed of brown cells of **textura angularis**. **Conidiogenous cells** did not observed. **Conidia** 2.3–3.3 × 1.4–2 µm ( $\bar{x} = 3 \times 1.7$  µm, n = 30), hyaline, aseptate, round to oblong or ellipsoidal, with small guttules.

**Culture characteristics.** Ascospores germinated on PDA within 24 h. Following a two-week incubation period at 25 °C, the colonies on PDA medium reached a diameter of 5 cm. These colonies exhibited an undulate margin, initially appearing creamy whitish and transitioning to orange, raised in the center. The colonies were orange at the center and a creamy orange towards the periphery when observed from the reverse side.

**Habitat and distribution.** This species is found in terrestrial habitats of Yunnan, China, inhabiting dead woody twigs of deciduous hosts (this study).

**Material examined.** CHINA, Yunnan Province, Xishuangbanna, Mengla County (21.588394°N, 101.435042°E, 776 m), on dead culms of *Indocalamus tessellatus*, 29 January 2022, L. Qinxiang, ML23-7-3 (holotype, HKAS 130318), ex-type KUNCC 23-14424; *ibid.* 21.589178°N, 101.435752°E, 782 m, ML23-7-2 (HKAS 130316), living culture KUNCC 23-14422; *ibid.* ML23-7-5 HKAS 130317), living culture KUNCC 23-14423.

**Notes.** *Montagnula menglaensis* is described as a novel species based on its holomorph. The anamorph of *Montagnula* is rarely encountered; however, Crous et al. (2020) recently reported *Montagnula cylindrospora* based on its anamorphic features. The conidia of *Montagnula menglaensis* resemble to those of *M. cylindrospora*, although the latter fungus exhibits a more cylindrical shape.

#### ***Montagnula shangrilana* Wanas., sp. nov.**


Mycobank No: 850095

Fig. 8

**Etymology.** The specific epithet “shangrilana” refers to Shangri-La, Yunnan Province, where the holotype was collected.

**Holotype.** HKAS 126539.

**Description.** **Saprobic** on dead woody litter of *Rhododendron* sp. **Teleomorph Ascomata** 120–180 µm high × 150–210 µm diam., immersed to semi-eruptent,



**Figure 8.** *Montagnula shangrilana* (HKAS 126541, holotype) **a** ascomata on natural wood surface **b** vertical section through an ascoma **c** pseudoparaphyses **d** peridium cells **e–h** ascii **i–o** ascospores (see verruculose feature of the ascospore in **o**). Scale bars: 100 µm (**b**); 10 µm (**c, d, j–o**); 20 µm (**e–h**).

gregarious or rarely clustered, globose to subglobose, ostiolate. **Ostiole** 80–110 × 50–80 µm ( $\bar{x} = 100 \times 64$  µm,  $n = 6$ ), papillate, central, straight, filled with hyaline cells. **Peridium** 10–20 µm thin on the sides and can reach up to 40 µm near

the apex, with an outer layer consisting of heavily pigmented cells that have thick walls and exhibit a ***textura angularis*** arrangement at the apex, ***textura angularis*** texture at the sides; the innermost layer consists of hyaline compressed rows of cells. ***Hamathecium*** of 2–4.5 µm broad, dense, branched, cellular pseudoparaphyses. ***Asci*** 90–140 × 20–30 µm ( $\bar{x} = 116.2 \times 24$  µm,  $n = 10$ ), bitunicate, fissitunicate, cylindrical-clavate, pedicel 25–40 µm long, 8-spored, uni to biserrate, with a minute ocular chamber best seen in immature ascus. ***Ascospores*** 48–60 × 17–22 µm ( $\bar{x} = 55.8 \times 19.3$  µm,  $n = 20$ ), ellipsoidal to narrowly oblong, mostly straight, with conically rounded ends at the immature stage that become rounded when mature, golden-brown to dark brown, 3-septate, with large guttules in each cell, verruculose, surrounded by a thick mucilaginous sheath.

**Anamorph** Undetermined.

**Culture characteristics.** Ascospores germinated on PDA within 24 h. Following a two-week incubation period at 25 °C, the colonies on PDA medium reached a diameter of 5 cm. These colonies exhibited a filiform margin, initially appearing whitish and transitioning to greenish gray, raised in the center. The colonies were grey at the center and a greenish gray towards the periphery and radiated when observed from the reverse side.

**Habitat and distribution.** This species is found in terrestrial habitats of Yunnan, China, inhabiting dead woody twigs of deciduous hosts, in a subalpine environment (this study).

**Material examined.** CHINA, Yunnan Province, Diqing Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture, Shangri-La (27.289707°N, 100.034477°E, 2744 m), on dead woody litter of *Rhododendron* sp. (Ericaceae), 22 August 2021, L. Qinxiang, WTS8-2-2 (holotype, HKAS 126539), ex-type KUNCC 23-14434; *ibid.* (27.290007°N, 100.035233°E, 2833 m, WTS8-2 (HKAS 126538), living culture KUNCC 23-14433).

**Notes.** In the combined SSU, LSU, ITS, *tef1-a*, and *rpb2* phylogenetic analysis, two strains of *Montagnula shangrilana* (HKAS 126538, HKAS 126539) formed a monophyletic clade closely related to *M. camporesii* (MFLUCC 16-1369), *M. cirsii* (MFLUCC 13-0680), and *M. scabiosae* (MFLUCC 14-0954). While there were slight variations in size, shape, and color, all four species shared the common characteristic of 3-transversely septate ascospores. The sequence data of *Montagnula camporesii*, *M. cirsii*, and *M. scabiosae* showed no significant differences in their base pair comparisons, suggesting that they may be conspecific. Morphologically, these three species exhibited clavate asci and ellipsoid to fusiform, brown, overlapping, 3-septate ascospores. In contrast, our newly discovered species differed from these three species by 10/508 (1.96%) differences in the ITS region, 13/885 (1.5%) differences in the *tef1-a* region, and 15/956 (1.56%) differences in the *rpb2* region (only *M. camporesii* possesses *rpb2*).


#### ***Montagnula thevetiae* Wanas., sp. nov.**

MycoBank No: 850096

Fig. 9

**Etymology.** The specific epithet “*thevetiae*” refers to the host *Thevetia peruviana* from which the holotype was isolated.

**Holotype.** HKAS 126964.



**Figure 9.** *Montagnula thevetiae* (HKAS 126564, holotype). **a, b** ascomata on natural wood surface **c** vertical section through an ascoma **d** closeup of ostiole **e** pseudoparaphyses **f–h** asci **j–l** ascospores **m, n** culture characteristics on PDA (m = above, n = reverse). Scale bars: 100 µm (c); 50 µm (d, f–h); 10 µm (e, i–l).

**Description.** **Saprobic** on dead twigs of *Thevetia peruviana*. **Teleomorph** **Ascomata** 140–160 µm high × 150–190 µm diam., immersed, gregarious or rarely clustered, globose to subglobose, ostiolate. **Ostiole** 40–65 × 50–90 µm ( $\bar{x} = 50 \times 78$  µm, n = 6), papillate, central, straight, filled with hyaline to brown cells. **Peridium** 10–20 µm thin on the sides and can reach up to 30 µm near the apex, with an outer layer consisting of heavily pigmented cells that have thick walls and **textura angularis** arrangement, the inner layer consists of hyaline compressed rows of cells. **Hamathecium** of 2–3.5 µm broad, dense, branched, cellular pseudoparaphyses. **Asci** 110–160 × 25–35 µm ( $\bar{x} = 126.4 \times 30.3$  µm, n = 12), bitunicate, fissitunicate, cylindrical-clavate, pedicel 25–35 µm long, 8-spored, uni to biseriate, with a minute ocular chamber best seen in immature ascus.

**Ascospores**  $30\text{--}40 \times 11.5\text{--}14 \mu\text{m}$  ( $\bar{x} = 37.3 \times 12.8 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $n = 20$ ), ellipsoidal to narrowly oblong, straight to curved, with conically rounded ends, brown to dark brown, 1-septate, constricted at the septum, with large guttules in each cell, verruculose, surrounded by a thin mucilaginous sheath. **Anamorph** Undetermined.

**Culture characteristics.** Ascospores germinated on PDA within 24 h. Following a two-week incubation period at 25 °C, the colonies on PDA medium reached a diameter of 4 cm. These colonies exhibit an irregular, flattened to slightly raised morphology and display various color sectors ranging from white, creamy orange to pale brown. The reverse side of the colonies appears creamy orange, with occasional dark patches that can be observed.

**Habitat and distribution.** This species is found in terrestrial habitats of Yunnan, China, inhabiting dead woody twigs of *Thevetia peruviana* (this study).

**Material examined.** CHINA, Yunnan Province, Kunming city, Kunming Institute of Botany (25.142238°N, 102.750354°E, 1971 m), on dead twigs of *Thevetia peruviana*, 24 April 2022, L. Qinxian, K2B22-26-2 (holotype, HKAS 126964), *ibid.* (25.140859°N, 102.749045°E, 1968 m, K2B22-26 (HKAS 126963).

**Notes.** *Montagnula thevetiae* is isolated from the dead twigs of *Thevetia peruviana*. The newly obtained sequences of this fungus formed a monophyletic clade closely related to *Montagnula menglaensis*. Morphologically, they share similarities in having 1-septate ascospores, although *Montagnula thevetiae* exhibits a darker pigmentation. On the other hand, *Montagnula thevetiae* differs from *M. menglaensis* by 15/1023 (1.46%) differences in the SSU region, 19/895 (2.12%) differences in the LSU region, 32/508 (6.3%) differences in the ITS region, 27/885 (3%) differences in the tef1-a region, and 86/956 (9%) differences in the rpb2 region.

## Discussion

### *Montagnula* species in Yunnan Province

The study of lignicolous microfungi in Yunnan Province resulted in the collection of eight *Montagnula* species, including four novel species. This study contributes to our understanding of the diversity and distribution of *Montagnula* species and provides insight into the ecological roles played by these fungi in their respective habitats. *Montagnula aquatica* was previously documented as occurring on submerged decaying wood within a freshwater habitat in Thailand (Sun et al. 2023). However, our recent collection of this species was obtained from a terrestrial habitat in China. The holotype was collected in the Bandu District of the Chiang Rai Province, situated at an approximate elevation of 400–450 m and characterized by a tropical climate. The collection site was near to a waterfall (Sun et al. 2023). In contrast, our new collections were made in the Honghe region of Yunnan Province, which possesses an elevation of approximately 1200 m. The local environment in this region is characterized by poor, eroded soils, steep valleys, and a subtropical climate. This observation suggests that *Montagnula aquatica* may possess an adaptable nature, enabling it to thrive in a wide range of habitats across diverse geographic locations. *Montagnula aquilariae*, another species within the genus, has been identified in the terrestrial habitats of Yunnan, China. It specifically colonizes dead woody twigs of deciduous hosts, including *Aquilaria sinensis* (Hyde et al. 2023). The

holotype of this species was collected from a hilly area in Nanmo, Menghai and Xishuangbanna, situated at an elevation of ~1100 m and characterized by a tropical climate. Additional collections were made from Kunming, located within the same province but at an elevation of ~2000 m, and characterized by a warm and temperate climate. *Montagnula chromolaenicola* has been observed in terrestrial habitats in Thailand, particularly on dead stems of *Chromolaena odorata* (Mapook et al. 2020). The holotype of this species was collected from the Mae Yen mountainous area of Mae Hong Son Province, at an elevation of ~900 m. The local environment of this area exhibits a tropical savanna climate. In our study, we collected this fungus from a terrestrial habitat within the steep valleys of subtropical Honghe, Yunnan, China. In this region, *Montagnula chromolaenicola* was found to inhabit the dead woody litter of deciduous hosts. *Montagnula donacina* has been reported across various terrestrial habitats worldwide, with the majority of records originating from India (Table 1). This species primarily associates with hosts from the Poaceae family. In our study, we collected *Montagnula donacina* from the subtropical Honghe region in China, specifically on decaying woody litter at an elevation of ~600 m. *Montagnula lijiangensis* was collected from terrestrial habitats at a high elevation of ~2725 m. This species was found on dead woody litter of *Quercus* sp. within an environment characterized by a mild subtropical highland climate. *Montagnula menglaensis* was discovered in the terrestrial habitats of Mengla County, Yunnan, China. It was observed colonizing dead culms of *Indocalamus tessellatus*. The local environment of this region exhibits a tropical savanna climate, with an elevation of ~800 m. *Montagnula shangrilana* was found in the terrestrial habitats of Shangri-La, Yunnan, China, where it inhabits dead woody twigs of *Rhododendron* sp. This species has also been observed at higher elevations, reaching ~2800 m, within an environment characterized by a humid continental climate. *Montagnula thevetiae* was discovered within the terrestrial habitat of the botanical garden at the Kunming Institute of Botany in Yunnan, China. This species was found colonizing dead woody twigs of *Thevetia peruviana*. The collection site is situated at an elevation of ~2000 m and experiences a warm and temperate climate.

### Taxonomic reassessment and phylogenetic analysis of *Montagnula* species

In a recent study conducted by Sun et al. (2023), *Montagnula chromolaenicola*, *M. puerensis*, *M. saikhuensis*, and *M. thailandica* were regarded as the synonyms of *M. donacina* (Wanasinghe et al. 2016). This decision was based on the absence of clear branches in their phylogenetic tree and the close morphological resemblance between these species. However, upon further examination, it was observed in this study that only *Montagnula donacina* and *M. thailandica* appear to be conspecific, based on combined gene analyses (Fig. 1). When informative sequence data such as *tef1-a* or *rpb2* were added to the analysis for *Montagnula chromolaenicola*, *M. puerensis*, *M. saikhuensis*, and *M. thailandica*, distinct branches and independent lineages were observed (Fig. 1). This suggests that these species are separate entities. Notably, two sequences of *M. donacina* (HVVV01 and HFG07004) were found to be monophyletic with the type strain of *Montagnula chromolaenicola* (MFLUCC 17-1469), indicating that they belong

to the latter species. In the case of *Montagnula camporesii* (MFLUCC 16-1369), *M. cirsii* (MFLUCC 13-0680), and *M. scabiosae* (MFLUCC 14-0954), the type strains formed a monophyletic lineage as a single species. Nucleotide base pair comparison of LSU, SSU, and ITS between these three strains did not reveal any differences. Therefore, it is suggested that *Montagnula camporesii* and *M. cirsii* should be synonymized under *M. scabiosae*, as it is the oldest name. However, it is important to note that this taxonomic clarification was not within the scope of our study, and future studies should compare the morphology of the holomorphs to resolve any remaining taxonomic confusion. Apart from these two clades, all other species formed distinct lineages in the multi-gene phylogenetic analysis. Out of the accepted 54 species in this genus, sequence data are currently available for only 28 species, including the four new species introduced in this study. This leaves approximately 48% of the species in need of phylogenetic sorting. Hence, future studies based on taxonomy should prioritize obtaining DNA sequence data for the remaining species. They should aim to acquire informative sequence data, such as *tef1-a* and *rpb2*, for all strains, and focus on revising the taxonomy of all species within the genus *Montagnula*.

### **Morphological characterization of *Montagnula* species**

The genus *Montagnula* exhibits rare reporting of its anamorphic features, with only one species, *M. cylindrospora*, described from its anamorph in addition to our study (Crous et al. 2020). This finding has helped confirm its phylogenetic placement within the genus. The teleomorph, rather than the anamorph, appears to be more commonly observed in the natural environment. The majority of *Montagnula* species produce immersed or semi-immersed ascomata, which are globose to subglobose in shape and possess a central papillate ostiole. However, there are a few exceptions, such as *M. camporesii*, *M. cirsii*, and *M. longipes*, which have been reported to have superficial ascomata. Upon closer examination, it becomes apparent that *Montagnula camporesii* and *M. cirsii* actually have semi-immersed ascomata, as illustrated in Hyde et al. (2016, 2020). It is worth mentioning that Aptroot (1995) did not illustrate the ascomata, and their orientation remains unclear. Additionally, only one species, *Montagnula bellevaliae*, has been reported to possess an eccentric ostiole (Hongsanan et al. 2015). The peridium cells of *Montagnula* species commonly exhibit a thick-walled arrangement with a *textura angularis* pattern. Notably, the cells near the apex are often thicker compared to those on the sides and base walls. A distinguishing characteristic for species within this genus is the presence of swollen cells in pseudoparaphyses. The asci, typically exhibit a cylindrical to clavate shape with a prominent pedicel. Ascospores in *Montagnula* are predominantly described as ellipsoidal to fusiform, pigmented, and septate. The majority of species (>15) have ascospores with a single septum, while some species, including *M. dasylirionis*, *M. dura*, *M. infernalis*, *M. mohavensis*, *M. phragmospora*, *M. spinosella*, and *M. yuccigena*, have been reported to possess muriform spores (Du et al. 2023). The remaining species have ascospores with either 3 or 5 septa. A distinct characteristic within the genus is the verruculose surface texture of the ascospores which is neglected by most of the studies. Only *Montagnula appendiculata*, *M. chiangraiensis*, and *M. chromolaenae* have been documented to possess polar appendages (Aptroot 2004; Mapook et al. 2020).

## **Ecological preferences and worldwide distribution of *Montagnula* species through culture-dependent studies**

The information we gathered from our culture-based investigations revealed that *Montagnula* species were found on 105 genera in 45 distinct plant families, in 55 countries (Table 1). This highlights the wide ecological range and adaptability of *Montagnula* species across different hosts and geographic regions. Among the plant families, Poaceae emerged as the most significant contributor, yielding the highest number of isolated *Montagnula* species (Fig. 4). This finding suggests a potential association between *Montagnula* species and grasses, indicating the ecological importance of the Poaceae family in the life cycle and development of *Montagnula* species. Furthermore, *Montagnula* species were also detected in other plant families, such as Asparagaceae and Fabaceae, indicating their potential interactions with a diverse range of host plants. Among the more than 100 plant genera associated with *Montagnula* species, *Agave* (Asparagaceae), *Opuntia* (Cactaceae), *Phoenix* (Arecaceae), *Ammophila* (Poaceae), and *Yucca* (Asparagaceae) were found to have the greatest number of species, collectively representing 25% of the total count. This highlights the potential preference of *Montagnula* species for these specific plant genera within their respective families. The analysis of country-wise distribution revealed that India had the highest number of *Montagnula* entries (Table 1). The majority of these entries were attributed to *Montagnula donacina*, indicating a wide distribution of this species in India. Among the countries where *Montagnula* species were reported, China exhibited the highest diversity with nine different species, followed by Italy and the USA with seven different species each. This suggests regional variations in the diversity and distribution of *Montagnula* species. Interestingly, our study also detected *Montagnula* species in mushrooms and human skin samples, indicating their presence in alternative sources and potential interactions with other organisms. This highlights the need for further investigation into the ecological roles and potential impacts of *Montagnula* species in these non-traditional habitats. Except for Antarctica, *Montagnula donacina* has been reported from various countries across all six continents. Additionally, it has been identified in 25 different plant families. Investigating the reasons behind its wide distribution and adaptation to diverse ecological conditions would be intriguing. Future studies should focus on the morphological features, secondary metabolites, and gene data-based analyses of the species. To date, only six studies, including this one, have provided entries featuring both morphology and DNA-based sequence data evidence (Pitt et al. 2014; Zhao et al. 2018; Ren et al. 2022a; Li et al. 2023; Sun et al. 2023).

These findings elucidate the global distribution and ecological preferences of *Montagnula* species, highlighting the significance of different sources and plant families in their occurrence and potential ecological interactions. The wide range of sources from which species were identified suggests their adaptability and potential ecological roles in various ecosystems. The study also has important implications for our understanding of the ecology and biology of *Montagnula* fungi. All of the new species described in this study were found to be associated with dead wood, indicating the role that these fungi play in the decomposition of organic matter in forest ecosystems. We suggest that future studies could investigate the functional roles played by *Montagnula* fungi in ecosystem processes, such as carbon and nutrient cycling.

## Global biogeography and ecological versatility of *Montagnula* based on metabarcoding data through culture-independent studies (NGS)

In addition to the taxonomic novelties, this study utilized metabarcoding data from the GlobalFungi database (Větrovský et al. 2020) to gain insights into the global diversity and distribution of *Montagnula*. Metabarcoding is a valuable tool that allows for the rapid identification of multiple species from complex environmental samples, providing confirmation of their presence in specific habitats. The analysis of multiple metabarcoding studies provided comprehensive information on the occurrence and distribution patterns of *Montagnula* species worldwide. The distribution of *Montagnula* across diverse biomes underscores their remarkable ecological adaptability and diversity. Forests, constituting 61% of their habitats, emerge as the predominant biome, indicating a strong preference or adaptation of the genus to forest ecosystems. Grasslands, accounting for 18%, also represent a significant habitat, suggesting the versatility in adapting to open and semi-open landscapes of them. Croplands (6%) and shrublands (7%) further exemplify the adaptability of *Montagnula*, thriving in both cultivated areas and natural, low-vegetation environments. Notably, woodlands and anthropogenic areas, representing 2% and 1% respectively, highlight the ability to exist in moderately wooded areas and regions significantly influenced by human activity. Additionally, their presence in aquatic environments, deserts, and wetlands, each accounting for 1% of their habitats, along with a notable 3% in mangroves, reflects the broad ecological niche of them. The marginal occurrence in tundras (0.1%) suggests a limited but notable ability to survive in extreme cold climates. The presence of *Montagnula* in such varied biomes underscores its ecological versatility and the importance of diverse habitats in understanding its biogeography.

The presence of *Montagnula* species has been documented in various regions of Africa, Arctic Ocean, Asia, Australia, Europe, Indian Ocean, North America, Pacific Ocean and South America indicating their widespread occurrence and ecological significance in these areas. In Asia, *Montagnula* species have been observed in multiple countries, including China, India, Indonesia, Iran, Japan, Malaysia, South Korea, Thailand and others (Suppl. material 1). The diverse range of habitats in these regions, such as freshwater habitats, terrestrial environments, and mountainous areas, offer suitable ecological niches for *Montagnula* colonization and growth. The detection of *Montagnula* species in different ecological contexts within Asia suggests their ability to adapt to various local conditions and substrates, contributing to their wide distribution across the continent. For example, in China, *Montagnula* species have been found in diverse habitats ranging from aquatic environments to forests and grasslands (Suppl. material 1), indicating their adaptability to different ecosystems. This adaptability may be attributed to their ability to utilize a wide range of organic materials as substrates, including decaying plant remains.

Australia also exhibits a notable presence of *Montagnula* species, indicating their occurrence in diverse habitats throughout the continent (Bissett et al. 2016; Luis et al. 2019; Turner et al. 2019; Gui et al. 2023). The unique ecosystems in Australia, including deserts, rainforests and grasslands, provide opportunities for *Montagnula* to establish themselves in different ecological niches. The metabarcoding studies were used for various biomes i.e. anthropogenic, aquatic, crop-land, desert, forest, grassland, mangrove, shrubland, wetland and woodland (Fig. 3). This highlights the higher presence and distribution of *Montagnula* in different

habitats within Australia. In Europe, *Montagnula* species have been recorded in several countries, including Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic (highest), Estonia, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Slovenia, Sweden, Switzerland and Spain (Suppl. material 1). The presence of *Montagnula* in Europe suggests their ability to adapt to different climates and ecological conditions. This broad distribution across Europe indicates the need for further investigation into the ecological preferences and potential impacts of *Montagnula* species in this region. For instance, studies in Europe have identified *Montagnula* species in different habitats, such as anthropogenic, aquatic, cropland, desert, forest, grassland, shrubland, tundra, wetland and woodland (Suppl. material 1). Africa and North America also demonstrates a diverse distribution of *Montagnula* species, with the majority of records coming from the South Africa, Namibia, Botswana, Zambia, Mozambique, Kenya, Kenya and Ivory Coast in Africa respectively. United States was having the highest number of sampling locations in North America. Comparatively, the occurrences of *Montagnula* species using metabarcoding data in China, the USA, and European countries are relatively well-documented. However, the rest of the world remains a mystery in terms of *Montagnula* distribution. For example, the majority of Asia, including India and Russia, lacks metabarcoding data for *Montagnula* species. This emphasizes the need for more extensive research and data collection to better understand the global distribution of *Montagnula* and its ecological roles.

## Conclusion

Our study on *Montagnula* species has provided valuable insights into their ecological preferences and global distribution patterns. The findings indicate that these fungi exhibit a wide range of climatic distribution, suggesting their adaptability to different temperature ranges and potentially reducing their vulnerability to climate change. The ability of *Montagnula* species to utilize a diverse range of organic materials as substrates, including decaying plant remains, contributes to their widespread distribution across various habitats. Our analysis revealed a diverse range of sources from which *Montagnula* species were detected, including freshwater and terrestrial habitats, further highlighting their ecological versatility. Sediments were found to be particularly rich in *Montagnula* sequences, suggesting their potential as suitable habitats for colonization and growth. Although moderate sequence similarity was observed across different sources and continents, regional variations in ecological preferences and distribution patterns were evident. The diverse host range observed in our field collections aligns with global meta-barcoding sources, emphasizing the ability of *Montagnula* species to thrive in various ecosystems. The ecological adaptability and versatility of *Montagnula* species underscore their success in colonizing diverse habitats. Further research and investigation into their biogeography will contribute to our understanding of their global distribution, ecological roles, and potential impacts on ecosystems. This knowledge is crucial for effective conservation efforts, understanding ecosystem dynamics, and managing ecological balance in different regions.

## Acknowledgments

We gratefully thank the Chinese Academy of Sciences for providing molecular laboratory facilities.

## Additional information

### Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### Ethical statement

No ethical statement was reported.

### Funding

Dhanushka Wanasinghe thanks CAS President's International Fellowship Initiative (number 2021FYB0005), the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under the project code 32150410362, Smart Yunnan Project (Young Scientists) under project code E13K281261 and the Postdoctoral Fund from Human Resources and Social Security Bureau of Yunnan Province. Thilina Nimalrathna expresses gratitude for the support provided by the Belt and Road Chinese Government Scholarship and The Alliance of International Science Organizations (ANSO) Ph.D. scholarship. We also extend our appreciation to the Researchers Supporting Project at King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, for funding this research project (Fund no. RSP2024R784). Jianchu Xu thanks National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number: 31861143002), the Yunnan Provincial Science and Technology Department (grant number: 202101AS070045), Yunnan Provincial Science and Technology Department (grant number: 202205AM070007) and Yunnan Department of Sciences and Technology of China (grant number: 202302AE090023).

### Author contributions

Conceptualization: DNW. Data curation: LQX, DNW. Formal analysis: TKF, DNW, TSN. Investigation: TSN, DNW. Methodology: TSN, DNW. Project administration: PEM, JX. Resources: JX. Supervision: JX, PEM. Writing – original draft: TSN, DNW. Writing – review and editing: PEM, TKF.

### Author ORCIDs

Dhanushka N. Wanasinghe  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1759-3933>

Thilina S. Nimalrathna  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2368-042X>

Li Qin Xian  <https://orcid.org/0009-0006-4936-9409>

Turki KH. Faraj  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6012-8474>

Jianchu Xu  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2485-2254>

Peter E. Mortimer  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3188-9327>

### Data availability

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text or Supplementary Information.

## References

- Abeywickrama PD, Wanasinghe DN, Karunaratna SC, Jayawardena RS, Hyde KD, Zhang W, Li X, Yan J (2020) A new host report of *Diaporthe manihotia* (Diaporthales, Ascomycota) from *Camellia* sp. in Yunnan province, China. Asian Journal of Mycology 3(1): 473–489. <https://doi.org/10.5943/ajom/3/1/17>
- Aluthmuhandiram JVS, Wanasinghe DN, Chethana KWT, Gafforov Y, Saichana N, Hong LX, Jiye Y, Mamarakhimov O (2022) Lophiostomataceae (Dothideomycetes): Intro-

- ducing *Lophiostoma khanzada-kirgizbaeva* sp. nov. and *Paucispora xishanensis* sp. nov. *Phytotaxa* 559(3): 247–262. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.559.3.3>
- Aptroot A (1995) Redisposition of some species excluded from *Didymosphaeria* (Ascomycotina). *Nova Hedwigia* 60: 325–379.
- Aptroot (2004) Two new ascomycetes with long gelatinous appendages collected from monocots in the tropics. *Studies in Mycology* 50: 307–311.
- Aptroot (2006) *Mycosphaerella* and its anamorphs: 2. Conspectus of *Mycosphaerella*. CBS Biodiversity Series 5: 1–231.
- Ariyawansa H, Tanaka K, Thambugala KM, Phookamsak R, Camporesi E, Hongsanan S, Monkai J, Wanasinghe DN, Tian Q, Mapook A, Chukeatirote E, Kang J-C, Xu J-C, McKenzie EHC, Jones EBG, Hyde KD (2014) A molecular phylogenetic reappraisal of the Didymosphaeriaceae (=Montagnulaceae). *Fungal Diversity* 68(1): 69–104. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-014-0305-6>
- Bánki O, Roskov Y, Döring M, Ower G, Vandepitte L, Hoborn D, Remsen D, Schalk P, De Walt RE, Keping M (2023) Catalogue of Life Checklist (Version 2023-01-12). Catalogue of Life. <https://doi.org/10.48580/dfqz>
- Barr ME (1990) Some dictyosporous genera and species of Pleosporales in North America. *Memoirs of the New York Botanical Garden* 62: 1–92.
- Bissett A, Fitzgerald A, Meintjes T, Mele PM, Reith F, Dennis PG, Breed MF, Brown B, Brown MV, Brugger J, Byrne M, Caddy-Retalic S, Carmody B, Coates DJ, Correa C, Ferrari BC, Gupta VVSR, Hamonts K, Haslem A, Hugenholz P, Karan M, Koval J, Lowe AJ, Macdonald S, McGrath L, Martin D, Morgan M, North Kl, Paungfoo-Lonhienne C, Pendall E, Phillips L, Pirzl R, Powell JR, Ragan MA, Schmidt S, Seymour N, Snape I, Stephen JR, Stevens M, Tinning M, Williams K, Yeoh YK, Zammit CM, Young A (2016) Introducing BASE: The Biomes of Australian Soil Environments soil microbial diversity database. *GigaScience* 5(1): 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13742-016-0126-5>
- Bivand R, Keitt T, Rowlingson B (2022) rgdal: Bindings for the 'Geospatial' Data Abstraction Library. R package version 1.6–2. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rgdal>
- Boonmee S, Wanasinghe DN, Calabon MS, Huanraluek N, Chandrasiri SKU, Jones GEB, Rossi W, Leonardi M, Singh SK, Rana S, Singh PN, Maurya DK, Lagashetti AC, Choudhary D, Dai YC, Zhao CL, Mu YH, Yuan HS, He SH, Phookamsak R, Jiang HB, Martín MP, Dueñas M, Tellería MT, Kałucka IL, Jagodziński AM, Liimatainen K, Pereira DS, Phillips AJL, Suwannarach N, Kumla J, Khuna S, Lumyong S, Potter TB, Shivas RG, Sparks AH, Vaghefi N, Abdel-Wahab MA, Abdel-Aziz FA, Li GJ, Lin WF, Singh U, Bhatt RP, Lee HB, Nguyen TTT, Kirk PM, Dutta AK, Acharya K, Sarma VV, Nirajan M, Rajeshkumar KC, Ashtekar N, Lad S, Wijayawardene NN, Bhat DJ, Xu RJ, Wijesinghe SN, Shen HW, Luo ZL, Zhang JY, Sysouphanthong P, Thongklang N, Bao DF, Aluthmuhandiram JVS, Abdollahzadeh J, Javadi A, Dovana F, Usman M, Khalid AN, Dissanayake AJ, Telagathoti A, Probst M, Peintner U, Garrido-Benavent I, Bóna L, Merényi Z, Boros L, Zoltán B, Stielow JB, Jiang N, Tian CM, Shams E, Dehghanizadeh F, Pordel A, Javan-Nikkhah M, Denchev TT, Denchev CM, Kemler M, Begerow D, Deng CY, Harrower E, Bozorov T, Kholmuradova T, Gafforov Y, Abdurazakov A, Xu JC, Mortimer PE, Ren GC, Jeewon R, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Phukhamsakda C, Mapook A, Hyde KD (2021) Fungal diversity notes 1387–1511: Taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions on genera and species of fungal taxa. *Fungal Diversity* 111(1): 1–335. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-021-00489-3>
- Bundhun D, Wanasinghe DN, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Bhat DJ, Huang S-K, Lumyong S, Mortimer PE, Hyde KD (2021) *Yuxiensis granularis* gen. et sp. nov., a novel quellkörper-bearing fungal taxon added to Scortechiniaceae and inclusion of Parasympodiella-

- ceae in Coronophorales based on phylogenetic evidence. *Life* 11(10): e1011. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life11101011>
- Checa J (2004) Dictyosporic Dothideales. *Flora Mycologica Iberica* 6: 1–162.
- Crivelli PG (1983) Über die heterogene Ascomycetengattung *Pleospora* Rabh.; Vorschlag für eine Aufteilung. Diss. ETH Zürich 7318: 1–213. <https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-a-000300166>
- Crous PW, Summerell BA, Shivas RG, Burgess TI, Decock CA, Dreyer LL, Granke LL, Guest DI, St J, Hardy GE, Hausbeck MK, Huberli D, Jung T, Koukol O, Lennox CL, Liew ECY, Lombard L, McTaggart AR, Pryke JS, Roets F, Saude C, Shuttleworth LA, Stukely MJC, Vanký K, Webster BJ, Windstam ST, Groenewald JZ (2012) Fungal planet description sheets: 107–127. *Persoonia* 28(1): 138–182. <https://doi.org/10.3767/003158512X652633>
- Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Chooi YH, Gilchrist CLM, Lacey E, Pitt JI, Roets F, Swart WJ, Caño-Lira JF, Valenzuela-Lopez N, Hubka V, Shivas RG, Stchigel AM, Holdom DG, Jurjević Ž, Kachalkin AV, Lebel T, Lock C, Martín MP, Tan YP, Tomashevskaya MA, Vitelli JS, Baseia IG, Bhatt VK, Brandrud TE, De Souza JT, Dima B, Lacey HJ, Lombard L, Johnston PR, Morte A, Papp V, Rodríguez A, Rodríguez-Andrade E, Semwal KC, Tegart L, Abad ZG, Akulov A, Alvarado P, Alves A, Andrade JP, Arenas F, Asenjo C, Ballarà J, Barrett MD, Berná LM, Berraf-Tebbal A, Bianchinotti MV, Bransgrove K, Burgess TI, Carmo FS, Chávez R, Čmoková A, Dearnaley JDW, Santiago ALCMA, Freitas-Neto JF, Denman S, Douglas B, Dovana F, Eichmeier A, Esteve-Raventós F, Farid A, Fedosova AG, Ferisin G, Ferreira RJ, Ferrer A, Figueiredo CN, Figueiredo YF, Reinoso-Fuentealba CG, Garrido-Benavent I, Cañete-Gibas CF, Gil-Durán C, Glushakova AM, Gonçalves MFM, González M, Gorczak M, Gorton C, Guard FE, Guarnizo AL, Guarro J, Gutiérrez M, Hamal P, Hien LT, Hocking AD, Houbraken J, Hunter GC, Inácio CA, Jourdan M, Kapitonov VI, Kelly L, Khanh TN, Kislo K, Kiss L, Kiyashko A, Kolařík M, Kruse J, Kubátová A, Kučera V, Kučerová I, Kušan I, Lee HB, Levicán G, Lewis A, Liem NV, Liimatainen K, Lim HJ, Lyons MN, Maciá-Vicente JG, Magaña-Dueñas V, Mahiques R, Malysheva EF, Marbach PAS, Marinho P, Matočec N, McTaggart AR, Mešić A, Morin L, Muñoz-Mohedano JM, Navarro-Ródenas A, Nicoll CP, Oliveira RL, Otsing E, Ovrebo CL, Pankratov TA, Paños A, Paz-Conde A, Pérez-Sierra A, Phosri C, Pintos Á, Pošta A, Prencipe S, Rubio E, Saitta A, Sales LS, Sanhueza L, Shuttleworth LA, Smith J, Smith ME, Spadaro D, Spetik M, Sochor M, Sochorová Z, Souza JO, Suwannasai N, Tedersoo L, Thanh HM, Thao LD, Tkalc̆ec Z, Vaghefi N, Venzhik AS, Verbeken A, Vizzini A, Voyron S, Wainhouse M, Whalley AJS, Wrzosek M, Zapata M, Zeil-Rolfe I, Groenewald JZ (2020) Fungal planet description sheets: 1042–1111. *Persoonia* 44(1): 301–459. <https://doi.org/10.3767/persoonia.2020.44.11>
- Dissanayake LS, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Mortimer PE, Hyde KD, Kang JC (2021) *Acrocordiella yunnanensis* sp. nov. (Requienellaceae, Xylariales) from Yunnan, China. *Phytotaxa* 487(2): 103–113. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.487.2.1>
- Du T, Hyde KD, Mapook A, Mortimer PE, Xu JC, Karunarathna SC, Tibpromma S (2021) Morphology and phylogenetic analyses reveal *Montagnula puerensis* sp. nov. (Didymosphaeriaceae, Pleosporales) from southwest China. *Phytotaxa* 514(1): 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.514.1.1>
- Eriksson OE (1992) The Non-Lichenized Pyrenomyctetes of Sweden. Btjtryck Lund, Sweden, 208 pp.
- Fakirova VI (2004) New record of Bulgarian ascomycetes. *Mycologia Balcanica* 1: 41–43.
- Felsenstein J (1985) Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution; International Journal of Organic Evolution* 39(4): 783–791. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2408678>

- French AM (1989) California Plant Disease Host Index. California Department of Food and Agriculture, Sacramento, 394 pp.
- Gao Y, Monkai J, Gentekaki E, Ren G-C, Wanasinghe DN, Xu J, Gui H (2021) *Dothidea kunmingensis*, a novel asexual species of Dothideaceae on *Jasminum nudiflorum* (winter jasmine) from Southwestern China. *Phytotaxa* 529(1): 43–56. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.529.1.3>
- Gui H, Breed M, Li Y, Xu Q, Yang J, Wanasinghe DN, Li Y, Xu J, Mortimer PM (2023) Continental-scale insights into the soil microbial co-occurrence networks of Australia and their environmental drivers. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 186: e109177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2023.109177>
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* 41: 95–98.
- Hijmans R (2023) raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling. R package version 3.6–26. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=raster>
- Holm L, Holm K (1993) The genus *Pleospora* s.l. from Svalbard. *Sydowia* 45: 167–187.
- Holm L, Holm K (1994) Svalbard Pyrenomycetes. An annotated checklist. *Karstenia* 34(2): 65–78. <https://doi.org/10.29203/ka.1994.308>
- Hongsanan S, Hyde KD, Bahkali AH, Camporesi E, Chomnunti P, Ekanayaka H, Gomes AAM, Hofstetter V, Jones EBG, Pinho DB, Pereira OL, Tian Q, Wanasinghe DN, Xu J-C, Buyck B (2015) Fungal biodiversity profiles 11–20. *Cryptogamie. Mycologie* 36(3): 355–380. <https://doi.org/10.7872/crym/v36.iss3.2015.355>
- Huhndorf SM (1992) Studies in *Leptosphaeria*. Transfer of *Leptosphaeria opuntiae* to *Montagnula* (Ascomycetes). *Brittonia* 44(2): 208–212. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2806834>
- Hyde KD, Aptroot A, Frohlich J, Taylor JE (1999) Fungi from palms. XLII. *Didymosphaeria* and similar ascomycetes from palms. *Nova Hedwigia* 69(3–4): 449–471. <https://doi.org/10.1127/nova.hedwigia/69/1999/449>
- Hyde KD, Hongsanan S, Jeewon R, Bhat DJ, McKenzie EHC, Jones EBG, Phookamsak R, Ariyawansa HA, Boonmee S, Zhao Q, Abdel-Aziz FA, Abdel-Wahab MA, Banmai S, Chomnunti P, Cui BK, Daranagama DA, Das K, Dayarathne MC, de Silva NI, Dissanayake AJ, Doilom M, Ekanayaka AH, Gibertoni TB, Go'ses-Neto A, Huang SK, Jayasiri SC, Jayawardena RS, Konta S, Lee HB, Li WJ, Lin CG, Liu JK, Lu YZ, Luo ZL, Manawasinghe IS, Manimohan P, Mapook A, Niskanen T, Norphanphoun C, Papizadeh M, Perera RH, Phukhamsakda C, Richter C, de Santiago ALCMA, Drechsler-Santos ER, Senanayake IC, Tanaka K, Tennakoon TMDS, Thambugala KM, Tian Q, Tibpromma S, Thongbai B, Vizzini A, Wanasinghe DN, Wijayawardene NN, Wu HX, Yang J, Zeng XY, Zhang H, Zhang JF, Bulgakov TS, Camporesi E, Bahkali AH, Amoozegar AM, Araujo-Neta LS, Ammirati JF, Baghela A, Bhatt RP, Bojantchev S, Buyck B, da Silva GA, de Lima CLF, de Oliveira RJV, de Souza CAF, Dai YC, Dima B, Duong TT, Ercole E, Mafalda-Freire F, Ghosh A, Hashimoto A, Kamolhan S, Kang JC, Karunaratna SC, Kirk PM, Kyto vuori I, Lantieri A, Liimatainen K, Liu ZY, Liu XZ, Lu cking R, Medardi G, Mortimer PE, Nguyen TTT, Promputtha I, Raj KNA, Reck MA, Lumyong S, Shahzadeh-Fazeli SA, Stadler M, Soudi MR, Su HY, Takahashi T, Tangthirasunun N, Uniyal P, Wang Y, Wen TC, Xu JC, Zhang ZK, Zhao YC, Zhou JZ, Zhu L (2016) Fungal diversity notes 367–490: Taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions to fungal taxa. *Fungal Diversity* 80: 1–270. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-016-0373-x>
- Hyde KD, Dong Y, Phookamsak R, Jeewon R, Bhat DJ, Jones EBG, Liu NG, Abeywickrama PD, Mapook A, Wei D, Perera RH, Manawasinghe IS, Pem D, Bundhun D, Karunaratna A, Ekanayaka AH, Bao DF, Li JF, Samarakoon MC, Chaiwan N, Lin CG, Phutthacharoen K, Zhang SN, Senanayake IC, Goonasekara ID, Thambugala KM, Phukhamsakda C, Tennakoon DS, Jiang HB, Yang J, Zeng M, Huanraluek N, Liu JK, Wijesinghe SN,

- Tian Q, Tibpromma S, Brahmanage RS, Boonmee S, Huang SK, Thiyagaraja V, Lu YZ, Jayawardena RS, Dong W, Yang EF, Singh SK, Singh SM, Rana S, Lad SS, Anand G, Devadatha B, Niranjan M, Sarma VV, Liimatainen K, Hudson BA, Niskanen T, Overall A, Alvarenga RLM, Gibertoni TB, Pflieger WP, Horváth E, Imre A, Alves AL, da Silva Santos AC, Tiago PV, Bulgakov TS, Wanasinghe DN, Bahkali AH, Doilom M, Elgorban AM, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Rajeshkumar KC, Haelewaters D, Mortimer PE, Zhao Q, Lumyong S, Xu JC, Sheng J (2020) Fungal diversity notes 1151–1276: Taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions on genera and species of fungal taxa. *Fungal Diversity* 100(1): 5–277. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-020-00439-5>
- Hyde KD, Norphanphoun C, Ma J, Yang HD, Zhang JY, Du TY, Gao Y, Gomes de Farias AR, He SC, He YK, Li CJY, Li JY, Liu XF, Lu L, Su HL, Tang X, Tian XG, Wang SY, Wei DP, Xu RF, Xu RJ, Yang YY, Zhang F, Zhang Q, Bahkali AH, Boonmee S, Chethana KWT, Jayawardena RS, Lu YZ, Karunarathna SC, Tibpromma S, Wang Y, Zhao Q (2023) Mycosphere notes 387–412 – novel species of fungal taxa from around the world. *Mycosphere : Journal of Fungal Biology* 14(1): 663–744. <https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/14/1/8>
- Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD (2019) MAFFT online service: Multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 20(4): 1160–1166. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx108>
- Leuchtmann A (1984) Über *Phaeosphaeria* Miyake und andere bitunicate Ascomyceten mit mehrfach querseptierten Ascosporen. *Sydowia* 37: 75–194.
- Li WL, Liang RR, Dissanayake AJ, Liu JK (2023) Mycosphere Notes 413–448: Dothideomycetes associated with woody oil plants in China. *Mycosphere: Journal of Fungal Biology* 14(1): 1436–1529. <https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/14/1/16>
- Liu YJ, Whelen S, Hall BD (1999) Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetes: Evidence from an RNA polymerase II subunit. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 16(12): 1799–1808. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026092>
- Liu JK, Hyde KD, Gareth EBG, Ariyawansa HA, Bhat DJ, Boonmee S, Maharachchikumbura SS, Mckenzie EH, Phookamsak R, Phukhamsakda C, Shenoy BD, AbdelWahab MA, Buyck B, Chen J, Chethana KWT, Singtripop C, Dai DQ, Dai YC, Daranagama DA, Dissanayake AJ, Doilom M, D'souza MJ, Fan XL, Goonasekara ID, Hirayama K, Hongsanan S, Jayasiri SC, Jayawardena RS, Karunarathna SC, Li WJ, Mapook A, Norphanphoun C, Pang KL, Perera RH, Peršoh D, Pinruan U, Senanayake IC, Somrithipol S, Suetrong S, Tanaka K, Thambugala KM, Tian Q, Tibpromma S, Udayanga D, Wijayawardene NN, Wanasinghe D, Wisitrassameewong K, Zeng XY, Abdel-Aziz FA, Adamcík S, Bahkali AH, Boonyuen N, Bulgakov T, Callac P, Chomnunti P, Greiner K, Hashimoto A, Hofstetter V, Kang JC, Xing DL, Li H, Liu XZ, Liu ZY, Matsumura M, Mortimer PE, Rambold G, Randrianjohany E, Sato G, Sri-Indrasutdhi V, Tian CM, Verbeken A, Brackel W, Wang Y, Wen TC, Xu JC, Yan JY, Zhao RL, Camporesi E (2015) Fungal diversity notes 1–110: Taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions to fungal species. *Fungal Diversity* 72(1): 1–197. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-015-0324-y>
- Lu B, Hyde KD, Ho WH, Tsui KM, Taylor JE, Wong KM Yanna, Zhou D (2000) Checklist of Hong Kong Fungi. Fungal Diversity Press, Hong Kong, 207 pp.
- Lu L, Karunarathna SC, Dai D-Q, Xiong Y-R, Suwannarach N, Stephenson SL, Elgorban AM, Al-Rejaie S, Jayawardena RS, Tibpromma S (2022) Description of four novel species in Pleosporales associated with coffee in Yunnan, China. *Journal of Fungi* 8(10): e1113. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof8101113>
- Luis P, Saint-Genis G, Vallon L, Bourgeois C, Bruto M, Marchand C, Record E, Hugoni M (2019) Contrasted ecological niches shape fungal and prokaryotic community

- structure in mangroves sediments. *Environmental Microbiology* 21(4): 1407–1424. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14571>
- Maharachchikumbura SSN, Chen Y, Ariyawansa HA, Hyde KD, Haelewaters D, Perera RH, Samarakoon MC, Wanasinghe DN, Bustamante DE, Liu J-K, Lawrence DP, Cheewangkoon R, Stadler M (2021a) Integrative approaches for species delimitation in Ascomycota. *Fungal Diversity* 109(1): 155–179. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-021-00486-6>
- Maharachchikumbura SSN, Wanasinghe DN, Cheewangkoon R, Al-Sadi AM (2021b) Uncovering the hidden taxonomic diversity of fungi in Oman. *Fungal Diversity* 106(1): 229–268. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-020-00467-1>
- Maharachchikumbura SSN, Wanasinghe DN, Elgorban AM, Al-Rejaie SS, Kazerooni EA, Cheewangkoon R (2022) *Brunneosporopsis yunnanensis* gen. et sp. nov. and *Allocryptotvalsa xishuangbanica* sp. nov., new terrestrial Sordariomycetes from Southwest China. *Life* 12(5): e635. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life12050635>
- Mapook A, Hyde KD, McKenzie EHC, Gareth Jones EB, Bhat DJ, Jeewon R, Stadler M, Samarakoon MC, Malaithong M, Tanunchai B, Buscot F, Wubet T, Purahong W (2020) Taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions to fungi associated with the invasive weed *Chromolaena odorata* (Siam weed). *Fungal Diversity* 101(1): 1–175. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-020-00444-8>
- Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T (2010) Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for Inference of large Phylogenetic Trees. Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE). New Orleans, 8 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1109/GCE.2010.5676129>
- Monkai J, Wanasinghe DN, Jeewon R, Promputtha I, Phookamsak R (2021) Morphological and phylogenetic characterization of fungi within Bambusicolaceae: Introducing two new species from the Greater Mekong Subregion. *Mycological Progress* 20(5): 721–732. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-021-01694-9>
- Mortimer PE, Jeewon R, Xu JC, Lumyong S, Wanasinghe DN (2021) Morpho-phylo taxonomy of novel dothideomycetous fungi associated with dead woody twigs in Yunnan Province, China. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 12: e654683. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.654683>
- Mulenko W, Majewski T, Ruszkiewicz-Michalska M (2008) A preliminary checklist of Micro-mycetes in Poland. *W. Szafer Institute of Botany Polish Academy of Sciences* 9: e752.
- Niranjan M, Sarma VV (2018) Twelve new species of ascomycetous from Andaman Islands, India. *Kavaka* 50: 84–97.
- Pebesma E, Bivand R (2023) Spatial Data Science: With Applications in R. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 352 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429459016>
- Phookamsak R, Wanasinghe DN, Hongsanan S, Phukhamsakda C, Huang S, Tennakoon DS, Norphanphoun C, Camporesi E, Bulgakov TS, Promputtha I, Mortimer PE, Xu J, Hyde KD (2017) Towards a natural classification of *Ophiobolus* and ophiobolus-like taxa; introducing three novel genera *Ophiobolopsis*, *Paraophiobolus* and *Pseudophiobolus* in Phaeosphaeriaceae (Pleosporales). *Fungal Diversity* 87(1): 299–339. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-017-0393-1>
- Pitt WM, Úrbez-Torres JR, Trouillas FP (2014) *Munkovalsaria donacina* from grapevines and Desert Ash in Australia. *Mycosphere* 5(5): 656–661. <https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/5/5/6>
- R Core Team (2022) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. <https://www.R-project.org/>
- Ramaley AW, Barr ME (1995) New dictyosporous species from leaves of Agavaceae. *Mycotaxon* 54: 75–90.

- Rambaut A (2012) FigTree version 1.4.0. <http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree>
- Rehner SA, Buckley E (2005) A *Beauveria* phylogeny inferred from nuclear ITS and EF1-a sequences: Evidence for cryptic diversification and links to *Cordyceps teleomorphs*. *Mycologia* 97(1): 84–98. <https://doi.org/10.3852/mycologia.97.1.84>
- Rehner SA, Samuels GJ (1994) Taxonomy and phylogeny of *Gliocladium* analysed from nuclear large subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. *Mycological Research* 98(6): 625–634. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562\(09\)80409-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562(09)80409-7)
- Ren GC, Wanasinghe DN, Monkai J, Mortimer PE, Hyde KD, Xu J-C, Pang A, Gui H (2021a) Novel saprobic *Hermatomyces* species (Hermatomycetaceae, Pleosporales) from China (Yunnan Province) and Thailand. *MycoKeys* 82: 57–79. <https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.82.67973>
- Ren GC, Wanasinghe DN, Monkai J, Hyde KD, Mortimer PE, Xu J, Pang A, Gui H (2021b) Introduction of *Neolophiotrema xiaokongense* gen. et sp. nov. to the poorly represented Anteagloniaceae (Pleosporales, Dothideomycetes). *Phytotaxa* 482(1): 25–35. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.482.1.3>
- Ren GC, Wanasinghe DN, De Farias ARG, Hyde KD, Yasanthika E, Xu JC, Balasuriya A, Chethana KWT, Gui H (2022a) Taxonomic novelties of woody litter fungi (Didymosphaeriaceae, Pleosporales) from the Greater Mekong Subregion. *Biology* 11(11): e1660. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology11111660>
- Ren GC, Wanasinghe DN, Jeewon R, Monkai J, Mortimer PE, Hyde KD, Xu J-C, Gui H (2022b) Taxonomy and phylogeny of the novel rhytidhysteron-like collections in the Greater Mekong Subregion. *MycoKeys* 86: 65–85. <https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.86.70668>
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck J, Teslenko M (2011) MrBayes Version 3.2 Manual: Tutorials and Model Summaries. <https://brahms.biology.rochester.edu/software.html> [Accessed on 10 June 2023]
- Scheuer C (1988) Ascomyceten auf Cyperaceen und Juncaceen im Ostalpenraum. *Bibliotheca Mycologica* 123: 1–274.
- Senwanna C, Mapook A, Samarakoon MC, Karunaratna A, Wang Y, Tang AMC, Haituk S, Suwannarach N, Hyde KD, Cheewangkoon R (2021) Ascomycetes on Para rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*). *Mycosphere* 12(1): 1334–1512. <https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/12/1/18>
- Shoemaker RA, Babcock CE (1989) Phaeosphaeria. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 67(5): 1500–1599. <https://doi.org/10.1139/b89-199>
- Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML Version 8: A tool for Phylogenetic Analysis and Post-Analysis of Large Phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30(9): 1312–1313. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033>
- Sun Y-R, Zhang J-Y, Hyde KD, Wang Y, Jayawardena RS (2023) Morphology and phylogeny reveal three *Montagnula* species from China and Thailand. *Plants* 12(4): e738. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12040738>
- Sung GH, Sung JM, Hywel-Jones NL, Spatafora JW (2007) A multi-gene phylogeny of Clavicipitaceae (Ascomycota, Fungi): Identification of localized incongruence using a combinational bootstrap approach. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 44(3): 1204–1223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.03.011>
- Tedersoo L, Bahram M, Põlme S, Kõljalg U, Yorou NS, Wijesundera R, Villarreal Ruiz L, Vasco-Palacios AM, Thu PQ, Suija A, Smith ME, Sharp C, Saluveer E, Saitta A, Rosas M, Riit T, Ratkowsky D, Pritsch K, Pöldmaa K, Piepenbring M, Phosri C, Peterson M, Parts K, Pärtsel K, Otsing E, Nouhra E, Njouonkou AL, Nilsson RH, Morgado LN, Mayor J, May TW, Majuakim L, Lodge DJ, Lee SS, Larsson KH, Kohout P, Hosaka K, Hiiesalu I, Henkel TW, Harend H, Guo LD, Greslebin A, Grelet G, Geml J, Gates G, Dunstan W, Dunk C, Drenkhan

- R, Dearnaley J, De Kesel A, Dang T, Chen X, Buegger F, Brearley FQ, Bonito G, Anslan S, Abell S, Abarenkov K (2014) Fungal biogeography. Global diversity and geography of soil fungi. *Science* 346(6213): e1256688. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1256688>
- Tennakoon DS, Hyde KD, Wanasinghe DN, Bahkali AH, Camporesi E, Khan S, Phookamsak R (2016) Taxonomy and phylogenetic appraisal of *Montagnula jonesii* sp. nov. (Didymosphaeriaceae, Pleosporales). *Mycosphere* 7(9): 1346–1356. <https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/7/9/8>
- Tennakoon DS, Thambugala KM, de Silva NI, Suwannarach N, Lumyong S (2022) A taxonomic assessment of novel and remarkable fungal species in Didymosphaeriaceae (Pleosporales, Dothideomycetes) from plant litter. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 13: e1016285. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1016285>
- Thaung MM (2008) Pathologic and taxonomic analysis of leaf spot and tar spot diseases in a tropical dry to wet monsoon ecosystem of lowland Burma. *Australasian Plant Pathology* 37(2): 180–197. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AP08007>
- Thiyagaraja V, Senanayake IC, Wanasinghe DN, Karunaratna SC, Worthy FR, To-anun C (2019) Phylogenetic and morphological appraisal of *Diatrype lijiangensis* sp. nov. (Diatrypaceae, Xylariales) from China. *Asian Journal of Mycology* 2(1): 198–208. <https://doi.org/10.5943/ajom/2/1/10>
- Thiyagaraja V, Hyde KD, Wanasinghe DN, Worthy FR, Karunaratna SC (2020) Addition to Melanommataceae: A new geographical record of *Alpinaria rhododendri* from Shangri La, China. *Asian Journal of Mycology* 3(1): 335–344. <https://doi.org/10.5943/ajom/3/1/8>
- Thiyagaraja V, Wanasinghe DN, Karunaratna SC, Tennakoon DS, Hyde KD, To-Anun C, Cheewangkoon R (2021) *Alloleptosphaeria shangrilana* sp. nov. and first report of the genus (Leptosphaeriaceae, Dothideomycetes) from China. *Phytotaxa* 491(1): 12–22. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.491.1.2>
- Tibpromma S, Hyde KD, McKenzie EH, Bhat DJ, Phillips AJ, Wanasinghe DN, Samarakoon MC, Jayawardena RS, Dissanayake AJ, Tennakoon DS, Doilom M, Phookamsak R, Tang AM, Xu J, Mortimer PE, Promputtha I, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Khan S, Karunaratna SC (2018) Fungal diversity notes 840–928: Micro-fungi associated with Pandanaeae. *Fungal Diversity* 93(1): 1–160. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-018-0408-6>
- Trifinopoulos J, Nguyen LT, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ (2016) W-IQ-TREE: A fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research* 44(W1): W232–W235. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw256>
- Turner BL, Zemunik G, Laliberté E, Drake JJ, Jones FA, Saltonstall K (2019) Contrasting patterns of plant and microbial diversity during long-term ecosystem development. *Journal of Ecology* 107(2): 606–621. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13127>
- Větrovský T, Morais D, Kohout P, Lepinay C, Algora C, Awokunle Hollá S, Bahnmann BD, Bílohnědá K, Brabcová V, D'Alò F, Human ZR, Jomura M, Kolařík M, Kvasničková J, Lladó S, López-Mondéjar R, Martinović T, Mašínová T, Meszárošová L, Michalčíková L, Michalová T, Mundra S, Navrátilová D, Odriozola I, Piché-Choquette S, Štursová M, Švec K, Tláskal V, Urbanová M, Vlk L, Voříšková J, Žifčáková L, Baldrian P (2020) GlobalFungi, a global database of fungal occurrences from high-throughput-sequencing metabarcoding studies. *Scientific Data* 7(1): e228. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0567-7>
- Vilgalys R, Hester M (1990) Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. *Journal of Bacteriology* 172(8): 4238–4246. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.172.8.4238-4246.1990>
- Wanasinghe DN, Mortimer PE (2022) Taxonomic and phylogenetic insights into novel Ascomycota from forest woody litter. *Biology* 11(6): e889. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology11060889>

- Wanasinghe DN, Jones EB, Camporesi E, Dissanayake AJ, Kamolhan S, Mortimer PE, Xu J, Abd-Elsalam KA, Hyde KD (2016) Taxonomy and phylogeny of *Laburnicola* gen. nov. and *Paramassariosphaeria* gen. nov. (Didymosphaeriaceae, Massarinae, Pleosporales). *Fungal Biology* 120(11): 1354–1373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2016.06.006>
- Wanasinghe DN, Jeewon R, Tibpromma S, Jones EBG, Hyde KD (2017) Saprobic Dothideomycetes in Thailand: *Muritestudina* gen. et sp. nov. (Testudinaceae) a new terrestrial pleosporalean ascomycete, with hyaline and muriform ascospores. *Studies in Fungi* 2(1): 219–234. <https://doi.org/10.5943/sif/2/1/26>
- Wanasinghe DN, Jeewon R, Persch D, Jones EBG, Camporesi E, Bulgakov TS, Gafforov YS, Hyde KD (2018a) Taxonomic circumscription and phylogenetics of novel didymelaceous taxa with brown muriform spores. *Studies in Fungi* 3(1): 152–175. <https://doi.org/10.5943/sif/3/1/17>
- Wanasinghe DN, Phukhamsakda C, Hyde KD, Jeewon R, Lee HB, Jones EBG, Tibpromma S, Tennakoon DS, Dissanayake AJ, Jayasiri SC, Gafforov Y, Camporesi E, Bulgakov TS, Ekanayake AH, Perera RH, Samarakoon MC, Goonasekara ID, Mapook A, Li W, Senanayake IC, Li J, Norphanphoun C, Doilom M, Bahkali AH, Xu J, Mortimer PE, Tibell L, Tibell S, Karunarathna SC (2018b) Fungal diversity notes 709–839: Taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions to fungal taxa with an emphasis on fungi on Rosaceae. *Fungal Diversity* 89(1): 1–236. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-018-0395-7>
- Wanasinghe DN, Wijayawardene NN, Xu J, Cheewangkoon R, Mortimer PE (2020) Taxonomic novelties in *Magnolia*-associated pleosporalean fungi in the Kunming Botanical Gardens (Yunnan, China). *PLOS ONE* 15(7): e0235855. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235855>
- Wanasinghe DN, Mortimer PE, Xu J (2021) Insight into the systematics of microfungi colonizing dead woody twigs of *Dodonaea viscosa* in Honghe (China). *Journal of Fungi* 7(3): e180. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof7030180>
- Wanasinghe DN, Mortimer PE, Bezerra JDP (2022a) Editorial: Fungal Systematics and Biogeography. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 12: e827725. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.827725>
- Wanasinghe DN, Ren GC, Xu JC, Cheewangkoon R, Mortimer PE (2022b) Insight into the taxonomic resolution of the pleosporalean species associated with dead woody litter in natural forests from Yunnan, China. *Journal of Fungi* 8(4): e375. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof8040375>
- Wanasinghe DN, Bezerra JDP, Xu J, Mortimer PE (2023) *Honghemyces pterolobii*, gen. et sp. nov. (Bezerrromycetaceae, Tubeufiales), a new ascomycetous fungus from *Pterolobium macropterum* in Honghe, China. *Sydowia* 75: 13–21. <https://doi.org/10.12905/0380.sydowia75-2022-0013>
- Wang YZ, Aptroot A, Hyde KD (2004) Revision of the Ascomycete Genus *Amphisphaeria*. Fungal Diversity Press, Hong Kong, 168 pp.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor JW (1990) Amplification and Direct Sequencing of Fungal Ribosomal RNA Genes for Phylogenetics. PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications. Academic Press, San Diego, 315–322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1>
- Wickham H (2011) ggplot2. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics 3(2): 180–185. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wics.147>
- Wijayawardene NN, Phillips AJL, Pereira DS, Dai DQ, Aptroot A, Monteiro JS, Druzhinina IS, Cai F, Fan X, Selbmann L, Coleine C, Castañeda-Ruiz RF, Kukwa M, Flakus A, Fiúza PO, Kirk PM, Kumar KCR, Arachchi ISL, Suwannarach N, Tang LZ, Boekhout T, Tan

- CS, Jayasinghe RPPK, Thines M (2022) Forecasting the number of species of asexually reproducing fungi (Ascomycota and Basidiomycota). *Fungal Diversity* 114(1): 463–490. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-022-00500-5>
- Yasanthika E, Dissanayake LS, Wanasinghe DN, Karunarathna SC, Mortimer PE, Samarakoon BC, Monkai J, Hyde KD (2020) *Lonicericola fuyuanensis* (Parabambusicolaceae) a new terrestrial pleosporalean ascomycete from Yunnan Province China. *Phytotaxa* 449(2): 103–113. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.446.2.3>
- Zhao ZZ, Zhao K, Chen HP, Bai X, Zhang L, Liu JK (2018) Terpenoids from the mushroom-associated fungus *Montagnula donacina*. *Phytochemistry* 147: 21–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2017.12.015>
- Zhuang W-Y (2001) Higher Fungi of Tropical China. Mycotaxon Ltd, Ithaca, 485 pp.

## Supplementary material 1

### The biogeography, substrate and habitat affinity of *Montagnula* inferred from the GlobalFungi database

Authors: Dhanushka N. Wanasinghe, Thilina S. Nimalrathna, Li Qin Xian, Turki KH. Faraj, Jianchu Xu, Peter E. Mortimer

Data type: xlsx

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (<http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/>). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: <https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.101.113259.suppl1>